

City of Venice

Meeting Minutes Planning Commission

| Tuesday, February 19, 201 | 9 1:30 PM | Council Chambers |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| <u>18-02RZ</u> | Zoning Map Amendment - 7-Eleven Staff: Roger Clark, AICP, Planning Manager Agent: Jeffery A. Boone, Esq. Owner: Venice Palm, Inc. | |
| | Mr. Snyder announced this is a quasi-judicial hearing, read regarding advertisement and written communications, and public hearing. | |
| | Ms. Fernandez queried board members regarding ex-parter communications and conflict of interest. Ms. Fawn, Mr. Mc Graser, and Mr. Hale disclosed site visits. There were no e communications or conflicts of interest. | Keon, Mr. |
| | Mr. Clark, being duly sworn, provided a presentation to inclocation and surrounding information, land use petitions, a photographs of the site, mixed use downtown land use, fut map, existing zoning map, zoning map amendment to includistrict, zoning general, proposed zoning map, Venetian The comparison usage, permitted and non-permitted uses, specific Commercial General District (CGD), Commercial Business analysis, building heights, setbacks, parking, potential companison usage does not be a set back on the set back of th | erial photograph, ture land use ude zoning heme, ecial exceptions, s (CB), planning |
| | Mr. Shrum, being duly sworn, spoke on height, rezoning, a rights and privileges. | applications, and |
| | Mr. Clark spoke on zoning map amendment, comprehensi consistency, island neighborhood, district intent statement business and general district, East Venice Avenue neighborh compatibility of existing uses, rezoning, non-conforming us convenience center, automotive service station, mitigation development code consistency, findings of rezoning amen concurrency, mobility, public facilities, findings of fact, plan determination and answered board questions on previous non-conforming impact. | s, commercial orhood, noods, ses, automotive techniques, land dments, nning commission |

Mr. Clark spoke to Petition Nos. 18-07SP and 18-07SE to include site and development plan and special exception, retail building, new canopy, outdoor display and sale of retail merchandise, parking, landscaping, signage, drainage improvements, design alternatives, and driveways.

Mr. Snyder questioned Ms. Fernandez on ceiling height.

Mr. Clark spoke on proposed site plan, propane storage tank, driveways, canopy, comprehensive plan consistency, island neighborhood usage, Mixed Use Downtown (MUD), land use element strategies, transportation element, mobility fees, transitional strategies, protection of single-family neighborhoods, prevention of commercial or industrial uses, degree of non-comforming uses, densities and intensities of existing uses, mitigation techniques, landscaping, buffering, road access, design and architectural feature standards, certificate of compliance, canopy striping, land development code consistency, required standards, concurrency, mobility, findings of fact, special exceptions to include outdoor display and retail merchandise, planning commission action, fueling tanks, and stipulations.

Jeffery Boone, Boone Law Firm, being duly sworn, spoke on comprehensive plan consistency, city codes, ordinances, Architectural Review Board (ARB) design approval, canopy approval, sign exhibit, rezoning to include redeveloped existing use, public and city benefits, propane tanks, gas stations, island attractions, site and development plan to include new canopy installation, access points, consistency standards, landscaping, traffic flow, outdoor display and sale of merchandise, convenience store, and answered board questions on 40 foot wide driveway and parking.

Kyle Shasteen, Bowman Consulting Group, being duly sworn, answered board questions on supply truck access, and curb height for propane tank storage area.

Jim Collins, Boone Law Firm, being duly sworn, answered board question on standard aisle width.

Mr. Boone answered board questions on a possible phase two, rezoning property, and access points and turning lanes.

Mr. Shasteen answered board questions on turning lanes and lane impacts.

Mr. Boone answered board questions on dumpsters and neighborhood residential area.

Mr. Shasteen answered board questions on retention ponds, landscaping, and city lighting compliance.

Mr. Boone answered board questions on monument sign, height and width of signage, outdoor display and retail merchandise, propane restrictions, and CBD zoning, population growth, and land development regulations.

Nathan Boock, 119 E. Venice Avenue, being duly sworn, spoke on dumpsters, concerns, accidents, increasing signage, pedestrian traffic, canopy, downtown view, safety, gas pumps, and negative impacts.

Mr. Boone spoke on gas station traffic, potential customers, intersection traffic, raised median, access points, aesthetic appearance, relocation, and larger canopy.

Mr. Snyder closed the public hearing.

A motion was made by Ms. Fawn, seconded by Mr. Hale, that based on review of the application materials, the staff report and testimony provided during the public hearing, the Planning Commission, sitting as the local planning agency, finds this petition consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, in compliance with the Land Development Code and with the affirmative findings of fact in the record, and recommends approval to city council of Zoning Amendment Petition No. 18-02RZ. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 6 - Chair Snyder, Mr. Graser, Mr. Murphy, Ms. Fawn, Mr. McKeon and Mr. Hale

Excused: 1 - Mr. Towery

Mr. Snyder spoke on Commercial Business District (CBD) zoning.