

City of Venice

401 West Venice Avenue Venice, FL 34285 www.venicegov.com

Meeting Minutes Planning Commission

Tuesday, February 2, 2021	1:30 PM	Council Chambers
I. Call to Order	n Garthanski, jógel Walson (den antisson och 1990) – P.23. Sola. Processki, jednosta antisk (den av defensation) her som det som	
	Chair Barry Snyder called the meeting to order at 1:30 p.m.	
21-4866	Instructions on How to Watch and/or Participate in the Meet	ing
II. Roll Call		
Present:	 7 - Chair Barry Snyder, Shaun Graser, Kit McKeon, Richard Hale, Bill Lawson and Jerry Jasper 	Willson, Richard
Also Present		
	Liaison Mayor Ron Feinsod, City Attorney Kelly Fernan Stelzer, Deputy City Clerk Danielle Lewis, Developmen Jeff Shrum, Planning Manager Roger Clark, Senior Pl Planner Nicole Tremblay, Information Technology Dire Luce and Administrative Coordinator Mercedes Barcia.	nt Services Director lanner Amy Nelson,
	In person: Mr. McKeon, Mr. Hale, Mr. Willson and Mr. Laws Via video conference: Mr. Snyder, Mr. Graser and Mr. Jasp	
III. Approval of Minu		
<u>21-4881</u>	Minutes of the January 5, 2021 Regular Meeting and Januar Land Development Regulations Workshop	y 19, 2021
	A motion was made by Mr. Willson, seconded by Mr. Jasper, that the the January 5, 2021 meeting be approved as written. The motion carr voice vote unanimously.	
	Mr. Snyder noted a revision to page two of the minutes.	
	A motion was made by Mr. McKeon, seconded by Mr. Hale, that the M the January 19, 2021 Land Development Regulations Workshop be an amended to include changing "site and development plans" to "heigh exceptions" in the bottom on page two. The motion carried by voice unanimously.	oproved as ht
IV. Audience Partici	pation	
	Don O'Connell, 500 Hauser Lane, spoke on the Historic Pres	servation

Board (HPB)'s recommendation to transfer jurisdiction over demolition of

Planning Commission	Meeting Minutes	February 2, 2021
	historic structures from the Architectural Review Board (ARB) to the HPB, reversing current procedures by approving the proposed new structure prior to approving the demolition, replacing historic structures with original 1920 replicas, and requested the board postpone action on the HPB's request.	
	Tommye Whittaker, 613 West Venice Avenue, commented on the and ARB working as a team and Frank Wright, Vice Chair of the Preservation Board, presenting at the January 14, 2021 ARB me	Historic
V. Public Hearings		
<u>20-37RZ</u>	Venetian Golf & River Club PUD Amendment (Pickleball Courts Park) (Quasi-Judicial) Staff: Nicole Tremblay, Planner Agent: Rick Schappacher, P.E., Schappacher Engineering Applicant: Venetian Community Development District	and Dog
	Mr. Snyder announced this is a quasi-judicial hearing, read men regarding advertisement and written communications, and open public hearing.	norandum ed the
	Ms. Fernandez questioned board members concerning ex-parter communications and conflicts of interest. Mr. McKeon disclosed Mr. Jasper disclosed serving on the Venetian Community Devel District (CDD) board and noted his term completed prior to the k decision to proceed with the application submittal. Mr. Jasper of he can remain fair and impartial, and make a decision based so evidence presented today. Mr. Snyder disclosed living in the Ve and River Club (VGRC) and receiving ex-parte communications residents. Mr. Snyder commented on item being properly notice confirmed he can remain fair and impartial and make a decision solely on the evidence presented today.	d site visit. opment ooard's onfirmed lely on the enetian Golf from ed, and
	Ms. Tremblay, being duly sworn, provided a presentation on the unit development (PUD) amendment petition and spoke to petit information, location and aerial maps, project area and descript photographs, future land use map (FLUM), zoning, surrounding comprehensive plan consistency, land development code (LDC compliance, concurrency/mobility, planning commission report a recommendation to city council, and responded to board question regarding amenities added to the PUD, standards for proposed	ion land uses,) and ons number of

parking spaces and drive aisle, stabilized grass, modification to landscaping plans, soundproofing courts, standards for fence height, and conduit for court lighting.

Mr. Shrum, being duly sworn, suggested concern with court lighting be

clarified in the motion.

Mr. Jasper questioned staff if either the comprehensive plan or the PUD zoning criteria address compatibility between permitted uses within a specific zoned property. The staff response was they did not.

Rick Schappacher, applicant, being duly sworn, expressed the community's desire for pickleball courts and a dog park, and spoke to staying competitive with surrounding developments, written correspondence received, and survey conducted.

Mr. Snyder noted additional correspondence was received after the agenda was posted.

Mr. Schappacher advised the CDD board voted against lighting and there will be no conduit, and responded to questions on residents' desire for a dog park.

Mr. Shrum noted proposed amenity location is part of the PUD amendment.

Mr. Schappacher spoke on proposed location under Florida Power and Light (FPL) power lines, exploring alternate locations within the community, FPL deeming proposed location to be safe, July 24, 2020 letter from attorney Andrew Cohen, Persson, Cohen and Mooney, P.A., regarding the FPL Right of Way Consent Agreement, permits obtained from Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD) and FPL, addressing noise and traffic concerns, and responded to board questions regarding stabilized grass.

Ms. Fernandez responded to board questions regarding the city's liability if an accident occurs due to the power lines, and whether she is in concurrence with Mr. Cohen's response in the letter.

Tyler Cassell, 201 Medici Terrace, being duly sworn, commented on concern with proposed location, noise emitted by pickleball courts, Milano's dog park, FPL agreement, setting a precedent, and recommended disapproval of the petition.

Anthony Pirrotti, 114 Medici Terrace, being duly sworn, spoke on FPL's agreement and safety concerns with power lines.

Lee Pirrotti, 114 Medici Terrace, being duly sworn, yielded her time to speak to Mr. Pirrotti.

lanning Commission	Meeting Minutes	February 2, 20
	Mr. Pirrotti continued to speak regarding safety concerns with po and explosions at the transfer station.	wer lines
	Danilo Cardona, 118 Medici Terrace, being duly sworn, spoke to with proposed location and noise.	concerns
	William Saro, 130 Medici Terrace, being duly sworn, stated object proposed location, spoke regarding safety concerns with power I FPL's agreement, lack of lighting and restroom facilities for amer and recommended the application be sent back to the CDD boar better solution and compromise.	ines, nity users,
	Michael Churilla, 160 Pesaro Drive, being duly sworn, commenter proposed location, proximity to existing homes, noise level, dog concern with area traffic and parking, and suggested a better loc sought or redesigning the tennis courts to accommodate picklebs	oark, ation be
	Deborah Shaffer, 121 Bolanza Court, being duly sworn, noted or proposed location.	pposition to
	Jack Wilson, 233 Montelluna Drive, Chair of the VGRC Picklebal Committee, being duly sworn, provided a brief history on the pro project, and noted objections raised by residents to include locat noise.	posed
	Michael Seaman, 282 Mestre Place, being duly sworn, spoke to pickleball and supporting proposal.	playing
	Jim Cederna, 417 Montelluna Drive, being duly sworn, spoke reg growth of the pickleball sport and supporting proposal.	garding
	Suzanne Jerry, 118 Savona Way, being duly sworn, commented supporting proposed dog park, not receiving public workshop no homes that will be negatively impacted by pickleball proposal, pr location, reducing the number of tennis courts, parking lot behind considering an alternate location, and noise concerns.	tice, oposed
	Mark Jerry, 118 Savona Way, being duly sworn, deferred his spe to Ms. Jerry.	eaking time
	Ms. Jerry commented on homes being negatively impacted, nois concerns, green space, not having both amenities adjacent to ea and placing the dog park closer to Laurel Road, and having a wa of a fence.	ach other

Mr. Schappacher advised the CDD board considered all residents' concerns.

Mr. Snyder closed the public hearing.

A motion was made by Mr. Willson, seconded by Mr. Jasper, that based on review of the application materials, the staff report and testimony provided during the public hearing, the Planning Commission, sitting as the local planning agency, finds this petition consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, in compliance with the Land Development Code and with the affirmative findings of fact in the record, and recommends to city council approval of PUD Amendment Petition No. 20-37RZ.

Discussion followed regarding proposed location, adding a park in northeast Venice, overriding the CDD board's decision, noise concerns, supporting the community's desire, property values, lack of facilities, community amenities, utility easement, parking spaces, carpooling, and FPL dictating requirements.

The motion failed by the following vote:

- Yes: 2 Mr. Willson and Mr. Jasper
- No: 5 Chair Snyder, Mr. Graser, Mr. McKeon, Mr. Hale and Mr. Lawson

Recess was taken at 4:02 p.m. until 4:10 p.m.

20-38SP Venetian Golf & River Club Site and Development Plan (Pickleball Courts and Dog Park) (Quasi-Judicial) Staff: Nicole Tremblay, Planner Agent: Rick Schappacher, P.E., Schappacher Engineering Applicant: Venetian Community Development District

Mr. Snyder announced this is a quasi-judicial hearing, read memorandum regarding advertisement and written communications, and opened the public hearing.

Ms. Fernandez questioned board members concerning ex-parte communications and conflicts of interest. Mr. Hale and Mr. McKeon disclosed site visits. Mr. Jasper and Mr. Snyder noted same disclosures as previous PUD Amendment Petition No. 20-37RZ.

Rick Schappacher, applicant, being duly sworn, advised there is no additional information to present and requested item go straight to a vote.

Ms. Fernandez clarified Mr. Schappacher is requesting to have the record and presentation from the last petition brought forward to this petition.

Ms. Tremblay, being duly sworn, noted information relative to the site plan was included in the PUD amendment presentation.

Planning Commission	Meeting Minutes	February 2, 2021
	Ms. Fernandez advised speakers can incorporate their commer PUD amendment petition into this petition.	ts from the
	Jack Wilson, 233 Montelluna Drive, Chair of the VGRC Pickleba Committee, being duly sworn, noted his disappointment with the the PUD amendment petition.	
	Mr. Snyder closed the public hearing.	
	A motion was made by Mr. McKeon, seconded by Mr. Lawson, that based review of the application materials, the staff report and testimony provid during the public hearing, the Planning Commission, sitting as the local agency, finds that this petition is not consistent with the Comprehensive is not in compliance with the Land Development Code because of the po location of proposed project and therefore, moves to deny Site & Develo Plan Petition No. 20-38SP. The motion carried by the following vote:	ed planning Plan and or
Yes:	a service in the state of the black and black and black	Lawson
No:	1 - Mr. Jasper	
<u>20-29PP</u>	Cassata Lakes Preliminary Plat (Quasi-Judicial) Staff: Amy Nelson, AICP, Senior Planner Agent: Jeffery A. Boone, Esq., Boone Law Firm Applicant: Cassata Properties, LLC	
	Mr. Snyder announced this is a quasi-judicial hearing, read mer regarding advertisement and written communications, and oper public hearing.	
	Ms. Fernandez questioned board members concerning ex-parter communications and conflicts of interest. Mr. Willson and Mr. J advised of written communication received from Steven Hilding Lawson, Mr. Graser and Mr. Hale disclosed site visits.	asper
	Ms. Nelson, being duly sworn, requested the preliminary plat ar conditional use petition be combined into one presentation.	nd
	Mr. Snyder read memorandum regarding advertisement and wr communications for the conditional use petition, and opened the hearing.	
	Mr. Fernandez inquired if there were any additional ex-parte communications and conflicts of interest relating to the condition petition. There were none.	nal use
	Ms. Nelson provided a presentation and spoke to project inform property location, site photographs, surrounding area information proposed preliminary plat, future land use designation, zoning,	on,

use, conclusions/findings of fact, planning commission review and action, and responded to board questions regarding landscaping requirements.

Mr. Clark, being duly sworn, clarified there are no requirements for perimeter buffering.

Jackson Boone, Boone Law Firm, being duly sworn, spoke on the property zoning designation, conditional use request, property access, preliminary plat, proposed density, staff's review of concurrency and transportation analysis, and noted gates will not restrict bicycle and pedestrian access.

Mike Miller, MPS Development, being duly sworn, responded to board questions regarding notes on the preliminary plat relating to the design, permit and inspection of proposed fence and block wall.

Steven Hilding, 3869 North Chamberlain Boulevard, North Port, speaking on behalf of homeowners in the Kings Drive area, being duly sworn, spoke in opposition to proposed development project and asked the board to consider the project's environmental impact.

Paul Miller, 45 King Arthur Drive, Nokomis, being duly sworn, commented on property development, preferring single-family homes, concern with Kings Way Drive, and extending existing sidewalk from the new development to Laurel Road.

Siegfried Fick, 1628 Landfall Drive, Nokomis, being duly sworn, presented and spoke to concerns with flooding on Kings Way Drive and suggested extending sidewalk to Laurel Road.

Mr. Boone addressed concerns raised to include working with Sarasota County since Kings Way Drive is a county owned road.

Mr. Snyder closed both public hearings.

A motion was made by Mr. Hale, seconded by Mr. Willson, that based on review of the application materials, the staff report and testimony provided during the public hearing, the Planning Commission, sitting as the local planning agency, finds this petition consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, in compliance with the Land Development Code and with the affirmative findings of fact in the record, and recommends to city council approval of Preliminary Plat Petition No. 20-29PP. The motion carried by the following vote:

- Yes: 7 Chair Snyder, Mr. Graser, Mr. McKeon, Mr. Hale, Mr. Willson, Mr. Lawson and Mr. Jasper
- 20-39CU Cassata Lakes Conditional Use (Quasi-Judicial) Staff: Amy Nelson, AICP, Senior Planner Agent: Jeffery A. Boone, Esq., Boone Law Firm Applicant: Cassata Properties, LLC

This item was discussed under Preliminary Plat Petition No. 20-29PP.

A motion was made by Mr.Willson, seconded by Mr. McKeon, that based on review of the application materials, the staff report and testimony provided during the public hearing, the Planning Commission, sitting as the local planning agency, finds this petition consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, in compliance with the Land Development Code and with the affirmative findings of fact in the record, and recommends to city council approval of Conditional Use Petition No. 20-39CU. The motion carried by the following vote: Yes: 7 - Chair Snyder, Mr. Graser, Mr. McKeon, Mr. Hale, Mr. Willson, Mr. Lawson and Mr. Jasper Watermark at Venice Annexation (Legislative) 20-45AN Staff: Nicole Tremblay, Planner Agent: Jeffery A. Boone, Esq., Boone Law Firm Applicant: Thompson Thrift Development, Inc. **Owner: Piana Revocable Trust** Mr. Snyder announced this is a legislative hearing, read memorandum regarding advertisement and written communications, and opened the public hearing. Ms. Fernandez questioned board members concerning ex-parte communications and conflicts of interest. There were none. Ms. Tremblay provided a presentation on the annexation petition and spoke on petition information, project description, location and aerial map, site photographs, existing future land use and zoning map, surrounding land uses, Chapters 163 and 171 Florida Statutes, comprehensive plan consistency, Joint Planning Area (JPA) six, LDC consistency, provision of services for area six, conclusions/findings of fact, and planning commission report and recommendation to city council. Jeffery Boone, Boone Law Firm, spoke to annexation, JPA amendment, and property qualifying for a voluntary annexation.

> Steve Carr, President of the Windwood Neighborhood Association, speaking on behalf of the community, requested the board postpone action on the comprehensive plan and zoning map amendment and spoke regarding land use and zoning, incompatibility with surrounding communities, Pinebrook neighborhood zoning designation and density, supporting annexation into the city, project acreage, and having a mixed use residential (MUR) zoning designation with a maximum density of five dwelling units per acre.

Mr. Snyder closed the public hearing.

A motion was made by Mr. Willson, seconded by Mr. McKeon, to recommend to

Planning Commission	Meeting Minutes	February 2, 2021
	city council approval of Annexation Petition No. 20-45AN. The motion the following vote:	carried by
Yes:	7 - Chair Snyder, Mr. Graser, Mr. McKeon, Mr. Hale, Mr. Willson, Mr. L Jasper	awson and Mr.
<u>20-46CP</u>	Watermark at Venice Comprehensive Plan Amendment (Legi Staff: Nicole Tremblay, Planner Agent: Jeffery A. Boone, Esq., Boone Law Firm Applicant: Thompson Thrift Development, Inc. Owner: Piana Revocable Trust	slative)
	Mr. Snyder announced this is a legislative hearing, read mem regarding advertisement and written communications, and op public hearing.	
۲,	Ms. Tremblay provided a presentation on the comprehensive amendment petition and spoke to petition information, existin proposed FLUM, existing zoning map, comprehensive plan re- proposed neighborhood revisions, comprehensive plan consi area six, Chapter 163 Florida Statutes, compatibility, LDC con conclusions/findings of fact, and planning commission report recommendation to council.	g and equest, stency, JPA nsistency,
	Jeffery Boone, Boone Law Firm, noted the comprehensive pl amendment is consistent with the JPA, and spoke regarding designation for this property, proposed medium density range development, transportation study, development generating r and responded to board questions regarding project acreage	the county's e nobility fees,
	Robert Tugel, 145 Cohosh Road, commented on the compre Pinebrook neighborhood, maximum density in the MUR zonir compatibility with surrounding neighborhoods.	•
-	Discussion followed regarding JPA area six's zoning designation	tion.
	Mr. Boone commented on the Windwood neighborhood meet recommendation on the JPA amendment.	ing and staff's
	Ms. Tremblay clarified the property is being assigned a future designation.	a land use
	Mr. Snyder closed the public hearing.	
	A motion was made by Mr. McKeon, seconded by Mr. Hale, that based of the application materials, the staff report and testimony provided d	

Planning Commission	Meeting Minutes	February 2, 2021
	council approval of Comprehensive Plan Amendment Petition No. 20-46CF motion carried by the following vote:	P. The
Yes:	7 - Chair Snyder, Mr. Graser, Mr. McKeon, Mr. Hale, Mr. Willson, Mr. Laws Jasper	on and Mr.
<u>20-47RZ</u>	Watermark at Venice Zoning Map Amendment (Quasi-Judicial) Staff: Nicole Tremblay, Planner Agent: Jeffery A. Boone, Esq., Boone Law Firm Applicant: Thompson Thrift Development, Inc. Owner: Piana Revocable Trust	
	Mr. Snyder announced this is a quasi-judicial hearing, read memoregarding advertisement and written communications, and opener public hearing.	
	Ms. Fernandez questioned board members concerning ex-parte communications and conflicts of interest. Mr. Lawson and Mr. Me disclosed site visits.	cKeon
,	Ms. Tremblay, being duly sworn, provided a presentation on the a map amendment petition to include petition information, site phot existing and proposed zoning map, surrounding land uses, comp plan and LDC consistency, comparison of existing versus propos zoning, concurrency and mobility, conclusion/findings of fact, and commission report and recommendation to city council.	ographs, rehensive ed
	Jeffery Boone, Boone Law Firm, being duly sworn, commented o proposed rezoning and original development plan.	n
	Austin Mann, Thompson Thrift Development, Inc., being duly swo provided a presentation and spoke regarding company, developm portfolio statistics, rental information, Venice's market demand, development concepts, original and revised conceptual site plans one-story homes, interior features, and community features.	nent map,
	Mr. Boone commented on rental communities, variety of housing proximity to Sarasota Memorial Hospital and Laurel Road, the ne type of development, and in response to board questions regarding not exceeding two story units.	ed for this
	Mr. Boone advised client is willing to stipulate not to exceed two s	story units.
	Robert Tugel, 145 Cohosh Road, being duly sworn, commented comprehensive plan's FLUM and the Pinebrook neighborhood.	on the
	Mr. Boone noted issues raised by Mr. Carr's letter can be address the site and development plan.	sed with

Mr. Snyder closed the public hearing.

A motion was made by Mr. Willson, seconded by Mr. Lawson, that based on review of the application materials, the staff report and testimony provided during the public hearing, the Planning Commission, sitting as the local planning agency, finds this petition consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, in compliance with the Land Development Code and with the affirmative findings of fact in the record, and recommends to city council approval of Zoning Map Amendment Petition No. 20-47RZ with the stipulation that the units will not exceed two stories. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 7 - Chair Snyder, Mr. Graser, Mr. McKeon, Mr. Hale, Mr. Willson, Mr. Lawson and Mr. Jasper

VI. Public Comment

<u>21-4882</u>

Demolition Of Structures In Historic Venice District Applicant: Franklin E Wright, Vice Chair, Historic Planning Board

Frank Wright, Vice Chair of the Historic Preservation Board (HPB), spoke regarding demolition of historic structures to include the Architectural Review Board (ARB)'s jurisdiction and demolition of 233 Pensacola Road, and recommended the adoption of the established Historic Venice (HV) district as a historical district under the terms of the historic preservation ordinance.

Discussion followed regarding Mr. Wright's presentation and attendance at the ARB meeting.

Mr. Shrum advised of his communication with Mr. Wright on presenting at the ARB meeting, ARB's recommendation on demolitions, and responded to board questions regarding the number of demolitions within the past 30 years and upcoming demolition requests.

Discussion continued regarding demolition criteria, properties on the local register that were demolished, merging ARB and HPB, contributing properties versus HV district used for architectural control, no demolition criteria in the ARB ordinance, process in other jurisdictions, permit application process, and having a list of historic structures.

Mr. Wright recommended the HPB be included in demolition discussions, and suggested the HPB be in charge of advising the planning commission on historic preservation.

VII. Comments by Planning Division

<u>21-4883</u> Land Development Regulations Update Staff: Jeff Shrum, AICP, Development Services Director Meeting Minutes

Mr. Snyder advised the next LDR workshop is February 10, 2021.

VIII. Comments by Planning Commission Members

There were none.

IX. Adjournment

There being no further business to come before this Commission, the meeting was adjourned at 6:34 p.m.

Barry R. Snyder

Chair

Administrative Coordinator

Signature:

021 10:29 EDT)

Email: bsnyder@venicegov.com