

City of Venice

401 West Venice Avenue Venice, FL 34285 www.venicegov.com

Meeting Minutes Planning Commission

Tuesday, March 2, 2021	1:30 PM	Council Chambers
<u>21-4928</u>	Instructions on How to Watch and/or Participate in the Meeting	
I. Call to Order		
	Chair Barry Snyder called the meeting to order at 1:59 p.m.	
II. Roll Call		
Present:	 7 - Chair Barry Snyder, Shaun Graser, Kit McKeon, Richard Hale, Bill Wills Lawson and Jerry Jasper 	on, Richard
Also Present		
	Liaison Mayor Ron Feinsod, City Attorney Kelly Fernande Services Director Jeff Shrum, Information Technology Dire St. Luce, Planning Manager Roger Clark, Senior Planne Planner Nicole Tremblay, Planner Kristin Ward, City Clerk L Recording Secretary Toni Cone.	ctor Christophe r Amy Nelson,
	In-person: Mr. Hale, Mr. Lawson, Mr. McKeon, Mr. Willson Via video conference: Mr. Snyder, Mr. Graser, Mr. Jasper	
II. Approval of Minu	ites	
<u>21-4929</u>	Minutes of the February 2, 2021 Regular Meeting and February 1 Land Development Regulations Workshop	0, 2021
	Mr. Jasper requested amending the February 2, 2021 minutes rel his question regarding compatibility for the comprehensive plan a	ating to

his question regarding compatibility for the comprehensive plan and planned unit development (PUD) zoning criteria and permitted uses within a specific zoned property.

A motion was made by Mr. Willson, seconded by Mr. McKeon, that the Minutes of the February 2, 2021 meeting be approved as amended and the Minutes of the February 10, 2021 meeting be approved as written. The motion carried by voice vote unanimously.

IV. Audience Participation

William Mendes, 112 Corte Del Asolo, spoke regarding trees on the

	Bellagio homeowners association property and noted some trees have caused safety and utilities issues.
	Mr. Clark responded to board questions regarding this issue, current revision of the Land Development Regulations (LDR), and tree code ordinance.
. Public Hearings	
<u>20-35SP</u>	7-Eleven (Laurel Rd) Site & Development Plan (Quasi-Judicial) Staff: Amy Nelson, AICP, Senior Planner Agent: Jackson R. Boone, Boone Law Firm Applicant: Creighton Construction & Management Owner: Laurel Road Property, LLC
	Mr. Snyder announced this is a quasi-judicial hearing, read memorandum regarding advertisement and written communication, and opened the public hearing.
	Ms. Fernandez questioned board members concerning ex-parte communications and conflicts of interest. Mr. Graser, Mr. McKeon, Mr. Hale, and Mr. Willson disclosed site visits. Mr. Jasper disclosed he attended a virtual public workshop on this project and the Portofino Advisory Committee, which he was a member and has since resigned from, and confirmed he can remain fair and impartial in making a decision based on the evidence presented at today's hearing.
	Ms. Nelson, being duly sworn, provided a presentation and spoke on the site and development plan petition to include project background and description, proposed site plan, consistency with Portofino CMU Master Development Plan, consistency with the comprehensive plan and land development code (LDC), concurrency and mobility, and planning commission action.
	Staff responded to board questions regarding roofing material, appearance, site plan, and street access.
	Jackson Boone, Boone Law Firm, being duly sworn, spoke on behalf of the applicant regarding site plan, traffic, site elevations, and monument sign.
	Adam Pitts, Kimley-Horn & Associates, being duly sworn, spoke regarding roof material, and responded to board question regarding parking.
	Staff clarified board question regarding parking.
	No one signed up to speak.
City of Venice	Page 2 of 7

Meeting Minutes

Planning Commission

March 2, 2021

Mr. Snyder closed the public hearing.

	A motion was made by Mr. Willson, seconded by Mr. Lawson, that based on review of the application materials, the staff report and testimony provided during the public hearing, the Planning Commission, sitting as the local planning agency, finds this Petition consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, in compliance with the Land Development Code and with the affirmative Findings of Fact in the record moves to approve Site & Development Plan Petition No. 20-35SP. The motion carried by the following vote:
Yes:	7 - Chair Snyder, Mr. Graser, Mr. McKeon, Mr. Hale, Mr. Willson, Mr. Lawson and Mr. Jasper
<u>20-42SP</u>	Englewood Bank Site & Development Plan (Quasi-Judicial) Staff: Nicole Tremblay, Planner Agent: Jon Brown, El, AM Engineering Applicant: Englewood Bank & Trust Company
	Mr. Snyder announced this is a quasi-judicial hearing, read memorandum regarding advertisement and written communication, and opened the public hearing.
	Ms. Fernandez questioned board members concerning ex-parte communications and conflicts of interest. Mr. Willson, Mr. Hale, Mr. McKeon, and Mr. Graser disclosed site visits.
	Ms. Tremblay, being duly sworn, provided a presentation and spoke on the site and development plan to include petition information, project background and description, location map, aerial map, approval by architectural review board (ARB), site plan, elevations, site photos, zoning and future land use maps, surrounding land uses, consistency with the comprehensive plan and LDC, concurrency and mobility, and planning commission action.
	Staff responded to board inquiry about ARB's approval of the building color.
	No one signed up to speak.
	Mr. Snyder closed the public hearing.
	A motion was made by Mr. McKeon, seconded by Mr. Hale, that based on review of the application materials, the staff report and testimony provided during the public hearing, the Planning Commission, sitting as the local planning agency, finds this Petition consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, in compliance with the Land Development Code and with the affirmative findings of Fact in the record moves to approve Site & Development Plan Petition No. 20-42SP. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 7 - Chair Snyder, Mr. Graser, Mr. McKeon, Mr. Hale, Mr. Willson, Mr. Lawson and Mr. Jasper

Planning Com	mission	Meeting Minutes	March 2, 202
20-	<u>52AN</u>	Catalyst Healthcare Real Estate Annexation (Legislative) Staff: Nicole Tremblay, Planner Agent: Jeffery A. Boone, Esq., Boone Law Firm Applicant: Catalyst Healthcare Real Estate Owner: Peter Tomich	
		Mr. Snyder announced this is a legislative hearing, read memorandun regarding advertisement and written communication, and opened the public hearing.	n
		Ms. Tremblay provided a presentation and spoke on petition information future land use maps, site photographs, zoning map, surrounding land uses, comprehensive plan consistency, LDC consistency, provision of services, and planning commission action.	ł
		Mr. Jeffery Boone, Boone Law Firm, spoke on project to include annexation appropriateness, statutory requirements, pre-annexation agreement, impact fees, tax revenues, joint planning agreement (JPA) comprehensive plan, and answered board questions regarding neighboring parcels and Curry Lane paving.),
		No one signed up to speak.	
		Mr. Snyder closed the public hearing.	
		A motion was made by Mr. Lawson, seconded by Mr. Jasper, to recommend to City Council approval of Annexation Petition No. 20-52AN, without any stipulation. The motion carried by the following vote:	
	Yes:	7 - Chair Snyder, Mr. Graser, Mr. McKeon, Mr. Hale, Mr. Willson, Mr. Lawson and Jasper	l Mr.
<u>20-</u> {	<u>53CP</u>	Catalyst Healthcare Real Estate Comprehensive Plan Amendment (Legislative) Staff: Nicole Tremblay, Planner Agent: Jeffery A. Boone, Esq., Boone Law Firm Applicant: Catalyst Healthcare Real Estate Owner: Peter Tomich	
		Mr. Snyder announced this is a legislative hearing, read memorandum regarding advertisement and written communication, and opened the public hearing. He noted that the memorandum needs to be corrected reflect that Petition No. 20-53CP is a Comprehensive Plan Amendmen not a site and development plan petition, and opened the public hearing	to nt,
		Ms.Tremblay provided a presentation and spoke on project description location map, aerial map, existing future land use map, site photograp proposed future land use map, existing zoning map, comprehensive p request, proposed neighborhood revisions, planning analysis, JPA, Flo	hs, Ian

Planning Commission	Meeting Minutes	March 2, 2021
	Statutes, LDC, comprehensive plan consistency, institutional-profe uses, conclusions and findings of fact, planning commission repor recommendation.	
	Jeffery Boone, Boone Law Firm, spoke to JPA and comprehensive future land use designation, and impact fees.	e plan,
	No one signed up to speak.	
	Mr. Snyder closed the public hearing.	
	A motion was made by Mr. Hale, seconded by Mr. McKeon, that based on re of the application materials, the staff report and testimony provided during public hearing, the Planning Commission, sitting as the local planning ager finds this Petition consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and in complian with Florida Statutes Chapter 163, Part II, and therefore, recommends to Cit Council approval of Comprehensive Plan Amendment Petition No. 20-53CP, motion carried by the following vote:	the ncy, ce y
Yes:	7 - Chair Snyder, Mr. Graser, Mr. McKeon, Mr. Hale, Mr. Willson, Mr. Lawson Jasper	n and Mr.
<u>20-54RZ</u>	Catalyst Healthcare Real Estate Zoning Map Amendment (Quasi- Staff: Nicole Tremblay, Planner Agent: Jeffery A. Boone, Esq., Boone Law Firm Applicant: Catalyst Healthcare Real Estate Owner: Peter Tomich	Judicial)
	Mr. Snyder announced this is a quasi-judicial hearing, read memo regarding advertisement and written communication, and opened public hearing.	
	Ms. Fernandez questioned board members concerning ex-parte communications and conflicts of interest.	
	Mr. Hale, Mr. Graser, Mr. Lawson, and Mr. Willson disclosed site w McKeon disclosed that he knows the current owner of the property confirmed he can remain fair and impartial in making a decision bat the evidence presented at today's hearing.	r and
	Ms. Tremblay, being duly sworn, provided a presentation and spol petition information, location map, aerial map, future land use map zoning map, proposed zoning map, surrounding land uses, plannin analysis, comprehensive plan consistency, land development code consistency, concurrency and mobility, conclusions, findings of fac staff recommendation.	, existing ng e
	Jeffery Boone, Boone Law Firm, being duly sworn, spoke to prope comprehensive plan, land use designation, JPA, compatibility	rty size,

comprehensive plan, land use designation, JPA, compatibility, pre-annexation agreement, and answered board question about residential

neighbors.

No one signed up to speak.

Mr. Snyder closed the public hearing.

A motion was made by Mr. Jasper, seconded by Mr. Willson, that based on review of the application materials, the staff report and testimony provided during the public hearing, the Planning Commission, sitting as the local planning agency, finds this petition consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, in compliance with the Land Development Code and with the affirmative Findings of Fact in the record, recommends to City Council approval of Zoning Map Amendment Petition No. 20-54RZ. The motion carried by the following vote:

- Yes: 7 Chair Snyder, Mr. Graser, Mr. McKeon, Mr. Hale, Mr. Willson, Mr. Lawson and Mr. Jasper
- 20-61VZ 417 Armada Road S Variance (Quasi-Judicial) Staff: Kristin Ward, Planner Agent: Alan M. McEachern Applicant/Owner: Todd & Caren Liljenberg

Mr. Snyder announced this is a quasi-judicial hearing, read memorandum regarding advertisement and written communication, and opened the public hearing.

Ms. Fernandez questioned board members concerning ex-parte communications and conflicts of interest. Mr. Willson, Mr. McKeon, and Mr. Graser disclosed site visits.

Ms. Ward, being duly sworn, provided a presentation and spoke to variance information, driveway standards, property location, variance request, area maps, existing future land use and existing zoning, site plan, site photographs, planning commission review and action, and responded to board questions regarding the building permit and the status of the driveway construction.

Mr. Clark responded to board questions in regards to the approved building permit, it was noted that the construction was not consistent with the permit, the project failed inspection, and the applicant is seeking a variance.

Alan McEachern, being duly sworn, spoke regarding miscommunication regarding the driveway, driveway size, swale drainage, washout, and pavers.

Todd Liljenberg, being duly sworn, spoke regarding washout.

No one signed up to speak.

Mr. Snyder closed the public hearing.

A motion was made by Mr. McKeon, seconded by Mr. Willson, that based on review of the application materials, the staff report and testimony provided during the public hearing, the Planning Commission, sitting as the local planning agency, finds this petition consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, in compliance with the Land Development Code and with the affirmative Findings of Fact in the record, recommends to city council approval of Variance Petition No. 20-61VZ.

Discussion ensued regarding neighbors, adjoining driveways, encroachment, drainage, vehicle parking, and variance criteria.

The motion failed by the following vote: No: 7 - Chair Snyder, Mr. Graser, Mr. McKeon, Mr. Hale, Mr. Willson, Mr. Lawson and Mr. Jasper.

VI. Comments by Planning Division

21-4930

Land Development Regulations Update Staff: Jeff Shrum, AICP, Development Services Director

Staff stated the next LDR workshop will be on Wednesday, March 10 at 1:30 p.m.

VII. Comments by Planning Commission Members

There were none.

VIII. Adjournment

There being no further business to come before this Commission, the meeting was adjourned at 4:02 p.m.

Barry R. Snyder

Chair

Recording Secretary

Signature:

Email: bsnyder@venicegov.com