

#### City of Venice 401 West Venice Ave., Venice, FL 34285 941-486-2626

#### DEVELOPMENT SERVICES - PLANNING & ZONING

#### SPECIAL EXCEPTION APPLICATION

Project Name: Village on the Isle, Phase 1a

Parcel Identification No.: 0430-07-0007

Address: 900 South Tamiami Trail, Venice, FL 34285

Parcel Size: 15.51 acres

FLUM designation: Institutional - Professional

Zoning Map designation: OPI - Office, Professional Institutional

Property Owner's Name: The Southwest Florida Retirement Center, Inc.

Telephone: (941) 486-5485

Fax:

E-mail: janderson@villageontheisle.com

Mailing Address: 920 South Tamiami Trail, Venice, FL 34285

Project Manager: Dean L. Paquet, P.E.; Kimley-Horn & Associates, Inc.

Telephone: (941) 379-7600

Mobile / Fax: (941) 587-9728

E-mail: dean.paquet@kimley-horn.com

Mailing Address: 1777 Main Street, Suite 200, Sarasota, FL 34236

Project Engineer: Dean L. Paquet, P.E.; Kimley-Horn & Associates, Inc.

Telephone: (941) 379-7600

Mobile / Fax: (941) 587-9728

E-mail: dean.paquet@kimley-horn.com

Mailing Address: 1777 Main Street, Suite 200, Sarasota, FL 34236

Project Architect: Craig P. Kimmel, AIA, LEED AP; RLPS Architects

Telephone: (717) 560-9501

Mobile / Fax: (717) 560-2373

E-mail: ckimmel@rlps.com

Mailing Address: 250 Valleybrook Drive, Lancaster, PA 17601

Incomplete applications connot be processed - See reverse side for checklis

Applicant Signature / Date:

Revised 12/10

ECIAL EXCEPTION

Signed, Sedied and Dated Survey of Property  Agent Authorization Letter
Agent Authorization Letter Narrative describing the petition (address the required findings for approval below) Public Workshop Requirements. Date held 02/22/2017
<ul> <li>✓ Copy of newspaper ad.</li> <li>✓ Copy of notice to property owners.</li> <li>✓ Written summary of public workshop.</li> </ul>
equired findings for approval. Before any special exception shall be approved, the lanning commission shall make a written finding that the granting of the special exception will not adversely affect the public interest and certify that the specific equirements governing the individual special exception, if any, have been met by the setitioner and that, further, satisfactory provision and arrangement has been made oncerning the following matters, where applicable:  1. Compliance with all applicable elements of the comprehensive plan.  2. Ingress and egress to property and proposed structures thereon with particular reference to automotive and pedestrian safety and convenience, traffic flow and control, and access in case of fire or catastrophe.  3. Off-street parking and loading areas, where required, with particular attention to the items listed in subsection (e)(2) of this section and the economic, noise, glare or odor effects of the special exception on adjoining properties and properties generally in the district.  4. Refuse and service areas, with particular reference to the items listed in subsections (e)(2) and (e)(3) of this section.  5. Utilities, with reference to location, availability and compatibility.  6. Screening and buffering, with reference to type, dimensions and character.  7. Signs, if any, and proposed exterior lighting with reference to glare, traffic safety, economic effects, and compatibility and harmony with properties in the district.  8. Required yards and other open space.  9. General compatibility with adjacent properties and other property in the district.  10. Any special requirements set out in the schedule of district regulations of this chapter for the particular use involved.
equired documentation (provide 15 sets of the following including 3 signed, sealed and lated, unless otherwise noted):
<ul> <li>Site and development plans at an appropriate scale showing proposed placement of structures on the property, and provisions for ingress and egress, off-street parking and off-street loading areas, refuse and service areas, and required yards and other open spaces;</li> <li>Plans showing proposed locations for utility hookups;</li> <li>Plans for screening and buffering with reference as to type, dimensions and character;</li> <li>Proposed landscaping and provisions for trees protected by city regulations; and</li> <li>Proposed signs and lighting, including type, dimensions and character.</li> </ul>

Required documentation (provide one copy of the following, unless otherwise noted):

Statement of Ownership & Control

ees

Application filing fee \$400.

Public notice fee in excess of \$50 will be billed to applicant and is not included in application fee.

## VILLAGE ON THE ISLE - PHASE 1A

The following items are being requested as code modifications through the Special Exception Application from the City of Venice Land Development Regulations. The specific item/request is listed below, followed by the supporting "Justifications" for each request.

- Request: Post-mounted directional (wayfinding) signs and low-level directional signs exceed the maximum square footage of two square feet (Sec. 86-401(a)11). Signs are proposed to not exceed 22 square feet each.
- 2. **Request:** A code modification from Section 86-402(b)(1)b is requested for all proposed ground signs that do not have a monument design.

**Justification:** Proposed directional signs are not to exceed 22 square feet each. The proposed way finding signs within the interior of the Village on the Isle property are intended to be used during construction as aids in navigating the shifting locations of buildings, safe access routes, and entrances and exits from the site. The intent of the larger signs is to reduce confusion and clutter from having a large number of signs and to improve readability for the intended users. There are 5 interior signs of this type as shown on sheets HS-1 and HS-2.

The quantity and dimensions of monument signs are shown on sheets HS-1 and HS-2. Existing signs will be either replaced, relocated, or covered with a new logo and branding. The rebranding and resurfacing of the signs is intended to convey the same information as the existing signs, but reflect the new logo and branding theme.

One monument sign is allowed for each road frontage and there are two sizes of monument ground entrance signs as shown on sheets HS-1 and HS-2:
One of the larger monument sign is proposed: (1) along S. Tamiami Trail (US41) Two of the smaller monument sign are proposed: (1) along Avienda Del Circo, and (2) along Field Ave. E

An attached wall has been added to the easternmost Emerald Terraces building, with a sign applied. As such, this sign is considered to be a Building Sign, since it is attached to the building. The intent is to alert northbound travelers on S. Tamiami Trail that Village on the Isle is here, and that they should turn left onto Avienda Del Circo

Re-branding of the Village on the Isle (VOTI) community, replacing the old logo (orange Hibiscus) with the new logo, is a top priority and also provides an opportunity to direct site traffic in a safe and effective manner during construction. Toward that end:

• One of the existing non-conforming signs at the main entrance along Avenida Del Circo will be converted to a *Temporary Construction Sign as noted on sheet HS-1 and detailed on sheet HS-1*, notifying the public of the ongoing construction work and urging visitors to use caution. The other two existing non-confirming signs are to be removed. There are also two (2) post mounted *Temporary Construction Signs* proposed as noted on sheets HS-2 (detailed on sheet HS-1) at the intersection of US-41 Bus. and Avenida Del Circo and along Field Ave. All the *Temporary Construction Signs* will be removed upon completion of construction, thus eliminating the non-conforming signs. The three new monument signs noted above will be used around the perimeter at the locations noted and shown on sheets HS-1 and HS-2.

NOV 28 2017

## VILLAGE ON THE ISLE - PHASE 1A

- All interior exempt signage will be replaced with new sign faces utilizing the new logo (to complete the re-branding effort). These include building labels, and wayfinding/directional signs. (Sec. 86-401)
- 3. Request: The hedge along Avenida Del Circo does not form a continuous, unbroken screen. (Sec. 86-436(2)).
  - Justification: Comprehensive Plan Policy 8.3 proposes that development projects meet architectural standards for infill development. The Venetian Urban Design District (VUD) in which the project is located requires the use of the Northern Italian Renaissance style of Architecture. Breaks in the hedges have been proposed to frame and compliment the architecture of the proposed buildings. Policy 8.6 of the Comprehensive Plan also aims to ensure the integration of buildings with community spaces and public infrastructure systems to promote connectivity and a campus-like feel. A continuous hedge will delineate and segregate separate developments and not support the applicant's intent to create a uniform campus-type neighborhood that shares infrastructure and encourages interactions between various uses.
- 4. Request: The landscape buffer along the proposed boundary line between Village on the Isle and Emmanuel Lutheran Church is not provided (Sec. 86-437). Justification: The lack of buffer along the common boundary line is intended to embrace the unique relationship between the Church and VOTI while allowing both properties to function as one cohesive campus. Per Policy 10.1 of the City of Venice Comprehensive Plan, future development within the City shall promote its connection to surrounding buildings and support the quality of the street block by ensuring that the property use is supportive of the surrounding land uses. A buffer between the two properties would only serve to fragment the intended campus into distinct properties.
- 5. Request: The driveway widths of the driveway accessing Plaza Mayor and the driveway accessing Avenida Del Circo exceed the 24' required at the right-of-way line per Section 86-423(c). The driveway widths are increasing from 24' to 30' and 33' as noted on the plans.
  - **Justification:** Based on the location of the right-of way with respect to the driveway and the inclusion of the turning radii, providing a 24' wide driveway at the right-way is not feasible. New pavement markings, including stop bars at egress locations and internal crosswalks, will promote an increase in pedestrian safety and convenience. Additionally, proposed driveway widths exceeding the 40' width at the pavement junction and the 24' width at the right-of-way will create greater ease of access for emergency vehicles.
- 6. Request: A covered walkway is proposed to connect the Emmanuel Lutheran Church property with the Village on the Isle property. The minimum side yard setback of ten feet in the OPI zoning district (Sec. 86-90(j)(1)(b)) is being reduced to no setback. Justification: Adjustments to the required side yard is needed for the covered walkway connecting VOTI to the church. The structural cover will span the newly created property boundary between the two parcels. Village on the Isle will maintain the structure. In conformance with Policy 8.6 and 8.7 of the City of Venice Comprehensive Plan, the purpose of providing the covered structure spanning the property boundary is to maintain consistency with the other covered walkways on the property and to create

## VILLAGE ON THE ISLE - PHASE 1A

an interconnected sidewalk network between the Village on the Isle and the church property, encouraging and supporting interconnectivity and the unified campus approach 7. **Request:** The additional setbacks for buildings with a height in excess of 35 feet (Sec. 86-90 (j)(2)) is not being provided. The Health Center building will be 55.1' tall and the Independent Living buildings will be 62.9' tall. The setbacks requested with the code modification are as follows:

_	Required	Requested/Provided
Front (Along Avenida Del Circo)	31.5′ (1)	20.7′
Front (Along Plaza Mayor)	30' (2)	15.3'

For BLDGS Above 35':

(1) The greater of  $\frac{1}{2}$  H or 30':  $\frac{1}{2}$  H =  $\frac{1}{2}$  (62.9') = 31.5' > 30' (2) The greater of  $\frac{1}{2}$  H or 30':  $\frac{1}{2}$  H =  $\frac{1}{2}$  (55.1') = 27.6' < 30'

**Justification:** The Village on the Isle property is located within the VUD overlay district as established in Sec. 86-122 of the Land Development Code. Part of the intent of the VUD overlay is to allow for the removal of front and side yards along U.S. 41 Business and the option to build to the right-of-way line. Furthermore, 86-122(I)(4) states that new buildings shall be sited on the property within the front portion of the property to facilitate pedestrian activity. In order to maximize the usability of the existing undeveloped area and locate proposed buildings in the front of the property adjacent to US-41 Business and other roadways, reductions in the front yard along US-41 Business and along the side yards from those required by code at Avenida Del Circo and Plaza Mayor are being sought.

8. Request: The proposed parking for the VOTI does not meet the Code requirements according to Section 122-434. According to this section, VOTI would require 342 spaces (1 space for each unit of Independent Living and Assistant Living facilities and 1 space for every four beds in the Skilled Nursing Facility) while only proposing 270 spaces. Justification: As allowed by the City of Venice Comprehensive Plan in Policy 19.2.D, a parking study has been provided to establish the required parking for Village on the Isle campus based on current parking needs and a joint use agreement between the Emanuel Lutheran Church and the VOTI. In conformance with the Land Development Regulations for the VUD District and Comprehensive Plan Policy19.2.D, Village on the Isle may use shared parking facilities. The shared parking is utilized to help promote interaction between the Emmanuel Lutheran Church and Village on the Isle while avoiding expansive pavement areas within the community which reduces the amount of storm water management facilities needed as well as reducing the "heat island" effect associated with paved parking.

## VILLAGE ON THE ISLE - PHASE 1A

#### Findings of Fact - Section 86-43(e)(1-10):

- 1. Compliance with applicable elements of the comprehensive plan. As noted in the justifications for each request.
- 2. Ingress and egress to property and proposed structures thereon with particular reference to automotive and pedestrian safety and convenience, traffic flow and control, and access in case of fire or catastrophe. The existing vehicular ingress and egress as well as access points from the parking lot to Plaza Mayor and Avenida Del Circo will not be changed with this application.
- 3. Off-street parking and loading areas, where required, with particular attention to the items listed in subsection (e)(2) of this section and the economic, noise, glare or odor effects of the special exception on adjoining properties and properties generally in the district. Off-street parking is in accordance with LDC requirements. New pavement markings, including stop bars at egress locations and internal crosswalks, will promote an increase in pedestrian safety and convenience. The general location, circulation, and intent of the proposed parking is largely unchanged from the existing condition, resulting in no additional economic, noise, glare, or odor effects.
- 4. Refuse and service areas, with particular reference to the items listed in subsections (e)(2) and (e)(3) of this section. Refuse areas are provided in accordance with LDC requirements. Sufficient access and screening are provided with the design.
- 5. *Utilities, with reference to location, availability and compatibility*. Utilities provided to the VOTI buildings are designed and shown in accordance with LDC requirements.
- 6. Screening and buffering, with reference to type, dimensions and character. The screening and buffering proposed still meets the intent of LDC.
- 7. Signs, if any, and proposed exterior lighting with reference to glare, traffic safety, economic effects, and compatibility and harmony with properties in the district.

  Rebranding of the existing signs is being proposed with the VOTI improvements which will not adversely affect the public interest. The lighting meets LDC requirements.
- 8. Required yards and other open space. The proposed reduction in the required yards are proposed between the two adjacent owners where there exists a master agreement in place for the proposed improvements
- 9. General compatibility with adjacent properties and other property in the district. The proposed building and parking layouts are based on a master plan for the campus which was created to increase the sustainability of the existing development while being compatible with the adjacent developments.
- 10. Any special requirements set out in the schedule of district regulations of this chapter for the particular use involved. No special requirements proposed.

# Kimley » Horn

#### **MEMORANDUM**

To:

Joel Anderson

Village on the Isle

From:

Michael R. Woodward, P.E.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

Date:

Monday, May 1, 2017

Subject:

**VOTI Parking Utilization Study** 

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. has been retained by Village on the Isle (VOTI) to analyze the existing parking utilization and forecast the future parking demand associated with an expansion of the site. The parking supply and demand are evaluated and compared to the City's parking requirements and parking needs during construction.

#### Background

An analysis was performed to determine the existing parking supply on a typical weekday, on a typical Saturday, and on a typical Sunday. The Village on the Isle site is adjacent to the Emmanuel Lutheran Church, with some of the parking areas shared between the two sites. Due to the proximity and agreement to share parking areas, the church is included in this analysis, with spaces and parking metrics calculated separately.

The existing parking spaces throughout the site are either staff parking areas, assigned resident parking spaces, or open spaces for guests. Recognizing that there are multiple buildings, there is a need to have sufficient parking opportunities distributed throughout the site. The site has plans to expand in two phases and there will be a need to provide sufficient parking during construction as well as upon buildout of the site.

While the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) maintain a database on parking demand, the data for similar uses is limited and based on small sample sizes. Therefore, the ITE data is not recommended for use in this analysis. The monitoring conducted for this analysis is anticipated to provide a far more accurate assessment of parking demand for this specific site.

#### Study Area

This site is located within the City of Venice, Florida, on the south side of Business US 41 (Tamiami Trail), bounded in the west by Plaza Mayor and in the east by Avenida Del Circo. The site consists of 212 Independent Living Units, 64 Assisted Living Units, and 60 Skilled Nursing Units, with a maximum of 120 employees working during the main weekday shift. The site is shown in **Figure 1**, split up into six separate areas. These are not official designations of named parking lots, rather, they are general areas that are somewhat distinct. There are 191 spaces that are reserved for residents, with 160 of them currently occupied.

Kimley » Horn



Figure 1: Parking Areas Within Village on the Isle



Vehicular access is provided to the site via driveways on Tamiami Trail, Avenida Del Circo, Field Avenue, and Plaza Mayor. The church parking lot is connected to the site through internal driveways.

#### **Peak Parking Periods**

The peak parking periods, and days, for these sites were determined based on the employee shift times and church times. Information from the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) *Parking Generation Manual*, was also used to identify peak parking demand times.

Employee shift times are from 7:00 am to 2:00 pm on typical weekdays, with between 70 and 75 employees. A shift change occurs from 2:30 pm to 3:30 pm, though the evening shift has fewer employees.

The Emmanuel Lutheran Church has Saturday Holy Communion at 5:00 pm, and the main Sunday Holy Communion at 8:30 am. There are other church activities throughout the week, but the Sunday morning service is the by far the peak time period.

Based on the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) *Parking Generation Manual*, peak parking demand for congregate care, assisted living facilities, nursing home, and continuing care facilities occurs on weekdays between 10:00 and 11:00 am, and between 1:00 and 3:00 pm.

Since the employee shift time for this site coincides with the typical peak times for these types of uses, the weekday parking demand was measured when the employees were most likely to be on site, at 11:00 am and at 1:00 pm. Weekend demand was measured during church hours; Saturday at 5:30 pm and Sunday at 9:00 am.

#### Parking Inventories

Parking inventories were performed during the late morning and early afternoon peak parking periods on Thursday, March 2, 2017, during the Saturday evening church service time on February 25, 2017, and during Sunday morning church on February 26, 2017. Raw data collection results are included in **Appendix A**.

The continuing care site has 338 parking spaces available, and the church has 140 spaces for a total of 478 parking spaces. Note that there are an additional 24 parking spaces along Plaza Mayor, adjacent to the church. These spots were included in the data collection for lot A, but the spots are within the road right-of-way.

Many of the VOTI spaces are numbered spaces that are reserved for specific residents. As previously discussed the church and the continuing care facility share some of their parking spaces since the peak times do not overlap. The continuing care site experiences peak demand during the main employee shift on weekdays, when the church is inactive, and the church experiences peak demand on Sunday mornings, when the continuing care facility has far fewer employees working than during weekdays. This compatibility of land uses is ideal for sharing parking spaces. Several VOTI employees typically park within the church parking lot during their weekday shift, improving the efficiency of the parking areas.



Parking utilization was counted based on the lot areas shown in Figure 1 above. These are not officially designated areas, but the separate accounting helps to understand where and when peaking characteristics occur. Parking occupancy is shown for weekdays in *Table 1* and weekend on *Table 2*. Note that any vehicles along Plaza Mayor were assumed to be within Lot A during the weekday.

Table 1:	Parking	Occupancy -	Weekday
----------	---------	-------------	---------

	Supply of	Thursda	y, 11 am	Thursda	ıy, 1 pm	Friday, 12:30 pm		
Parking Area	Parking Spaces	# of Spots Occupied		# of Spots Occupied		# of Spots Occupied		
Lot A: Church Lot	140	42	30%	32	23%	28	20%	
Lot B: Northern Area	45	22	49%	21	47%	19	42%	
Lot C: Near Plaza Mayor	85	75	88%	69	81%	59	69%	
Lot D: Central Area	17	13	76%	12	71%	14	82%	
Lot E: Near Avenida Del Circo	113	59	52%	59	52%	91	81%	
Lot F: South Lot	78	44	56%	47	60%	44	56%	
TOTAL	478	255	53%	240	50%	255	53%	

Table 2: Parking Occupancy - Weekend

-	Supply of	Saturday	, 5:30 pm	Sunday, 9:00 am		
Parking Area	Parking Spaces	# of Spots Occupied		# of Spots Occupied		
Lot A: Church Lot	140	12	9%	140	100%	
Lot B: Northern Area	45	24	53%	18	40%	
Lot C: Near Plaza Mayor	85	29	34%	39	46%	
Lot D: Central Area	17	5	29%	3	18%	
Lot E: Near Avenida Del Circo	113	83	73%	72	64%	
Lot F: South Lot	78	37	47%	29	37%	
TOTAL	478	190	40%	301	63%	

As shown in the tables, the peak parking demand occurs during the Sunday evening church service, with an overall site occupancy of 63%, with the church parking lot fully utilized at 100% occupancy. Note that the adjacent areas nearest to the church still have available parking spaces during this time. Lot C, which is immediately south of the church, is less than 50% occupied. As stated previously, there are an additional 24 parking spaces along Plaza Mayor which are fully occupied during Sunday evenings.



The weekday peak period is characterized by approximately 50% occupancy throughout the site, with Lots C, D, and E experiencing the highest occupancy rates.

#### **Parking Demand Per Unit**

The existing site includes 212 Independent Living Units, 64 Assisted Living Units, and 60 Skilled Nursing Units, for a total of 336 units within the continuing care facility. Since the parking areas are shared, it is not possible to calculate separate parking rates for each type of care. Overall, with 336 units and a peak weekday parking demand of 255 occupied spaces, the parking rate is 0.76 spaces per unit.

On Sunday, when the Church is active, the Church parking lot is full and the non-church parking areas show a demand corresponding to 0.48 spaces per unit.

It is noted that the Church has significantly higher parking demand on several days throughout the year, such as Palm Sunday, Good Friday, Easter Sunday, and Christmas.

#### **Proposed Changes**

Future plans for the site include two phases to add 46 Independent Living Units and 4 Skilled Nursing Units in Phase 1A. At some point in the future, the site will likely add 24 additional Independent Living Units in Phase 1B, but the specific timing and configuration is unknown. Therefore, this analysis only considers the changes with Phase 1A. Based on the existing parking rates, the Phase 1A units will increase the demand by 39 spaces during the weekday and 25 spaces during the weekend. The proposed changes include modifications to the existing parking lots:

- Independent Living buildings: redevelopment will result in a net loss of 5 spaces
- Other buildings: redevelopment will result in a net loss of 63 spaces

Upon completion of the site modifications, the peak parking demand on a typical weekday is 294 spaces. The future parking supply will be 410 spaces, so there will continue to be sufficient parking supply.

On a typical Sunday, the future parking demand is anticipated to be 342 spaces, compared to the available 410 spaces (with full occupancy of the Church's 140 spaces, the remaining 198 cars can park in the remaining 270 spaces).

Existing and Future parking supply and demand are summarized in Table 3.



Table 3: Parking Supply, Demand, and Occupancy Rates.

Parking Area	Supply of Parking Spaces	Weekday Max Demand	Weekday % occupied	Weekend Max	Weekend % occupied
Church Parking	140	42	30%	140	100%
VOTI - Existing	338	213	63%	161	48%
Existing - Total	478	255	53%	301	63%
VOTI - Future Changes	-68	39	-	25	-
TOTAL FUTURE	410	294	72%	326	80%

#### **City Parking Requirements**

The City of Venice has the following requirements for shared parking:

#### Sec. 86-416. - Combined off-street parking facilities.

- (a) Two or more owners or operators of buildings or uses requiring off-street parking facilities may make collective provision for such facilities, provided that the total of such parking spaces when combined or used together shall not be less than the sum of the requirements computed separately except in accordance with this section. Any arrangement for combined off-street parking shall be subject to the filing of a legal instrument satisfactory to the city attorney ensuring that such off-street parking will be maintained in the future so long as a use requiring such off-street parking continues.
- (b) No part of an off-street parking area required for any building or use shall be included as a part of an off-street parking area similarly required for another building or use unless the planning commission, upon application, shall find that the type of use indicates that the period of usage will not overlap or be concurrent with each other.

In summary, there must be a "legal instrument" in place that ensures the needed parking will continue to be in place as long as it is needed, and the planning commission must agree that the shared parking supply is sufficient.

As documented in this memorandum, shared parking conditions for the Church and VOTI site are ideal because the peak periods of use do not overlap. The peak parking demand for the Church site occurs on Sundays. This time-of-day and day-of-week corresponds to a relatively low parking demand for the VOI site. Peak periods of the VOTI site occur during the mid-day of weekdays, when the church site has minimal parking demand. The combined sites were measured to be approximately 53% occupied on weekdays during the peak, and 63% occupied during the weekend peak period. After changes associated with Phase 1A, it is anticipated that the combined site will be 72% occupied on weekdays during the peak and 80% occupied on weekends during the peak.



#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The existing site is typically parked at approximately 53% occupancy on weekdays (with 255 of the 482 spaces occupied), at 40% occupancy on Saturdays, and at 63% occupancy on Sundays during Church. The non-Church parking areas are parked at approximately 67% occupancy on weekdays and 48% occupancy on Sundays during Church.

As the site expands in future phases, approximately 39 more parking spaces will be used during weekdays and 25 more parking spaces will be used during the weekend. This results in an average future parking demand of approximately 294 spaces during the weekday and 326 spaces during the weekend. Planned redevelopment will result in a net reduction of 68 spaces, resulting in a future parking supply of 410 spaces. The anticipated maximum demand 326 spaces is therefore 83% of the parking supply, resulting in additional available parking spaces on site.

K:\ORL\_TPTO\148116003\_VOTI Parking\05\_Report\ParkingMemorandum\_VOTI\_May.docx



## **APPENDIX A**

Parking Inventory Data

## es 💮

## **VENICE PARKING STUDY**

Location: 920 S Tamiami Trail

City: Venice, FL

rday, //2017	TIME	LOT A TOTAL	LOT B TOTAL	LOT C TOTAL	LOT D TOTAL	LOT E TOTAL	LOT F TOTAL	GRAND TOTAL	OCCUPANCY PERCENTAGE
Satu 2/25	INVENTORY	168	45	85	17	113	78	506	
0	5:30 PM	12	24	29	5	83	37	190	38%

17,	TIME	LOT A TOTAL	LOT B TOTAL	LOT C TOTAL	LOT D TOTAL	LOT E TOTAL	LOT F TOTAL	GRAND TOTAL	OCCUPANCY PERCENTAGE
Sunda 2/26/2	INVENTORY	168	45	85	17	113	78	506	
0	9:00 AM	168	18	39	3	72	29	329	65%

7	TIME	LOT A TOTAL	LOT B TOTAL	LOT C TOTAL	LOT D TOTAL	LOT E TOTAL	LOT F TOTAL	GRAND TOTAL	OCCUPANCY PERCENTAGE
Thursday, 3/02/201	INVENTORY	168	45	85	17	113	78	506	
Thu 03/0	11:00 AM	42	22	75	13	59	44	255	50%
	1:00 PM	32	21	69	12	59	47	240	47%

Notes:	