Anthony V. Pinzone
978 Questa Avenue East
Venice, Florida 34278
941-486-0003
avplmp9@gmail.com

October 30, 2015

Jeff Shrum, AICP
Development Services Director
City of Venice
401 W. Venice Avenue
Venice, Florida 34285

Dear Mr. Shrum

First and foremost, thank you for our meeting on Tuesday morning. I look forward to your presentation on November 18th at the Indies Hall in Bay Indies. I am sure that your presentation will answer many questions relative to the Comprehensive Plan revisions and how they may impact the residents of Bay Indies.

As you know from our meeting, I voiced concerns relative to the planned revisions within the Comprehensive Plan. Specifically, I am concerned that the Comprehensive Plan continues to authorize and maintain the 6.4 density factor currently approved for the Bay Indies community. Also, I am concerned about the rebuilding of homes in Bay Indies in cases of destruction. These mobile homes found in Bay Indies and other mobile home parks within the City of Venice currently may not comply with the current City zoning or building codes. Construction complying with the zoning and building codes may prevent the reconstruction of mobile homes as they currently exist. Lastly, I would appreciate if City staff would consider looking into the possibility of mobile homes being considered affordable housing and obtain the protection of State and City statutes within the affordable housing statutes. I am of the mind that such protection, affordable housing, may limit the resale of such mobile home parks for usage other than continued mobile home parks.

Once again, thank you for hearing my concerns.

Sincerely,

Anthony V. Pinzone

Anthony V. Pinzone

Cc: Mayor John Holic
City Manager Edward Lavallee

Anthony V. Pinzone

November 19, 2015

Jeff Shrum, AICP
Development Services Director
City of Venice
401 W. Venice Avenue
Venice, Florida 34285

Dear Mr. Shrum,

I would like to ***thank you*** and Barry Snyder for the presentation last evening at Bay Indies. You both clarified the process of the comprehensive plan review and update. Having the opportunity to voice concerns relative to the planned and needed revisions gave the residents an opportunity to express their concerns and issues.

I particularly appreciated the opportunity to reiterated my concerns expressed in my October 20th letter to you. These concerns covered three specific areas:

1. As Bay Indies is considered a medium density residential community consisting of 6.4 home sites per acre, I voiced concern relative to its continued authorization to maintain its current density factor. These homes found in Bay Indies and other mobile home parks within the City of Venice currently may not comply with the current City zoning and/or building codes. Basically, the desire is to expand and continue current protective language found in the current comprehensive plan.

2. I voiced concern that construction complying with the current zoning and building codes may not allow for the reconstruction of the mobile homes as they currently exist in Bay Indies or in other mobile home parks within the City limits. I am requesting language that authorizes reconstruction in a manner that would not result in the loss of any dwelling units from the number that presently exits. This proposed language would permit reconstruction for mobile homes as they currently exist including not only involuntary destruction but also voluntary destruction due to structural, codes or other considerations.

Recommendations from Parks and Recreation Advisory Board 1/11/2016

1. What topics/items does your board make to City Council?
   We serve as a link between citizens and the City Council.

   A. .Additions, maintenance, reductions or changes in current Park usage, or regulations.
   B. Proposals for new Parks or recreation related services.

2. What are the most significant challenges/ issues the City has now and in the next 10 years for the City?

   A. Maintenance of existing sports facilities.
   B. Changes to the Interlocal Agreement with Sarasota County regarding these facilities.
   C. Requests for expanded facilities.(sports, dog beach, pickleball , disc golf range)
   D. Planning for the needs of new population growth by location and interest while preserving green spaces.
   E. Completing elements of Legacy Park.
   F. Continue improvement of bicycle/pedestrian access within the City boundaries.
   G. Development of a City Tree Ordinance with emphasis on expanding as well as preserving our tree canopies and removing invasive species.
   H. Development of a capacity for environmental management.

3. What are the most significant positive aspects/conditions the City has now that you feel need to be preserved and/or replaced in the next 10 years?

   A. Our Dog Beach is the only one in the County and draws users widely. It needs expanded beach front and improved facilities.
   B. Heritage Park serves as the beautiful promenade to the beach. The pathway needs reconstruction and lighting. Special attention needs to be paid to the oaks lining Venice Ave.
   C. Venetia Parks is the centerpiece of one of our prized historic neighborhoods. It deserves a plan for major renovation recognizing its importance.
   D. PineBrook Trails are unique in the City and need extensive repairs.
   E. Sawgrass Park is a blank slate awaiting a plan for its development to serve that part of our City.
   F. Venice Beach is the only Gulf Beach in our area directly connected to a lovely town. Major improvements or replacement of the restrooms and concession building are needed.

G. Wellfield Park is a huge park meeting many needs. We can benefit from the strong public support for organized sports working with County to maintain this site and plan for the future.
H. Venetian Waterway Park is a jewel accomplished by the hands of many. It needs improved shade, rest stops and signage.
I. The Venice Airport uses a very large area of our City so we need plans for additional recreational usage of Airport lands. – disc golf, pickle ball tennis

An land dog park with agility equipment.

3. Lastly, I would appreciate if City staff would consider looking into the possibility of mobile homes being considered as affordable housing or other comparable classifications as necessary to be included in the protection of State and City within its protective housing statutes. I am of the mind that such protective classifications may limit the resale of such mobile home parks for usage other than continued mobile home parks.

Once again, thank you both for the presentation last night at Bay Indies.

Sincerely,

Anthony V. Pinzone

Anthony V. Pinzone

CC: Mayor John Holic
City Manager Edward Lavallee
Barry Snyder, Chairman of the Planning Commission

Gmail

ANTHONY PINZONE <avplmp9@gmail.com>

City Comp Plan Concerns

1 message

ANTHONY PINZONE <avplmp9@gmail.com> Mon, Nov 30, 2015 at 12:15 PM
To: ANTHONY PINZONE <avplmp9@gmail.com>

Here are some of my concerns relative to revisions in the City's Comp Plan:

1. Policy 10.8 does not make any reference to mobile homes to allow conforming and non-conforming mobile homes that are destroyed by any means including voluntary removal, to rebuild to the minimum height required to replace the same number of dwelling units at no less size per unity by square footage. My concern is that mobile homes do not have the same protections as multi-family structures and should have them. Condo blgs except

2. Policy 11.2 Defines Medium Density residential areas does not reference mobile home parks in its definition. I am not certain that it needs to identify current Medium Density residential areas; but, I would be more comfortable with inclusive definitions such as mobile home parks. Bay Indies does currently have a Medium Density factor of 6.4 home sites per acre; and, if reconstruction is necessary or desirable, should be allowed to maintain its current density factor.

3. Within Chapter 1, Element 2 of the Housing & Neighborhood Development Element, Policy 4.1, states that The City shall support decisions and actions that encourage mobile home and manufactured home communities...help to provide housing that is affordable to income groups that are needed to support the local economy. In my opinion, mobile homes should be entitled to the statutory protections provided to affordable and workforce housing. It is not clear within this policy what are the protections provided. are mobile homes considered "affordable" housi

4. Policy 4.2 within the same Chapter 1, stated that the City supports legislation to assist residents of viable mobile/manufactured home communities in purchasing their parks. The policy does not state what the support is nor does it specifically reference what legislation. Is it State or City legislation and what support may be expected?

5. Policy 4.3 within the same Chapter 1, states that the City shall review and address the appropriate requirements regarding the conversion of mobile home/manufactured home communities to a different land use where deemed appropriate. What does that mean–where deemed appropriate?

It is my belief that the references made in my last letter dated November 19, 2015 to the City staff and Council reflect the concerns outlined in the above comments. Hope these comments make some sense.

As the Comp Plan revisions are formulated, we should continue to be vigilant and attempt to insure proper consideration for the for the residents of Bay Indies . I further believe that the other 10 to 12 mobile home parks within the City limits must have the same concerns.

Tony

Anthony V. Pinzone
avplmp9@gmail.com

6. Policy 4.4. – see page 152 of The City Comp Plan what does it mean?

7. are mobile homes considered as affordable housing in the new Comp. Plan?

flood area reconstruction height

Pinebrook area meeting 12/14/15 4-5:30 pm Salvation Army Bldg.

RECEIVED
NOV 15 2016
PLANNING & ZONING

BAY INDIES HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, INC.
895 Zacapa Ave
Venice, Florida 34285

December 11, 2015

Mr. Jeff Shrum, AICP
City of Venice Development Services Director
401 W. Venice Avenue
Venice, Florida 34285

Dear Mr. Shrum:

We would like to thank you and Barry Snyder for the presentation you made at Bay Indies Mobile Home Resort on November 18th.

Following is an explanation of the concerns, recommended amendments and needed clarifications to the revisions of the city's Comprehensive Plan that we feel are critical for the continued protection of the residents of Bay Indies.

1. Within Chapter 1, Element 2 of the Housing and Neighborhood Development Element, Policy 4.1 States the "City shall support decisions and actions that encourage mobile home and manufactured Home communities ... help to provide housing that is affordable to income groups that are needed to support the local economy." To be clarified, does this statement mean that mobile home and manufactured home communities are considered "affordable housing and workforce housing"? In our opinion, language should be added to define the inclusion of affordable housing and workforce housing as it relates to mobile/manufactured communities.

2. Policy 4.2 within the same Chapter 1, states "The City supports legislation to assist residents of viable mobile home/manufactured home communities in purchasing their parks." Question for clarification, the current policy does not state what the support is nor does it specifically reference what legislation will be supported. Is it State or City legislation and what support may be expected? In our opinion, language should be added to define specific legislation and also the level of support relative to such purchases.

3. Policy 4.3 within the same Chapter 1, states "The City shall review its Land Development Regulations to ensure there are adequate provisions that support modern manufactured housing, Ensuring compatibility with surrounding land uses consistent with desired community character. The review shall also address the appropriate requirements regarding the conversion of mobile Home/manufactured home communities to a different land use when deemed appropriate." Our concern is that Policy 4.3 does NOT reference the required State statutory procedures relative to changes in land usage for mobile/manufactured communities outlined in the State's Chapter 723 regulations. Further, the inclusion of language that defines the term "appropriate" is needed as "where deemed appropriate" does NOT define the term "appropriate."

4. Policy 10.8 defining "Nonconforming Multi-family structures allows conforming and nonconforming

Multi-family structures that are destroyed by any means, including voluntary removal, to rebuild to the minimum height required to replace the same number of dwelling units at no less size per unity by square footage." We are requesting that the same language be available for mobile/ manufactured home communities.

5. Policy 13.1 defines Medium Density Residential Areas to accommodate a variety of single and multi-family residential uses. To be clarified, are mobile/manufactured homes within the definition of single residential use? Assuming "yes", the terminology should be within the definition for clarification purposes.

We appreciate the opportunity to express our concerns and recommendations and ask that you respond to us, detailing your position on these issues. Further, what is the next step that may require additional input and actions on our part?

We thank you and Barry for your consideration and assistance in incorporating our issues into the City of Venice Comprehensive Plan.

Sincerely,

George Alexander
Homeowners' Association Co-President

R.D. Lovelace
Homeowners' Association Co-President

Christina Rimes

From: pat wayman <pat.wayman@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2016 12:01 PM
To: Planning Commission
Subject: Future Land Planning
Attachments: Letter-JPA-Exhibit 15 - Ed Martin JPA Letter.pdf

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I understand you may discuss the JPA today as it relates to the future development plans of Venice.

Please consider the attached letter from Sarasota county to the City of Venice in a similar, previous planning discussion. I believe it may help clarify the issues involved and the position of the county and the affected neighbors.

Thanks!
Pat Wayman

Ex 15

SARASOTA COUNTY
"Dedicated to Quality Service"

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
1660 Ringling Boulevard
Sarasota, Florida 34236
Telephone 941-861-5344
Fax 941-861-5987

November 28, 2007

RECEIVED
DEC - 3 2007
PLANNING & ZONING

Honorable Ed Martin, Mayor
Venice City Council
401 West Venice Avenue
Venice, Florida 34285

Dear Mayor Martin:

Thank you for sending representation from the City of Venice in the persons of Mr. Martin Black and Mr. Robert Anderson to the Joint Planning Agreement mediation session on November 26, 2007. While no agreement was reached with regard to City of Venice Rezone Petition No. 06-5RZ "Bella Citta," it was a productive session and we look forward to a continued conversation about Joint Planning Agreement issues at our joint Commission and Council meeting scheduled for February 2008. As noted above, at the end of the mediation session on November 26, 2007, a settlement was not reached. The parties acknowledged that the mediation efforts were concluded. Please accept this letter as notice under the terms of paragraph 10.D of the Joint Planning Agreement (JPA) that the Board wishes to terminate the settlement discussions as contemplated by the JPA and that the Board reserves its right to challenge a decision on the City of Venice Rezone Petition No. 06-5RZ.

However, the Sarasota County Board of Commissioners continues to have significant concerns about the development proposed in City of Venice Rezone Petition No. 06-5RZ "Bella Citta." Specifically, we find that the compatibility principles contained in Section 11.I of the Joint Planning Agreement, shown below, have not been reasonably applied in evaluating the impacts of the proposed Bella Citta project.

Paragraph 11.I. of the JPA reads as follows:

> The City agrees to use the County land use compatibility principles during the review of each zoning petition for any parcel located within the Joint Planning Areas set forth on Exhibit A and on properties within the City adjoining such areas. With the Coordination and Cooperation Areas set forth on Exhibit A, the County agrees not to revise its future land uses prior to confirmation of compatibility by the City. The land use compatibility reviews referenced above shall include an evaluation of land use density, intensity, character or type of use proposed, and an evaluation of site and architectural mitigation design techniques.

Paul H. Mercier, District 1 · Joseph A. Barbetta, District 2 · Shannon Staub, District 3 · Nora Patterson, District 4 · Jon Thaxton, District 5
pmercier@scgov.net jbarbett@scgov.net npatters@scgov.net jthaxton@scgov.net

Recycled Paper

> Potential incompatibility shall be mitigated through techniques including, but not limited to: (i) providing open space, perimeter buffers, landscaping and berms; (ii) screening of sources of light, noise, mechanical equipment, refuse areas, delivery areas and storage areas; (iii) locating road access to minimize adverse impacts, increased building setbacks, step-down in building heights; and (iv) increasing lot sizes and lower density or intensity of land use.

In previous correspondence to your staff, we cited Future Land Use (FLU) Objective 1.2., FLU Policy 1.2.1. and FLU Policy 3.1.1. of the Sarasota County Comprehensive Plan that relate to compatibility with adjacent land uses. Those Polices and Objective, along with Future Land Use Objective 2.1 are applicable and should be considered by the City of Venice when evaluating development proposals under the provisions of Paragraph 11.I. of the Joint Planning Agreement.

FLU Policy 1.2. reads as follows:

> Protect the quality and integrity of established residential neighborhoods from adjacent incompatible development.

FLU Policy 1.2.1. reads as follows:

> Potential incompatibilities between land uses due to the density, intensity, character or type of use proposed, shall be mitigated through site and architectural design techniques including but not limited to any or all of the following:
>
> - provision and location of open space, perimeter buffers, landscaping and berms;
> - the location and screening of sources of light, noise, mechanical equipment, refuse areas, delivery areas and storage areas;
> - the location of road access to minimize adverse impacts, increased building setbacks, step downs in building heights; and
> - increased lot sizes; and lower density or intensity of land use.

FLU Objective 2.1 reads as follows:

> Coordinate future land designations with soil and topographic characteristics, the protection of historical and natural resources, existing land uses, forms of development and the availability of public facilities.

FLU Policy 3.1.1. reads as follows *(emphasis added)*:

> Consistent with Policy 2.3.4., residential densities within the Urban Service Areas shall be no greater than the following density ranges as designated on the Future Land Use Map, unless otherwise specifically provided for by policy in this plan.
>
> Barrier Island residential density shall be in accordance with Policy 1.1.2 and 1.1.4 and shall not exceed the maximum gross density zoning requirements existing as of March 13, 1989.
>
> Low Density Residential is defined as development having gross densities less than two dwelling units per acre.
>
> Moderate Density Residential is defined as development having gross densities equal to or greater than two dwelling units per acre and less than five dwelling units per acre.
>
> Medium Density Residential is defined as development having gross densities equal to or greater than five dwelling units per acre and less than or equal to nine dwelling units per acre, except that a duplex on any lot record zoned RMF shall be a lawful density for this designation even if the gross density of the lot would exceed nine dwellings units per acre. This exception shall apply only for development on an entire platted lot as platted and shall not apply with any combination, recombination or assembly of the platted lot with any other land.
>
> High Density Residential is defined as development having gross densities greater than nine dwelling units per acre to thirteen units per acre, except that a duplex on any lot record zoned RMF shall be a lawful density for this designation even if the gross density of the lot would exceed thirteen dwellings units per acre. This exception shall apply only for development on an entire platted lot as platted and shall not apply with any combination, recombination or assembly of the platted lot with any other land.
>
> *Appropriate densities within each density range shall be determined, in part, by the land uses and land use designations surrounding the parcel, as well as the suitability of the parcel itself and the form for development.* Generally, densities at the higher end of the range will be most appropriate next to residential development or designations of comparable or higher density and intensive non-residential land uses or land use designations such as commercial, office, professional and institutional uses. *Densities at the lower end of the range will be more appropriate adjacent to lower density residential uses or designations. All*

development proposals will be evaluated for consistency with all applicable policies, including the residential compatibility requirements of Policies 1.2.1., 1.2.2., and 1.2.3., the Principles for Evaluating Development Proposals in Native Habitats in the Environment Chapter and the level of service requirements for public facilities in the Watershed Management and Transportation Chapters.

The proposed Bella Citta project abuts an established residential large lot subdivision in the unincorporated County which is designated Low Density Residential (less than 2 units/acre) on the County Future Land Use Map and is zoned OUE -1 (Open Use Estate, 1 unit/5 acres). In light of the lower density development in the area to the west and to the south, the County Commission requests that the Venice City Council consider modifications to the Bella Citta petition for compatibility. The County considers the Bella Citta project, as currently proposed, to be incompatible with regard to project density, height of structures along the west property boundary and buffering along the west property boundary. A representative of the County will be in attendance at the second reading public hearing on this petition to share County concerns.

Sincerely,

Nora Patterson

NORA PATTERSON, CHAIR
Sarasota County Commission

c: Sarasota County Commissioners
Venice City Council
Martin Black, City Manager, City of Venice
Tom Slaughter, Planning Director, City of Venice
Robert Anderson, City Attorney, City of Venice
James L. Ley, County Administrator
David R. Bullock, Deputy County Administrator
Susan M. Scott, Deputy County Administrator
Stephen E. DeMarsh, County Attorney
Rob Lewis, Executive Director, PDSBC
Anne McClung, Planning Director, PDSBC
Chris Kohatsu, Planner, PDSBC

Christina Rimes

From: lolostek@hotmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 8:15 PM
To: Karen Butterworth; Roger Clark; Christina Rimes; Scott Pickett
Subject: 2016 Comprehensive Plan Update

2016 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE
COMMENT FORM

Filing Date: Dec. 14,2015

Applicants Name: Lois Steketee

I am the: Resident, ,

Phone Number: 941-484-9182

Email Address: lolostek@hotmail.com

Additional Comments: My husband and I ran a B&B for 14 years on the island. Our experience says that people want to go to a destination that has something to do and they want to learn it's history. History has become very important since 9/11. People are more aware of history than they have ever been. Our appeal to the public is a beautiful little town that sits on the gulf with an interesting history. It's amazing how many people love trains and it's history. In fact, I believe the word history is on our city emblem. The Brotherhood of Engineers is a large part of our history. We played a part in WWII with the air field. The circus wintered here for 30 years. We are our history and if we deny or ignore that history, we deny who we are.

Christina Rimes

From: ddhay@comcast.net
Sent: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 3:05 PM
To: Karen Butterworth; Roger Clark; Christina Rimes; Scott Pickett
Subject: 2016 Comprehensive Plan Update

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

2016 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE
COMMENT FORM

Filing Date: 12/15/15

Applicants Name: Don Hay

I am the: , ,

Phone Number: 941-350-7510

Email Address: ddhay@comcast.net

Additional Comments: I am not a city of Venice resident but I have been a Venice Area resident since 1981 and I consider Venice the civic center of our area. It is the fact that Venice has adheared to the the John Nolen plan that brings people back. There are plenty of beaches in Florida, but few cities have the character of Venice. In our planning, we must not lose sight of our heritage. If we do, we will just be another beach community.

Christina Rimes

From: Jeff Shrum
Sent: Friday, December 18, 2015 9:49 AM
To: Kelley Klepper (kelley.klepper@kimley-horn.com)
Cc: Christina Rimes
Subject: FW: downtown venice

Kelley,

Please include the following as correspondence/comment received on the Plan update. Thanks.

-Jeff

From: jim and sandy [mailto:scotsjrm@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, December 18, 2015 9:41 AM
To: Jeff Shrum <JShrum@Venicegov.com>
Subject: downtown venice

Dear Mr. Shrum,

Just saw article in paper about proposals for downtown. Just want to reiterate one I sent in years ago which I think would make Venice a Mecca for whatever demographic you want.

All downtown stores have exits in back to the adjacent streets and Venice Ave is positioned in between two streets leading to parking. Especially on the weekends, Venice Avenue should be shut down and strewn with tables, with musicians, like European towns. People, even old fogies like me could enter stores from the rear and enjoy shopping and then dining outside on the town square.

If tourist season is too frenetic to try this, at least try it once or during the summer. Small towns in Europe are known and soughts after for their charming, flower-lined piazzas. Why not our Venice?

Sandra McFarland

PLEASE NOTE: This agency is a public entity and is subject to Chapter 119, Florida Statutes, concerning public records. Email communications are covered under such laws; therefore, email sent or received on this entity's computer system, including your email address, may be disclosed to the public and media upon request. If you do not want your email address released to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

CITY OF VENICE
10 YEAR COMP PLAN

SUGGESTIONS:

MAKE THE SOUTH SIDE OF VENICE AVE FROM HARBOR DRIVE TO TAMIAMI TRAIL, ANGLE PARKING. ENFORCE THE 15 MPH SPEED LIMIT.

ALL CROSSWALKS IN THIS AREA SHOULD BE OF A STAMPED CONCRETE DESIGN.
THIS SHOULD INCLUDE A MEDALLION IN THE CENTER OF VENICE AVE AND TAMIAMI TRAIL INTERSECTION
THE SAME CONCEPT SHOULD BE DESIGNED FOR VENICE AVE EAST AND THE VENICE BY PASS INTERSECTION.

THIS CONSITUTES OUR FRONT DOOR, THE ENTRANCE TO VENICE. IT REPRESENTS A WAY TO IDENITFY OUR HISTORIC MAIN STREET.

MAKE USE OF THE LAND NORTH EAST OF THE TRAIN DEPOT AND CONSTRUCT AN APPARTMENT BUILDING. THIS COULD BE AMPLE WORKFORCE HOUSING. THIS MAY BE APPLICABLE FOR A GRANT.

IF A PARKING GARAGE IS "REQUIRED" THIS AREA MAY JUST BE THE IDEAL LOCATION, IN CONJUNCTION, WITH THE USE OF A TRALLEY STREET CAR SYSTEM.

GRANT THE ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD SOME RESONABLE CONTROL OVER THE ISLAND OF VENICE: AS SUGGESTIONS FOR COLOR SELECTIONS AND THE DESIGN OF SMALL POCKET NEIGHBORHOODS.
THE PLANTING OF ADDITIONAL TREES, PLANTERS AND HANGING BASKETS,

TO MAKE A GOOD CITY YOU, YOU NEED GOOD STREETS, AND THAT MEANS STREETS WHERE PEOPLE WANT TO BE. STREETS NEED TO BE SAFE AND CONFORTABLE, THEY NEED TO BE INTERESTING, AND THEY TO BE BEAUTIFUL. THEY NEED TO BE PLACES. (FROM "STREET DESIGN" VICTOR DOVER & JOHN MASSENGALE")

FRED HIND, ASID
INTERIOR DESIGNER,
ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD

N/PORT

N/PORT

N/PORT
NORTH
PORT.
N/PORT

= WHAT YOU WANT TO GET DONE.

Precise language needed in comp plan to give specific direction in the development of the LDC: = HOW YOUR GOING TO GET IT DONE.

HG 1.3.1

Develop and implement a tax incentive program to encourage the preservation of designated historic landmarks.

Become a local certified government.

RECEIVED
JAN 26 2017
PLANNING & ZONING

What We Heard.... *A Summary of Public Comments*

The City of Venice Planning Commission, working with the project team, and based on the community input, developed the following goals. These goals were refined from the initial five (5) goals presented on page 4 above, which were vetted at the community meetings and work sessions. These Goals serve as the basis and are used to guide the development of the Comprehensive Plan through the *Vision, Intent and Strategies*.

What We Heard....**from the various public workshops and through the planning process:**

- Preserve Venice's character, historical places, John Nolen's planning heritage, and cultural resources.
- Protect the City's environmental and natural resources, and encourage retention of open space for functional and conservation purposes.
- Balance future growth in a way that transitions and integrates new and existing developments, and provides sufficient infrastructure and community services for the increased population.
- Support mixed-use areas and pedestrian-oriented streets that encourage active lifestyles and new urbanism principles.
- Provide a transportation system that enhances mobility and includes a well-connected pedestrian and bicycle network.
- Ensure a variety of housing types that are available to a wide range of incomes and market demands.
- Promote a diversified business environment that encourages entrepreneurs, supports existing businesses and employment centers, and attracts new employers.

RECEIVED
JAN 26 2017
PLANNING & ZONING

Strategy HG 1.2.1 – Mixed Use Housing Collaboration

The City will collaborate with major employers and developers to identify and promote live-near-work housing.

(Revised Housing Policy 3.7)

Historical Resources

Intent HG 1.3 - Residential Development and Planning

The City shall recognize and preserve its historic and architectural character with emphasis of areas identified in the Nolen Plan. *(Revised, Community Character and Historic Resources Objective 2)*

Strategy HG 1.3.1 – Historically Significant Housing

The City shall develop standards for the preservation of historically significant housing and neighborhoods within the City including incentives for preservation. See also Map HG 1 *(Revised Housing Policy 1.11)*

Strategy HG 1.3.2 – Historically Significant Structures - Permits Applied For

To ensure determination of possible historic significance, the City shall require a historical assessment prior to the issuance of a permit any structure 50 years old or older. *(New)*

Strategy HG 1.3.3 – Preserving the Nolen Plan Layout

The City, through the Land Development Code and review processes, shall protect the original urban design principles of the historic district (Nolen Plan) by ensuring that the form and function of the historic grid, including streets, alleys and right of ways is substantially maintained. *(New)*

Alternative Construction

Intent HG 1.4 – Alternative Construction

The City of Venice supports the use of mobile, manufactured, modular, and alternative construction homes as a form of housing that can be more affordable to a broader range of people than traditional site-built homes and add to the variety of available housing options. *(Housing Objective 4)*

Strategy HG 1.4.1 – Mobile/Manufactured Housing Safety

The City will encourage the replacement of older existing manufactured or mobile homes with new manufactured homes that meet or exceed current hurricane requirements as defined by the City's Land Development Code, Building Codes and applicable ordinances. *(Revised Housing Policy 1.10)*

RECEIVED
JAN 26 2017
PLANNING & ZONING

These numbers represent approximately 40 percent and 43 percent of the City's current residential and non-residential development, respectively.

Key Thoroughfares

The Island is developed around a highly connected ("grid-style") roadway network linking the Neighborhood's "downtown" and commercial corridors, parks, community services, public facilities, and residential neighborhoods. The primary roadways (thoroughfares) include:

- Tamiami Trail
- W. Venice Avenue
- Harbor Drive
- Park Boulevard
- Nokomis Avenue
- Palermo Place
- Airport Avenue

Unique Neighborhood Strategies

Land Use:

Strategy LU-IS 1.1.1 – Historic Preservation

Utilize the City's land development regulations to require that redevelopment projects are consistent with the historical character of the Island Neighborhood, specifically regarding:

A. Historic grid street patterns established by the Nolen Plan,
B. Building massing, form, layout, and setbacks that are compatible with adjacent existing developments,
C. Integrated parks including pocket parks,
D. Architectural detailing and materials that reflects the existing character of the Island, and are compatible with adjacent existing developments.

Strategy LU-IS 1.1.2 – Preserve Existing Structures

The City recognizes the importance of the Island's historic structures and wishes to preserve them within the Island Neighborhood by use of various means, such as the following: *(New)*

A. Support efforts of private non-profit organizations to raise funds for adaptive reuse of historic structures.
B. Advising property owners and potential developers of historic structures of the advantages of local, state and federal tax credits for rehabilitation of income-producing historic structures, land trusts, alternative site development standards.
C. Grandfathering legal non-conforming densities, provided that the building is listed as a historic resource on the National Register of Historic Places or greater than 50-years in age, that the

"Support" & "advise" & "consider" ALL TOO SOFT gives NO REAL DIRECTION reaction words, use Action words

RECEIVED
JAN 26 2017
PLANNING & ZONING

JoAnne Brewer

From: Jeff Shrum
Sent: Monday, January 30, 2017 3:34 PM
To: JoAnne Brewer
Subject: FW: Please Include In Comp Plan Public Comments: Venice Named One of Three Most Affordable Small Town In Florida and No. 16 on Nationwide List of Most Affordable Sunny Small Towns

From: sue Lang [mailto:suelang99@hotmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, January 28, 2017 11:59 AM
To: Jeff Shrum <JShrum@Venicegov.com>; Edward Lavallee <ELavallee@Venicegov.com>; Lori Stelzer <LStelzer@Venicegov.com>; City Council <CityCouncil@Venicegov.com>; executiveteam@heraldtribune.com; tom.tryon@heraldtribune.com; Tom Lyons <tom.lyons@heraldtribune.com>; Dan Lobeck <dlobeck@lobeckhanson.com>; Ed Martin <edwilsonmartin@hotmail.com>
Subject: Please Include In Comp Plan Public Comments: Venice Named One of Three Most Affordable Small Town In Florida and No. 16 on Nationwide List of Most Affordable Sunny Small Towns

Please Include In Comp Plan Update Public Comments: Venice Named One of Three Most Affordable Small Towns In Florida and No. 16 on Nationwide List of Most Affordable Sunny Small Towns per recent article in Light Therapy Review. So much for the rhetoric that we desperately need more affordable housing in Venice and must allow increased heights and density to achieve it. It should also be noted that the Venice Housing Authority has yet to replace the family public housing that was torn down on Grove Street in 2008. I pushed to make this a priority while I was on Council. I don't see the current Council going out of its way to really lobby and support getting these affordable units rebuilt...

50 Most Affordable Sunny Small Towns

Posted May 2016 Light Therapy Review

RECEIVED
JAN 28 2017
PLANNING & ZONING

It's not unusual to need a constant flow of sunlight in your life. It's common to feel the effects of rain, cloudy weather, and far too much darkness in your area. For some people, it's easy enough to just wait out the rainy season, or hibernate for a few months until the winter darkness clears. But for a great deal of people, it's hard to feel and act your best when those heavy dark clouds are weighing above you. Seasonal Affective Disorder and Seasonal Depression are serious issues and more common than most people have been led to believe. Some people don't even know they're struggling with depression or disorder. For them, it's just a case of the "winter blues." But if you have a chance to stop these lingering feelings, why wouldn't you try? It may be time to pack your bags.
We've made a list of the Top 50 Most Affordable Sunny Small Towns in the U.S. These towns are almost always sunny. They have small populations and your mortgage is not going to break the bank. Most of these towns aren't going to show up on the "must see places" list when you're planning a trip. These towns are off the radar, quiet, and honestly, they're kind of perfect!

Our list took some time. There were a lot of factors to consider. Yes, there are some affordable cities that see lots of sun each year, but a city is not a small town. And yes, there are some adorable and affordable small towns, but sometimes they rarely see the sun! So we needed to dig a little deeper. First we studied a list made by NerdWallet of the top sunniest cities in the country. This list included a whopping 174 towns. But what stood out was the fact that 10 states were on the list more than the rest of the states. Arizona, California, Texas, Nevada, New Mexico, Florida, Colorado, Louisiana, North Carolina, and Montana all rank higher in annual sunshine percentages than any other states.

Using our list of the top 10 sunniest states in the country, and with data from Sterling's Best Places, we narrowed down our list and found the three most affordable small towns in each of these 10 states. Doing this gave us 30 towns on our list. To calculate the last 20 states, we included some states that don't rank quite as high in annual sunshine percentage, but surely deserve some respect. The most affordable small towns in those states rounded off our list to an even 50.

So here you have it. Start with number 50 and work your way down to the towns that really make our hearts flutter. Read it a few times, make a couple pros and cons lists, and start packing those bags – and don't forget your sunscreen!

16. Venice, Florida

RECEIVED
JAN 28 2017
PLANNING & ZONING

Sunny days: 266
Population: 20,000
Average home price: $170,000
Located on the Western coast of Florida, Venice is the place to be if you love perfect weather, wearing a swimsuit all year round and any water sports. The town includes a nearby island just off the coast called Venice Island. Venice is a palm tree covered dream! When people think of quaint and peaceful parts of Florida, this town has to be what they imagine. Book your flight! Quick!

RECEIVED
JAN 28 2017
PLANNING & ZONING

JoAnne Brewer

From: Jeff Shrum
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 11:29 AM
To: JoAnne Brewer
Subject: FW: Please send me....

From: sue Lang [mailto:suelang99@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 11:21 AM
To: Jeff Shrum <JShrum@Venicegov.com>
Subject: Re: Please send me....

you should not have scheduled a workshop without this material being ready several days ahead... it is really inconsiderate and seems like you are hiding something...

Sent from Outlook

From: Jeff Shrum <JShrum@Venicegov.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 9:56 AM
To: sue Lang
Subject: RE: Please send me....

Ms. Lang,

As with the Draft Plan and as I indicated in the email, the link will be on our website. At this time the consultant is still checking the material and I expect to have it posted by 4:00 PM today.

Jeff Shrum, AICP

Development Services Director

City of Venice

(941) 882-7431

From: sue Lang [mailto:suelang99@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 9:40 AM
To: Jeff Shrum <JShrum@Venicegov.com>
Subject: Please send me....

RECEIVED
JAN 31 2017
PLANNING & ZONING

Jeff, Please send me the "associated Data, Inventory, and Analysis (DIA)."

Thanks

(From your email to Council)
The associated Data, Inventory, and Analysis (DIA), I expect will be posted sometime tomorrow. We look forward to comments and feedback!

Jeff Shrum, AICP
Development Services Director
City of Venice
(941) 882-7431

Sent from Outlook

Need to Report an Issue? SeeClickFix Venice Connect is available as an app for Android and iPhone. Select SeeClickFix from your app store on your device and choose Venice, Florida. There is also a link to the program on the city's website, www.venicegov.com, or go directly to SeeClickFix at http://www.seeclickfix.com/Venice

PLEASE NOTE: This agency is a public entity and is subject to Chapter 119, Florida Statutes, concerning public records. Email communications are covered under such laws; therefore, email sent or received on this entity's computer system, including your email address, may be disclosed to the public and media upon request. If you do not want your email address released to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

RECEIVED
JAN 31 2017
PLANNING & ZONING

JoAnne Brewer

From: Tim Saltonstall <timsaltonstall@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 01, 2017 7:46 AM
To: JoAnne Brewer
Subject: Plan Meetings - Comment
Attachments: Parks.pdf

Thanks for your efforts last night. Lots of work. My one comment is attached.

1/4 of the land involved in this study is northeast Venice. Lots is coming to that area. Not 1 acre has been set aside or incorporated in the plan for parks. That seems bad planning. We need at least 1 primitive and 1 developed park in that area, and probably more than that. Thanks.

RECEIVED
FEB 01 2017
PLANNING & ZONING

Christina Rimes

From: Christina Rimes
Sent: Tuesday, February 09, 2016 7:36 AM
To: Jeff Shrum
Cc: Kelley Klepper
Subject: FW: Vision for the future....

FYI: this was emailed to the Planning Commission.

Christina Rimes
Planning and Zoning Division
City of Venice
401 W. Venice Ave.
Venice, FL 34285
941-882-7434
crimes@venicegov.com

-----Original Message-----
From: Bob & Carlene [mailto:carbob70@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, February 08, 2016 8:29 PM
To: Planning Commission <PlanningCommission@venicegov.com>
Subject: Vision for the future....

First of all, let me say that I've never done this sort of thing before...communicating with a planning commission/city government.

I was unable to attend the scheduled meeting a week or so ago (Jan. 28th, I think), regarding resident input for making Venice a more walkable, liveable, vibrant city...while preserving the historical aspect of our city.

I have an idea that I wanted to pass along to the Planning Commission to accomplish...in my opinion...just that. It may be received as "off the wall", and it is certainly "out of the box" thinking. And I apologize if the Planning Commission is not the correct group to notify of this idea...and, if not, please pass this along to the proper persons, or let me know who they are so I can forward my idea to them.

So here goes: Simply put...make downtown Venice...from the entrance on Venice Ave (at the Venice sign) to the intersection at Harbor Drive (the downtown shopping district)...into a Walking Mall. No cars allowed! Just walkers! Make what is now Venice Avenue through the city into a walking area only! Replace the concrete roadway with a nice paver stone walkway!

This is already being done in early March for the big Venice Arts and Craft Festival. The streets are closed...the tents go up...and thousands of people walk through the downtown with excitement and eagerness.

The parking spaces lost on both sides of the street would be more than made up by parking in the new parking garage being proposed...suggest a 2-story garage (so it's not really visible) beside Venice Theatre...and converting the current space where Classics Steak House (formerly Pineapples) was to a giant parking lot. And, of course, the current downtown parking lot would still be there. All the people coming to the March Arts and Craft Festival already have to find an alternate place to park, so this would be no different! Add courtesy shuttles from various areas around the city and you've developed a downtown Venice area that would be envy of all Florida cities!

Have any of you ever been to Boulder, Colorado? This is exactly what planners have done there. Downtown Boulder, Colorado is a Walking Mall. Shops, restaurants, trees, flowers, benches...and no cars allowed in this walking area. My wife and I were there last summer and the thought of converting downtown Venice to be like downtown Boulder struck us both like a great idea! Restaurant seating could expand out further than just the sidewalk (which gets congested)...businesses should love the idea, and we hope that perhaps the Planning Commission sees some merit in considering this "off the wall", "out of the box" vision as well.

Respectfully submitted,
Bob and Carlene Painter
(full-time residents)
402 Casa Del Lago Way
Venice, FL. 34292
484-1196

Sent from my iPhone

Christina Rimes

From: J Wilson <jwilsonhome@rogers.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2016 2:37 PM
To: Jerry Towery; John Williams; Thomas Murphy; Shaun Graser; Helen Moore; Barry Snyder; Charles Newsom; Kit McKeon; Scott Pickett; Planning Commission
Cc: BFurst@sc-pa.com
Subject: Planning Commission Workshop Article in Today's Herald with reference to "Workforce and Affordable Housing"
Attachments: VAB Letter To Bill Furst.docx

To Members of the Venice Planning Commission:

With reference to the article in the Venice Herald today "Housing Dominates Meeting" I wanted to share an important fact as it relates to the affordable multi-family housing crisis in Venice and how it is being impacted by what is going on at Sarasota County Appraiser's office.

We own a duplex zoned property at 300 Park Blvd. N. on the Island of Venice. It sits in a very unique subdivision called Bayshore Estates Unit 2 which comprises a total of 18 Duplex properties that range in age from 1956 to 1986. These RMF-1 properties have always been unique in that they represent an affordable rental zone on the north end of the Island and close to downtown.

Historically, these properties have always been recognized as having less value than the many upscale single family properties that represent most of the Island homes North of Venice Avenue. However, in the Property Appraiser's 2015 "mass-appraisal" the land values of these properties increased 80% while the single family homes around them increased less than 15%. My wife and I appealed this change at a VAB hearing in January and are awaiting the result. Our evidence centered around the following facts;

- Historically, these properties have always been recognized as lower income rental properties, without pools, lanais and other improvements and until 2015, they were recognized as having a lower value and were taxed as such. (It appears to us that new appraisers simply missed the uniqueness of this neighborhood, or don't care.)
- The PA claims that people are buying older single family homes and tearing them down to build new homes on the Island. That may be true for RSF single-family properties, but the value of these RMF properties is simply in their ability to generate an adequate rental income and after exhaustive research we can tell you there is no evidence that people are purchasing RMF duplex properties anywhere on Venice Island and tearing them down to build new homes.
- As a result of the average 80% increase in land values for these RMF duplex properties in 2015, they have a land tax that equals and in some cases exceeds on a square foot basis the values of properties with Roberts Bay views on Laguna Drive, Apalachicola Rd. and other premium single-family neighborhoods.
- In order to execute this 80% land tax increase, the Appraiser applied a special "Mis-improved Adjustment" to depreciate the value of the duplex building on each of the properties by an additional 45% on top of their normal "age depreciated" deduction. This has resulted in duplex building values as low as $39,200 in spite of the fact that these buildings continue to be upgraded and improved for their rental value, NOT torn down to be replaced by new homes.

FYI, I have also attached the letter I sent to Sarasota Property Appraiser Bill First, which further outlines what we believe to be the injustice of this tax change and its impact on affordable housing. This was sent prior to the hearing, but apparently it had little effect on their attitude in this regard, as they continued to fight our VAB application all the way to hearing.

In the event that the hearing Magistrate agrees with our position, one can only hope that the Property Appraiser will roll back these unreasonable assessments to all the RMF properties on the Island next year.

My wife and I have spent many hours on research to support the above position and we would be happy to share more evidence if anyone is interested.
Best Regards,

John & Chris Wilson
Venice, FL
941 244 2708

Christina Rimes

From: Jeff Shrum
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 9:02 AM
To: Christina Rimes
Subject: FW: Comp Plan

Christina,

Please add this to received correspondence for the comp. plan update. Thanks.

-Jeff Shrum

From: Barry Snyder
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 1:42 PM
To: Jeff Shrum <JShrum@Venicegov.com>
Subject: Fw: Comp Plan

Jeff

FYI

Barry

From: pat wayman <pat.wayman@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 23, 2016 1:46 PM
To: Barry Snyder
Subject: Comp Plan

Mr. Snyder,

I see you are working on updates to the Venice Comp Plan for dwelling units per acre.

I think it is also important to define the allowed du/acre in terms of buildable acres.

For example, if a developer has 1000 acres with a 900 acre lake, he can build (at 5 du/acre) 5,000 units on that 100 acres.

Please look into redefining dwelling units per acre to dwelling units per buildable acre.

Thanks!
Pat Wayman

PLEASE NOTE: This agency is a public entity and is subject to Chapter 119, Florida Statutes, concerning public records. Email communications are covered under such laws; therefore, email sent or received on this entity's computer system, including your email address, may be disclosed to the public and media upon request. If you do not want your email address released to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

Christina Rimes

From: Jeff Shrum
Sent: Tuesday, April 19, 2016 4:17 PM
To: sue lang
Cc: Christina Rimes
Subject: RE: ?Island Planning Area

Sue,

I have actually requested that our consultant provide a summary of direction received to date from the planning commission as well as identify work that still needs to be completed. I hope to have this information for the workshop next week - Wednesday April 27 at 5:30 PM Community Hall, City Hall) and that we provide that summary at each subsequent meeting to ensure we move forward productively. Additionally, staff has created a hard copy project file public review which has all documentation to date including the public involvement information. You are welcome to come in to our office to review the file. Please see Christina Rimes in the Planning offices (crimes@venicegov.com) if you would like to do so. This file as well as the website on the comprehensive plan update which can be found here: http://venicegov.com/Municipal_links/Plann_zoning/CompPlanUpdate.asp provides much of the documentation to date as well as meeting minutes for anyone to review. The planning commission has started discussions on the future land use map for the neighborhoods and what future land use districts might look like in the new plan. This should be included in the summary I previously mentioned and I hope to have for the meeting next week. Draft language is not anticipated at this point for the full plan until July/August at this point. The overall project schedule has been moved to adoption anticipated by the end of January 2017. Absent all of this information, I can arrange a meeting with staff to have a discussion of where we are so far in the process. Just let me know.

Jeff Shrum, AICP
Development Services Director
City of Venice
Venice FL, 34285

(941) 882-7431

From: sue lang [mailto:suelang99@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 19, 2016 9:48 AM
To: Jeff Shrum <JShrum@Venicegov.com>
Subject: RE: ?Island Planning Area

i have the current plan. What are the suggestions/directives from the Planning Commission so far from their review of the Plan? Are these in the form of minutes or notes that your staff and the consultants are compiling? Please send me electronic copies. When will first drafts come out?
Thanks

From: JShrum@Venicegov.com
To: suelang99@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: ?Island Planning Area
Date: Mon, 18 Apr 2016 20:01:15 +0000

Sue,

The current plan review has the island as one neighborhood and that is how it is being analyzed by the planning commission for the plan update. Are you referring to the Planning Areas from the current Plan? If so I can have staff send you a map showing those. Let me know.

Jeff Shrum, AICP
Development Services Director
City of Venice
Venice FL, 34285

(941) 882-7431

From: sue lang [mailto:suelang99@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 18, 2016 10:38 AM
To: Jeff Shrum <JShrum@Venicegov.com>
Subject: ?Island Planning Area

Hi Jeff. Please tell me/send me the boundaries for the five Island Planning Areas. Which of these areas, if any, includes the Aldea Mar, Golden Beach and Gulf Shores neighborhoods?
Thanks

Christina Rimes

From: Ronald J. Siegrist <ronsiegrist@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2016 1:26 PM
To: Barry Snyder; Charles Newsom; Christina Rimes; Helen Moore; Janis Fawn; Jerry Towery; John Williams; Kit McKeon; Michelle Girvan; Scott Pickett; Shaun Graser; Thomas Murphy
Cc: Jeff Shrum
Subject: tonights workshop

Gentlemen and ladies,
I am unable to attend tonights Planning Commission Workshop, regarding the Seaboard area. I would, however, like to have my opinion considered in my absence if possible. I would not miss this meeting except I have a very important business commitment that requires me to be in Sarasota at the same time as this strategic meeting in Venice. n
As you may know or recall, I am in the process of a rezoning petition request for my 2 properties and my neighbor's property on Hauser Lane. Together we own 2 1/2 acres. We are only waiting on Britt and Associates to finalize the surveys to fulfill everything necessary to move forward and come before you to decide upon and then present to City Council. \
According to the current FLUM we are able to have a high density zoning to
RMF 4 designition which is exactly what we are petitioning for currently. However, in reviewing the information and colored draft being considered for the next FLUM, I would point out that our properties are not being considered for the same.

According to the Proposed Comprehehsive Plan FLUM of the Gateway Neighborhood Profile pg 6 04042016 Draft
We would only have a "Moderate" designation. My entire property is bordered on the East by C.I. All 3 properties are bordered to the South by Don O'connells property recently rezoned to "Medium" density. To his immediate South To our immediate North we are bordered by pink then red or again C.I.. There is a considerable stair step configuration along the corridor and it would seem to be reasonable for our request to have our properties carried forward into the high density RMF 4 consideration again with this new drafting of the next FLUM.
We are mostly surrounded by C.I. and we are suggesting that our properties would be perfect for the notion of **"Affordable WorkForce Housing"** as per perfect alignment with the current thinking of Staff, Commission and Council.
This can only be possible if we can keep the high density current FLUM status and be granted
RMF 4 zoning status once I get the final surveys to add to the packets currently on file at the Planning and Zoning Department.
Please consider my request in my absence.

Cordially,
Ronald J Siegrist
941-416-5293
490 Hauser Lane, Venice, Fl. 34285

Ronald J. Siegrist
c: 941*416*5293

http://www.legalshieldassociate.com/group/ronaldsiegrist
Legal Shield Independent Associate
Bronze Executive Director/C.I.T.R.M.S.
Certified Identity Theft Risk Management Specialist
Employee Benefits/Business Specialist
FL., IL., MS.,TN., TX., S.C., Licensed Legal Expense Agent

Christina Rimes

From: Emilio Carlesimo <emiliocc@outlook.com>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 11:36 AM
To: Planning Commission
Subject: Recent Joint Mtg. W/Council

Categories: Printed/Added to File

Dear Barry,

I attended the Planning Commission's joint meeting with the Venice City Council last Tuesday. May 24th.

It is important that you and the whole Board know how much I appreciate the fine work you are doing. The information you provided and the comments from the members of the Commission indicate clearly that this is a "team" effort that consumes much of every member's time. Kudos also to the Kimly-Horn team for their excellent support.

Sincerely,

Emilio

Jan 31, 2017

The Venice Draft Comp Plan does not include the overwhelming expression of concerns about growth and traffic at public workshops previously held on the Comp Plan Update. The Draft Summary of public Comments on page 12 does not mention these concerns nor does it mention that far more than the majority are opposed to any increases in height, density or intensity as documented by the 500 plus who signed the Keep Venice Venice Petition and attended the workshops. See attached cover letter to the signed Petitions.

We **the following residents of Venice do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan and to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of Interstate 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12-2-2015	Dr. Peggy J. MASON	Peggy J. Mason	380 Flamingo Dr. Venice, Island FL. 34285	masonpjm53@yahoo.com

We **the following residents of Venice do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan and to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of Interstate 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/2/15	BÉAT LEHMANN	Béat Lehmann	600 GARDENIA DR	beatlehmann@comcast.net

We **the following residents of Venice do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan and to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of Interstate 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/3/15	KINDRA MUNTZ	Kindra J. Muntz	5809 VENSORA ROAD, VENICE, FL 34293	kindramuntz@ verizon.net

We **the following residents of Venice do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan and to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of Interstate 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/4/2015	JOHN PATEK	John Patek	519 ALBEE FARM RD #309 VENICE, FL 34285	JJP281@MSN.COM

We **the following residents of Venice do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan and to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of Interstate 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/4/15	L. Carol Newman	L. Carol Newman	906 Golden Beach Blvd	carolnew@verizon.net
12/4/15	William W. Newman	William W. Newman	906 Golden Beach Blvd	willnew@verizon.net

We **the following residents of Venice do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan and to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of Interstate 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/5/2015	WALTER WOLF		420 DARLING DR	WSWEMAIL@AOL.COM

We **the following residents of Venice do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan and to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of Interstate 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12-7-2015	Barbara Zier	Barbara L Zier	799 Harbor Drive South	flazier@gmail.com
12-8-2-15	B.L. Zier	Barbara L Zier	"	"

We the following residents of Venice do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan and to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of Interstate 75.

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/7/15	Diana Cao	Diana Cao	215 E Seminole Dr Venice	sissy4me@verizon.net
12/7/15	Mary Lou Bogdovitz	Mary Lou Bogdovitz	315 Dolphin St. Nokomis	
	Ann Scott	Ann G. Scott	209 2309 Falcon Trace La.	RScott1934@AOL
12/7/15	Jean Huebner	Jean G. Huebner	1070 Laurel Rd E #316	jeanhueb@yahoo.com
12/7/15	Lorraine C. Johnson	Lorraine Johnson	101 Park Blvd. S.	
12/7/15	HAROLD BURDO	Harold Burdo		
12/7/15	Stephen Wright		100 MAIN ST SUITE 47	cAol.com
12/7/15	Jos. Gonnador		1310 Yawl way, Venice FL	
12/7/15	Joan Kelcesona	Joan Kelcesona	552 Glen Oak Rd	jkelcesona@gmail.com
12/7/15	PATRICE MARTINI	Patrice Martini	362 ELDER RD	
12/7/15	Thérese Dolciotto	Therese Dolciotto	1030 Albee Farm RD.	tdolciotto@gmail.com
12/7/15	Maxine Burns	Maxine Burns	78 King Arthur Dr.	

We the following residents of Venice do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan and to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of Interstate 75.

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/7/15	Steve Gillman	Steve Gillman	7181 Primm Pl	stevengillman@hotmail.com
12/7/15	BRISSCHER PAT	P Brisscher	615 Carefree	Venice 34285
12/7/15	Susan Bartholomew	S Bartholomew	109 Medici Ct	NorthVen bookbindersJ.
12/7/15	M. Gordon	M Gordon	105 Medici Ct	PA N/O gmail.com
12/7/15	S. Michelbacher		615 Carefree	Venice 34285
12/7/15	Sharon Jenkins	Sharon Jenkins	1579 Scarlet Hawa.	Wall. Park FL
12/6/15	Deborah French	DF	3425 Kennedy Dr.	Venice, FL 34292

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/7	DENNIS KEAN	Dennis Kean	109 SAVONA CT	dennisnkean@msn
12/7	SANDRA NICK	Sandra M Nick	118 Palermo CT	SANDNIC@COMCAST.NET
12/7	JACOB KORAH	Jacob Korah	135 AVALINI WAY	JUKorah@ATT.NET
12/7	JIM CHACKO	[illegible]	137 AVALINI WAY	[illegible]
12/7	Robert Sherman	R Sherman	137 Bella Vista Ter.	
12/7	STEVE ROSIGNOLO	Steve Rosignolo	134 BELLA VISTA TERR	
12/7	MICHAEL J GERORMINO	Michael J Gerormino	1934 MAPLE RD Venice FL	
12/7	Angelina Nuzzo	Angelina Nuzzo	125 Padova Way, No. Venice	
12/7	Joseph Procopio	Joseph Procopio	125 Padova Way No Venice	
12/7	Josh Greenspan		141 Rimini Way	
12/7	Deborah Albarran-Sotelo	Deborah Albarran-Sotelo	166 Montellano Dr. North Venice	
12/7	ARLENE MIDKIFF	Arlene Midkiff	466 Montellano N. Venice, FL	
✓	Linda Kellam	Linda Kellam	142 Rimini Way N-Venice FL	
12/7	Jacqueline Patterson	J. M. PATTERSON	145 Rimini Way	

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/7/15	Diana Watters	Diana Watters	273 Mestre PL	wattersdiana@gmail.com
"	E.C. Watters	E.C. Watters	"	"
12/7/15	Margaret Palone	Margaret Palone	113 Treviso Ct	
12/7/15	Anthony Josephson	Anthony J. Josephson	333 Ciprioni Way	1031401@comcast.net
12/7/15	Virginia Hills	Virginia Hills	118 Bianca Ct	
12/7/15	JOHN R. MATHIA	J.R. Mathia	164 Monte Luna	N. Venice 34275
12-7-15	MARY ABBRUZZESE	Mary Abbruzzese	113 TIZIANO WAY	N. VENICE 34275
12/7/15	Michael G. Volpe	Michael G. Volpe	510 Substation Rd	Venice, FL
12.7.15	Donald Kane	D. Kane	313 Ciprioni	N. Venice
12.7.15	DEBRA DUBISS	Debra L. Dubiss	278 MARTELLAGO DR.	N. Venice
12/7/15	Rich & Marcia Bending	M. Bending	105 Ciprioni Way	N Venice
12/7/15	ANN HOWELL	Ann W. Howell	150 A Bella Vista Terrace	34275

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/7/15	Beverly Rosignola	[illegible]	134 Bella Vista Terrace	
12/7/15	Margie Mayer	[illegible]	149 Montclair	
12/7/15	IRENE LEBLANC	[illegible]	1195 Cielo	pinkpad1@aol.com
12/7/15	Phyllis Rofi Beach			
12/7/15	John Douglas	[illegible]	494 Monticello Dr.	
12/7/15	Ruth O. McGinn	[illegible]	1318 Cole CT	
12/7/15	GRANT LEWIS	[illegible]	261 Montellina Dr. Venice	
12/7/15	ZACH ESTRIN	[illegible]	1274 Field Ct.	
12/7/15	SUSAN WETZEL	[illegible]	211 Padova Way N. Venice 34275	
12/7/15	J. Elchak	J. ELCHAK	184 Sirona Way. N. Venice	
12/7/15	Eleanor Elchak	E. Elchak	184 Sirona Way, N Venice FL.	
12/7/15	Morena McCormack	[illegible]	138 Avalon Way Nokomis, FL.	
12/7/15	ROB HALL	[illegible]	104, Anco Dr. Venice	

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12-07-15	Rosa Simmons	Rosa Simmons	102 Medici Ct	
12-07-15	Isaac Simmons	Isaac	102 Medici	
12-07-15	Alex Golynsky	Alex Golynsky	106	
12-07-15	Sophia Golynsky	Sophia Golynsky	106	
12-07-15	Ray Driscoll	Driscoll	153 Medici Ct	
12-07-15	Connie Driscoll	Driscoll	153 Medici Ct	
12-7-15	Jean Perry		317 Montellano Dr.	
12/7/15	M. Turner	M. Turner	313 Montellano Dr	
12/7/15	J Freitag		134 Montellano Dr	
12/7/15	Stephen Lewis			
12/7/15	Christine Lewis		122 Burano Ct	
12/7/15	Debra Barton	Debra Barton	218 Mattellago Dr.	
12/7/15	[illegible]	[illegible]	1134 Chelsea Place	

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/9/15	Bob MONACO		Martellago Dr.	
12/7/15	Barb Potter		117 Medici Terr	
12/7/2015	Yanna Gemerer		174 MEDICI TERR	
12/7/2015	Peter Gemerer		174 Medici Ter	
12/7/2015	Margaret Fedler		285 MARTELLAGO DR	
12/7/2015	Tiffany Luckino		107 AVALINI WAY	
12/7	Michael Downey		113 Treviso Ct	
12/7	PHILOMIN Joseph		333 CIPRIANI WAY - VGRC	
12/7	Michael E Barton		218 Martellago Dr	
12/7/15	Maureen Connolly	Maureen K. Connolly	113 Trevino Way	
12/7/15	FRANCIS MASKEL		206 MEDICI TERRACE	
12-7-15	Mary Ann Clark	Mary Ann Clark	1134 Cielo Ct. 34275 Venice FL	
12-7-15	Brooks Levangie		1038 Aucoul Blvd 34275	
12-7-15	WILLIAM AMBROSE		105 BURANO COURT NORTH VENICE 34275	

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/7/15	Suzanne Lang	Suzanne Lang	421 Darling Dr. Venice	suelang99@hotmail.com
12/7/15	WILLIAM C. CLARK	William C. Clark	1134 CIELO CT VENICE	billcclark1@verizonnet
12/7/15	RICHARD L LAPAN, JR	Richard L Lapan Jr	1094 ANCORA BLVD, N. VENICE	rlapenlapen@gmail.com
12/7/15	ART O'NARE	[illegible]	138 MONTELLUNA DR	N. VENICE
12/7/15	GORDON OLIVER	Gordon Oliver	138 BELLA VISTA TERRACE UNIT B	N. VENICE
12/7/15	RAY EKLEBERRY	Ray Eklebery	275 CAPUCET DR	Venice
12/7/15	STEPHEN A. SEALL	Stephen A. Seall	245 MARTELLAGO DRIVE,	NORTH VENICE FL 34275
12/9/15	Carolyn J Francis	Carolyn J Francis	24 Tomaso St 34288	
12/9/15	THOMAS SCHELL	[illegible]	250 SANTA MARIA ST	34285
12/9/15	STEPHANIE MILLER	[illegible]	806 S. Green Ave.,	VENICE
12/9/15	DONALD SCHNORR	[illegible]	730 NOKOMIS AVE S.	34285
12/9/15	BEN ALBRAMSON	[illegible]	321 PEDRO ST.	34285
12/9/15	STEVE STEFF	[illegible]	452 Palmetto Ct.	KSteff@thecenterfly.com
12/10/15	JOANNE MARCARIO	[illegible]	336 Otter Creek, Venice	cycle336@gmail.com

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/7/15	Suzanne Williams	Suzanne Williams	110 Bellini Ct N Venice	SUZGARWILL5@gmail.com
12/7/15	Ronald L. Drewery	R L Drewery	1150 Cielo Ct. No Venice	LAUREL PINES1@gmail
12/7/15	[illegible]	[illegible]	26 Montellago Dr N Venice	
12/7/15	William Shaw	W A Shaw	418 Montellano Drive 34275	
12/7/15	John Singer	[illegible]	1182 Cielo Ct. N. Venice	
12/7/15	Gordon Cann	[illegible]	1366 Cielo Ct. N. Venice	
12/7/15	L Scisco	L Scisco	110 Burano Ct N. Venice	
12/7/15	Carol Luckino	[illegible]	101 Aurelia Ct. Venice	
	R. [illegible]	[illegible]		
12/7/15	Jonathan Spyr	Jonathan Spyr	101 Mestre Pl	N/A
12/7/15	Donna and Boyer	[illegible] Boyer	149 Rimini Way	
12/7/15	Wayne (& Debra) Dubbs	[illegible]	278 Montellago Dr. Venice	
12/7/15	JoAnn [illegible]	[illegible]	1343 Bella Vista Ter. N Venice 34275	
12/9/15	Wendell Kaustian	[illegible]	604 Armada Rd N. Venice 34285	

14

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/7	Sarah Quinn	Sarah Quinn	101 Treviso Ct.	sarahquinn45@yahoo.com
12/7	Pamela Perry	Pamela Perry	317 Montellano Dr	
12/7	Sylvain Bony	Sylvain Bony	1566 Kimmetin	sylbony@verizon.net
12/7	CAROL BARBIERI	Carol J Barbieri	230 Padova Way	
12/7	Judy Kahl	Judith Kahl	313 Cipriani Way	
12/7	Diane Maskol	Diane Maskol	206 Medici Terrace	
12/7	GARY LEVINSON	[illegible]	101 - BURANO CT. N.V.	
12/7	MARTIN FLANAGAN	[illegible]	1137 CIELO CT. 34275	MARTINF10@MSN.COM
12/7	ROBERT CRANE	[illegible]	13B Bella Vista Terr	N.V
12/7	Harriet Ambrose	Harriet Ambrose	105 Burano Ct. N.Venice, FL	
12/7	ELEMER HENICE	Elemer Henice	250 Capulet	Venice 34292
12/7	Barbara H Seall	Barbara H Seall	245 Montellano Dr	N.Venice 34275
12/7	Charles Francis	[illegible]		
12/9/15	CHARLES FRANCIS	Charles Francis	240 Santa Maria St	Venice 34285

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/9	NUNZIATA SEBASTIAN	[illegible]	28 Gulf Manor Dr	Tinalove plants 6
12-9	MEDLER, Jerry	[illegible]	510 Granada Ct	jmedler@uoregon.edu Gmail NU
12/9	DREW GILLOTT	[illegible]	1410 Volcanic S	DLAVEG@HOTMAIL.COM
12/9	Claire MacFayden	[illegible]	708 Golf Dr	
12/9	PATRICIA LAMONT	[illegible]	500 PARK BLVD SO	GTPLAMONT@COMCAST.NE
12/9	Robert Kane	R Kane	405 Armada Rd S	
12/9	JOHN M SULLIVAN	[illegible]	256 Pensacola Rd	
12-10	Rosalie Angell	[illegible]	102 Reclinata Circle	Venice
12-10	Susan N Kennedy	Susan N Kennedy	337 Otter Creek Dr.	Venice
12/10/15	JOHN F. ECKERT	[illegible]	527 PEACH ST VENICE FL	jfeckert@yahoo
12-10-15	Donna Byrnes	Donna Byrnes	498 Argus Rd Venice	queenbyrnz@yahoo.com
12/10/15	CAROL JORGENSEN	Carol Jorgensen	317 Gulf Dr	carolj1942@gmail
12/10/15	FRANK MARCARID	[illegible]	336 OTTER CREEK DR	CYCLE336@GMAIL.COM

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/9	Jan Vertefeuille	Jan Vertefeuille	321 Pedro St	janvert@hotmail
12/9	JOHN GEHRING	[illegible]	550 W. FLAMINGO #101	gehrijo@gmail.com
12/9	Carolyn Harrington	Carolyn C. Harrington	217 Rio Terra	ccljth@ymail.com
12/9	Henry Jorgensen	[illegible]	317 Gulf Dr	Henry34285@gmail
12/10	ELI CASTRO	Eli Castro	608 Harbor Dr	alpha20007@gmail.com

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12-9-15	Jean Gelormino	Jean Gelormino	1934 Maple Rd	
12-9-15	Vito Calamita	V. Calamita	277 Venice Palms Blvd	
12-9-15	Lewis Tavetti	[illegible]	357 Sandbel St. Nokomis F	
12-9-15	Charlie O'Grady	Charlie O'Grady	421 W. Shade Dr	
12-9-15	Frank W. Oddo	Frank W. Oddo	1450 Lemon Bay Dr.	
12-9-15	Annmarie Carano	Ann Marie Carano	1119 N Cypress Pt Dr. Venice	
12-9-15	Salvatore Carano	[illegible]	330 Burke Rd Venice fl	
12-9-15	Mariah H Carano	[illegible]	330 Burke Rd Venice fl	
12-9-15	Salvatore JR Carano	[illegible]	330 Burke Rd Venice fl	
12-9-15	Adriana Carano	Adriana Carano	330 Burke Rd Venice fl	
12-9-15	Haven Bartlett	[illegible]	460 Lake of the Woods Dr Venice Fl	
12-9-15	Nick Guglielmi	[illegible]	300 Roberts Rd Nokomis Fl	

17

We the following residents of Venice do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan and to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of Interstate 75.

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/5/15	ERNIE Coleman	[illegible]	408 SUNSET DR	COLEMANERNIEP@yahoo.com
12/8/15	Suzana Coleman	[illegible]	408 Sunset DR	
12/9/15	Cindy Hickle	Cindy Hickle	316 Myrtle Ave	cindyhickle4@gmail.com
12/9/15	CAROL CARRA	Carol Carra	19410 JACINDA ST	JCCARRA@COMCAST.NET
12/9/15	Arthur Jelus	[illegible]	11675 Spotted Dog	[illegible]
12 9 15	Gerda Egert		1741 Fessler	[illegible]
"	Carol Gallagher	[illegible]	278 Dorchester Dr Venice	
12/9/15	Therese Kosary	[illegible]	408 Barcelona Ave	kosarysell@gmail.com
12-9-15	Agnes Rodgers	[illegible]	5950 DIANA RD	RJRSGS@aol.com
12-9-15	PAT SCHULTE	[illegible]		
12-2015	Linda M Zapitz	Linda M. Zapitz		
12-9-15	Janet Lincoln	Janet Lincoln	247 Cornell	
12-9-15	CAROL TESSMER	Carol Tessmer	114 VENTANA WAY	
12-9-15	LYNN Schaefer	Lynn Schaefer	112 Gulf Cove	NOKOMIS
12-9-15	Pat Veronica	Pat Veronica	109 Bella Vista	34275 N. Venice
12-9-15	VIRGINIA McBRIDE	Virginia McBride	124 INLETS BLVD.	NOKOMIS, FL.

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/9/2015	LARRY IVEY	Larry Ivey	120 Base Ave E.	ivey4@earthlink.net
12/9/2015	MARY POWER	Mary E. Power	640 Granada Ave	jimgarlock@earthlink.net
12/9/2015	JAMES GARLOCK	James Garlock	640 GRANADA AVE	JIMGARLOCK@EARTHLINK.NET
12/9/2015	JAMES A DiGREGORIO	James A DiGregorio	409 Manatee Court #908 Venice FL.	
12/9/2015	Carolee M. Hanbury	Carolee M. Hanbury	232 St Augustine Ave Venice, FL	
12/9/2015	CAROLYN SNRAUGER	Carolyn Shrauger	116 AMORA AVE	34285
12/9/2015	Beverly G. Densler	Beverly G. Densler	316 Park Lane Drive	Venice 34285
12/14/2015	Judie Pansch	JUDIE PANSCH	342 TURTLEBACK CROSSING	" 34292
12/14/2015	Sandra Caiazza	Sandra Caiazza	868 Connemara Cr	34292
12/14/2015	John Schafer	[illegible]	1433 Turnberry Dr	1433 Turnberry Dr
12/14/15	Nora Pendergrass	Nora Pendergrass	787 Bridle Oaks Dr.	34292
12/14/15	Ellen Franck		1211 Capri Isles Blvd #30	Venice, FL 34292
12/14/15	Patrick J Dye		1732 Kilrush Dr	Venice, FL 34292

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/9/15	Paul Lamont	Paul Lamont	500 Park Blvd S	GTPLAMONT@aol.com
12/14/15	SHIRLEY GAWNE		7000 This WAY	
12/14/15	Donald J. Parsch	Donald J Parsch	342 Turtleback Crossing	djparsch@aol.com

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/8/15	Bob Dennis	[illegible]	421 Mchon Dr	vgdennis2033@gmail.com
12/8/15	Bill Fender	[illegible]	411 Golden Beach Blvd	Susanandbill.fender@gmail.com
12/8/15	Douglas E Paul	[illegible]	604 Hibiscus Dr	[illegible]@comcastnet
12/8/15	Edward A. Hicks	[illegible]	448 Baynard Dr	E.A.Hicks@Verizon.net
12/8/15	Brosa Gebeshian	[illegible]	1920 Greenglen Dr.	Venice2376@gmail.com
	Laurene Scolaro	[illegible]	425 Darling Dr.	Venice
12-8-15	Anthony Scolaro	[illegible]	425 Darling Dr.	
12/8/15	Alice M. Hicks	[illegible]	448 Baynard Dr	Venice FL 34285
12/8/15	David Barnes	[illegible]	501 Barcelona Ave #E	Venice " "
12/15/15	James E Gilmore	[illegible]	517 Villas Dr	Venice Fl 34285

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/11/15	HENRY PFEIFLE	H. Pfeifle	433 Ashleigh	
12/11	LARRY GLYNN	Lawrence Glynn	1619 Gondola Park Dr	
12/11/15	Bill Bremner	[illegible]	4213 Jenny Blvd	Bremnrd@aol.com
12/11/15	Sandy Allen	Sandy Allen	Box 365 Nokomis	
12/11/15	Craig Allen	[illegible]	Box 365 Nokomis	
12/11/15	Jim Hester	[illegible]	1106 Underwood Dr	
12/11/15	STEVE GOLDSTEIN	[illegible]	12198 WAKULLA Pl.	STEVEEGOLDSTEIN@GMAIL.COM
12/11	[illegible]	[illegible]	Bite Dawson	
12/11	[illegible]		611 Pond Willow La	
12/11	[illegible]	L Dibrell	520 Roma Rd	

10

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/9	Beth Gehring	Beth Gehring	550 W. Flamingo Dr.	jbgehring@verizon.net
12/9	Sid Shrauger	John S Shrauger	116 Amora Ave	jshrauger@verizon.net
12/9	John Densler	[illegible]	316 PARKLAWE DR	[illegible]
12/9	Abby Gage	A. A. Gage	600 Alhambra Rd Venice 28753	
12/9	Norman Ness	[illegible]	980 Cooper St 34285	
12/9	ERNIE ZARKOWSKI	[illegible]	270 South Midnight	[illegible]
12/10	Philip A. Angell, Jr	Philip A. Angell Jr	102 Reclamation Circle Venice 34292	
12/10	WARREN KENNEDY	[illegible]	337 OTTER CREEK RD	
12/10/15	Anthony Rondello	[illegible]	Sawgrass	
12/10	Mary Maggier	Mary Maggier	527 Peach St.	
12/14	Melissa Stofko	Melissa Stofko	1566 Belfry Dr	Melissastofko@aol.com
12/14	DIANNE CHRISNEK	Dianne Chrisnek	1614 Discount Ct	
12/14	P.M. Pratt	Patricia M. Pratt	1729 Moon Dr.	ppratt1729@gmail.

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/7	STEPHEN TRAMBETA	[illegible]	431 Dalmac Dr	[illegible]
12/7	MARGARET O'HARE	Margaret O'Hare	138 Montclare Dr.	marjohare@verizon
12/7	FRANK QUINN	[illegible]	269 Capulet Dr.	[illegible]
12-8	DON ANDERSON	[illegible]	520 VERDI ST	ANDERSONBLOOM@GMAIL.COM
12-8	DAVID BOGIE	David P. Bogie	58 HATCHETT CR Rd	VENICE FL
12-9	Jennifer Endsley	Jennifer Endsley	250 South Morin	Venice FL 34285
12-09	SANDEE EUDY	Sandee Eudy	920 Cooper St.	Venice FL 34285
12/9	KEN EUDY	Ken Eudy	920 COOPER ST	
12/9	TRACY IVEY	Tracy Ivey	120 BASE AVE E	alvine60700@mypacks.net
12/9	Mary Ellen Ryan	Mary Ellen Ryan	19 Gulf Manor Dr.	meorganize@gmail.com
12/9	Marilyn Medler	Marilyn Medler	510 Granada Ave #109	mmedler44@gmail.com
12/9	Jo Mitchell	[illegible]	417 Riviera	jbethmitchell@netscape.net
12/9	Steve Schmidt	Steve Schmidt	417 Riviera	
12/9	Doug MacFayden	[illegible]	708 Gulf Dr	dmacfayden@[illegible]

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/14	Ted Caiazza	[illegible]	868 Connemara Circle	—
12/14	Tom Pendergrass	[illegible]	787 Bridle Oaks Dr	—
12/14	PEG WITEK	Peg Witek	1644 Liscourt Dr.	
12/14	Joy Buck	Joy Buck	304 Marsh Creek Rd.	
12/14	Suzanne Custer	[illegible]	401 Darling Drive	
12/14	William Fairbank	[illegible]	1237 Pinebrook Rd.	
12/14	RAYMOND R ERNEST	[illegible]	1195 So. Indian Circle	

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
	Paul Todd	[illegible]	224 Monet Dr. Nokomis	PTodd1914@AOL.Com
	Dom Ciancio	[illegible]	1743 Forest Rd.	Dom.Ciarvenza@[illegible]
	Don Castro	Daniel Castro	1235 Tarton Rd.	Venice FL
	Charles Schwenda	[illegible]	2104 L'Palma Bld	Venice FL
	Joseph Villara	[illegible]	2395 Caraway Dr.	Venice FL
	Deb Villara	Deb Villara	2395 Caraway Dr.	Venice, FL
6				

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
Dec 9, 2015	JAMES DeTore	[illegible]	191 E Seminole St Venice FL	
Dec 10 2015	Cleo Yoder	[illegible]	2681 Mohegan Rd 34293	
DEC. 11, 2015	MICHAEL LACKMAN	[illegible]	3916 WOODMERE PK BLVD APT 2 VENICE FL	
12/23/15	SUSAN NUNES	[illegible]	186 Valencia Loop	
12/23/15	CAROL SABATINI	[illegible]	671 Iris Venice 34293	
12/23/15	Helen Lore	[illegible]	5029 Jackson Ham Venice 34293	
12/23	MARILYN BADINGER	[illegible]	Plantation	Venice Fl. 34293
12/23	[illegible]	[illegible]	5778 24th D	Venice 34293
12/26	Christiana Bayer	[illegible]	905 S. Tuttori Ave	Venice 34285
12/23	Robert Stimm	[illegible]	" " " "	
12/27	Doda Rye	[illegible]	4266 Summer Tree Rd	
12/23	LINDA M. DEFINIS	[illegible]	2648 Sarpeka Rd., Venice, Fl 34293	
12/23	Sharon MacKinnon	[illegible]	1102 Hickory St. 34223	
12/23.	ALAN WEBSTER	[illegible]	305 MARSH CREEK RD.	

14

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/30	Gary Sibley	[illegible]	1224 Pine Needle Rd venice	
12/30	Yvonne Cirillandi	[illegible]	232 Wolverine Ave NP FL 34287	
12/30	Salvatore J Vendemia	[illegible]	537 Marsh Creek Rd FL 34292	

3

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
12/23/15	MARILYN DI LORENZO	Marilyn Di Lorenzo	632 GARDENIA DR.	
12/23/15	Weizhen Wolf	Weizhen Jiang Wolf	420 Darling Dr.	
12/24/15	JOHN BARANOWSKI	John Baranowski	624 Hibiscus Dr	
12/25/15	SCOTT SILVER	[illegible]	708 Seraga ST VB	
12/29/15	EDWIN W MARTIN	Edwin Martin	429 EVERGLADES DR	
12/29/15	Robert G. Dennis	[illegible]	421 Mahon Dr	
12/29/15	Linda B. Dennis	Linda Dennis	421 Mahon Dr	
"	Marty Lebedun	[illegible]	1855 Neptune Dr. 34223	
1/5/16	ARLENE HARMS	Arlene Harms	426 Golden Beach	34285
1/5/16	JEREE HARMS	[illegible]	426 Golden Beach	34285

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
1/19/16	Gary Froeschl	Gary Froeschl	500 Park Blvd S, #98	dgfroeschl@gmail.com
1/19/16	Dottie Froesch	Dottie Froeschl	500 Park Blvd S. #98	dgfroeschl@gmail.com
1/19/16	YVONNE Kuppe	Mary Yvonne Kuppe	500 PARK BLVD SO #94	
1/19/16	Holmes Bailey	Holmes Bailey	500 Park Blvd #83	hxbailey@twcny.RR.com
1/19/16	Carol Voegele	Carol Voegele	500 Park Blvd So	tvo954@gmail.com
1/19/16	Tim Voegele	Tim Voegele	500 Park Blvd So	tvo954@gmail.com
1/19/16	BARRY BRIGGS	Barry Briggs	500 PARK BLVD S. #102	BRIGGSBD@gmail.com
1/19/16	Doug Woodring	[illegible]	500 Park Blvd S. #51	cdwoodring98@yahoo.com
1/19/16	DAVID GERVERS	David Gervers	500 Park Blvd South #54	davidgervers@sbcglobal.net
1/19/16	Edith + Joseph Desposito	E Desposito	500 Pk. Blvd So #18	edesposito@aol.com
1/19	ELEANOR SHARP	Eleanor Sharp	500 PARK BLVD S #14	
1/19	JOHN RYAN	[illegible]	500 PARK BLVD S #55	J.Ryan66@FRONTIER.COM
1/19	George LEATHERBURY	[illegible]	500 PARK BLVD S #64	gleatherbury@peoplepc.com
1/19	Kenneth E. Newcombe	Kenneth E. Newcombe	500 PARK BLVD S #2	Newcombe@bellsouth.net

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
1-19-16	Bonnie Mullins	Bonnie Mullins	500 Park S Blvd Venice, 34285	Bonbillmul@aol
1-19-2016	Bill Mullins	Bill Mullins	500 PARK BLVD SOUTH Venice, 34285	"
1/19/16	Cynthia J. Shives	Cynthia J. Shives	500 Park Blvd, S. #85 34285	henryandshives@yahoo.com
1/19/16	Thomas W. Henry	[illegible]	500 Park Blvd #85 34285	"
1-19-16	Elaine Crowell	Elaine Crowell	500 Park Blvd S. #1 34285	elainecrowell@gmail.com
1/19/2016	Guilford W. Forbes	GUILFORD W. FORBES	500 Park Blvd S-6	gwforbes@live.com
1-19-2016	L B STUART	L B Stuart	500 Park Blvd #79 34285	
1-19-2016	Anne Hennessey	Anne S Hennessey	500 Park Blvd S, 109	
1-19-2016	BRIGID MURPHY	Brigid Murphy	500 PARK BLV #78	34285 -
1-19-2016	Bill Allen	Bill Allen	500 Park Blvd #49	
1-19-2016	Sandra Allen	Sandra Allen	500 Park Blvd. #49	
1-19-2016	Roger Fruechte	Roger Fruechte	500 Park Blvd #15	
1/19/2016	Duane Bolton	Duane Bolton	500 Park Blvd S #17	
1/19/2016	Susan Harrell	Susan Harrell	500 Park Blvd. S #58	

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
1/19/16	Ann Noble	[illegible]	500 Park Blvd. S #54	
1/19/16	Patricia Lamont	[illegible]	500 Park Blvd South #127	
1/19/16	Doug Woodring	[illegible]	500 Park Blvd South #51	cdwoodring98@yahoo.com
1/19/16	Robert + Mary Dughiney	[illegible]	500 Park Blvd S, #56	MBDUPHE@AOL.com
1/19/16	Marilyn Newcombe	Marilyn Newcombe	500 Park Blvd S. #2	LAKOTA64@bellsouth.net
1/19/16	Roger Fruechte	[illegible]	500 Park Blvd #15	
1/19/16	Judith Wagner	[illegible]	500 Parkblvd #90	jawagjones@mail.com
1/19/16	Tom Sharp	[illegible]	500 Park Blvd S 14	
1/19/16	Carol Musser	[illegible]	500 Park Blvd #13	camusss529@gmail.com
1/19/16	Gerry Van de Velde	[illegible]	500 Park Blvd #118	GVAN814@ATT.NET
1/19/16	Carol Sue Parker	[illegible]	500 Park Blvd #122	
1-19-16	Robert Noble	[illegible]	500 Park Blvd. S. #54	
1-19-16	Gh Harrell	[illegible]	500 Park Blvd #58	

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
1.2.16	Robert R. Pritchard		122 Rio Terra, Venice, FL 34285	Pritchom2@verizon.net
1/3/16	Catherine Kennedy	Catherine Kennedy	214 Rio Terra Venice Fl	c-a-kennedy@hotmail.com
1/4/16	Cheryl Surth		211 Rio Terra Venice, Fl	hdscms@gmail.com
1/4/16	Alberta Leytze		106 Corte Del Rosa	alberta@proposalnet.re
1/4/16	Theodore H. Vaughn		204 Rio Terra Venice Fl	tvaug@yahoo.com
1/15/16	Thomas Gavlick		130 Rio Terra	gavlick@gmail.com
1/15/16	Robert Kunath		208 Rio Terra	bobkunath@gmail.com
1/16/16	Jeffrey Hughes		104 Corte Del Asolo	icyjeffrey@msn.com
1-16-16	Thomas Nordstrom		327 Rio Terra	Thnordstr@me.com
1-17-16	Wayne Johnson		108 Corte Del Rosa	waynenjohnson@gmail.com
1-17-16	Louise Gavlick		130 Rio Terra	gavlick@gmail.com
1-17-16	Virginia Micucci		128 Rio Terra	VAMPM@AOL.COM

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
1/11/16	ROSALIE DANBURY	Rosalie Danbury	500 PARK Blvd. S. #9 Venice, FL 34285	rdanbury@me.com
1/11/16	JOHN HEYES	[illegible]	324 Rebecca St	
1/11/16	Michael Rank	[illegible]	505 Verdi	rank@usf.edu
1/11/16	David Williams	[illegible]	512 Golf Dr.	[illegible]
1/11/16	Linda Lewis	Linda Lewis	208 Bayport Cir	lewiscolwell@[illegible]
1/11/16	THOMAS PIERIE	Thomas Pierie	1422 Colony Pl	georgehill@att.net
1-11-16	Anna Andella	[illegible]	236 Harbor View Way	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
1/11/16	George Quellich	[illegible]	620 Ravenna	gequellich@gmail.com
1/11/16	Leslie Jacobs	[illegible]	626 Madrid Ave	Leslie.W.Jacobs@[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	220 Santa Maria	Venice, FL
	Sherri Jones	[illegible]	255 Tamiami Trail No	bp4itnc@gmail.com
	DEB GEHRINGER	[illegible]	3236 MEADOWCALL	dgehringer@gmail.

12

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
1/12/16	Susan Granados	Susan Granados	321 Channel Ln., Nokomis FL	sugra4666@yahoo.com
12 JAN 2016	ROBERT BROOKE	Robert Brooke	421 Nassau St-S	
1/12/16	LINDA SALETEL	Linda Saletel	453 Nokomis	lds206@icloud.com
1-12-16	MYRNA Hollander	Myrna Hollander	617 Tamiami Trl N, #53	34285
1/12/16	Karyn Trossbach	Karyn Trossbach	649 Tamiami Trail #109	Karyn.Trossbach@gmail.com
1/12/16	Jos Van Beekec	Jos Van Beekec	11061 Pine Acres Dr. Boca Grande FL	
1/12/16	RAYMOND GOINS	Raymond Goins	3470 WISTERIA RD	34293
1-12-16	Amaelie Liebermann	Amaelie Liebermann	2805 Hermitage Blvd -	Venice, Florida 34292
1-26-16	Stuart A Clinson	STUART CLINSON	511 VERDI ST	
1-26-16	Ann Mulding		2709 Temple St	Sarasota FL 34231
1/26/16	JAMES C. NEFF	James C Neff	11505 CALLAWAY CT.	VENICE, FL. 34
1-26-16	Sue Dewing	Sue Dewing	601 S. Nokomis Dr	Venice FL 34293
1/26/16	Sharon Dwyer	Sharon Dwyer	359 Woods Pt.	Osprey 34229
B				

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
1/11/16	Susan Fender	Susan Fender	411 Golden Beach Blvd.	susanandbill fender@gmail.com
1/11/16	Larry Ingasser	[illegible]	500 Park Blvd #13 Venice	[illegible]
1/11/16	John Roche	[illegible]	405 Nokomis Ave Venice, FL.	
1/11/16	Bill Corey	[illegible]	309 Pedro St Venice, FL	[illegible]
1/11/16	Thomas Jacobs	[illegible]	626 Madrid Ave. Venice, FL	thomas.c.jacobs@gmail.com
1/11/16	[illegible]	[illegible]	613 Menendez St	[illegible]
1/11/16	Maryie Simcox	Maryie Simcox	425 Alhambra Rd.	
1/11/16	Robert Guertin	Robert Guertin	612 Harvard St	bobjanguertin@msn.com
1/11/16	Gary Simcox	Gary Simcox	428 Alhambra Rd., Venice	
1/11/16	Beth Hall	[illegible] Hall	825 Robert St.	
1/12/16	Patti Shreve	PATTI SHREEVE	412 NASSAU ST S. VENICE	
1/12/16	Darryl Lang	[illegible]	792 Bird Bay Way	—
1/12/16	Marilyn Incist		999 Inlet Circle Venice	
14. "	Nancy Dickson	Nancy Dickson	216 S. Maria	

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
1/11/16	Robert M. Kaercher	Robert M. Kaercher	932 Grantland Ave. Venice 34285	rkaercher2@verizon.net
1/12/16	Sybilla S Case	Sybilla S Case	1268 11th St 2403 Sarasota FL 34236	sybilla.case@gmail
1/12/16	THOMAS SHERGUS	[illegible]	412 NASSAU ST S	THOMAS.SHERGUS@VERIZON.NET
2/16	Sally Graves	SM Graves	20 laurel ln Westport MA	
2/16	Frank Graves	Frank Graves	20 Laurel Ln Westport, MA 02790	
	Anne Sherman	Anne Sherman	1292 Highland Greens 492-6703	
	Tom Sherman	[illegible]	" " "	TWSHERM@AOL.COM
	Judy Houston		4995 Pepperwood Pl	493-7860
	Charles A. Salisbury	CHARLES A. SALISBURY	627 ALHAMBRA II 301	412-8353
	Martha Salisbury		"	"
	Sofia Lavertson		1845 SAN TROVASO WAY	
	Irwin Davis		12505 Lakewood Ranch	306.5930

12

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
1/11	JOHN HARTNETT	John Hartnett	500 Pine Blvd. S. #75	Johnnierhartnett@att.net
1/11	BERNARD DECKMAN	Bernard A Deckman	309 Pelio St	
1/11	DON ROBINSON	[illegible]	518 Willis Dr	
2/23	Jim McKee	[illegible]	736 Pine Land Cir	
2/23	[illegible]		305 Dekalb	
2/23	William J Powell	William J Powell	3664 Meadow Dr 16506	
2/23	Richard Packman	R E Packman	303 Casa Del Lago Way	
	Stephanie Larkin	Stephanie Larkin	945 Rosemary Drive	
2/23	Linda Hack	Linda Hack	5048 Southern Pine Circle	

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
1/6	KAY STERLING	Kay Sterling	3108 Meadow Run Drive	
1/26	KLAUS BELTZNER	Klaus Beltzner	113 Muriel	KBELTZNER@MAIL.C
1/26	Joy Kennedy	Joanna Kennedy	1587 Jasper Ct	
1/26	Janet Buescher	JANET BUESCHER	2220 COAL HARBOUR	
1/26	Jack Buescher	Jack Buescher	" "	
1/26	LINDA DALTON	Linda Dalton	219 Rio Terra	34285
1/26	Courtland Dalton Jr		219 Rio Terra, Venice	34285
1/26	Kathleen Pioman	Kathleen A Pioman	64 S Nassau Place	34293
1/26	MIAGENE HOPKINS	Miagene Hopkins	612 Ravenna St.	
	EILEEN GREENE		SCHVILLES DR.	34285
1/26	FRANK WRIGHT		521 Harbor Dr. S.	34285
2/23	Grace Desjardin	Grace Desjardin	1446 Wexford Way	34293
	Richard S Smith	Richard S. Smith	1606 Vilaki Ct	34293
2/23	MIRA NESS	M. J. Ness	980 COOPER ST #403 VENICE	mjness@

14

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
1/12/16	Victoria S May	Victoria S May	246 Barons Nokomis FL	—
	RICHARD C. MAY	Richard C. May	"	
1/12/16	HANS G. HAWKINS	Hans G Hawkins	"	HANSGHAWKINS2@gmail.com
1/12/16	Wm. Servey	[illegible]		
1-12-16	KIM INDELICATO	Kim Indelicato	894 Bird Bay Way 34285	
1-12-16	Suzanne M Smyth	Suzanne M. Smyth	414 Zacapa	—
	Peg Oatley	PEG OATLEY	831 S. WATERWAY, VENICE 34285	
1-21-16	Bob Mastrostefano	Bob Mastrostefano	649 S. Tamiami Venice 34285	
1/23	Richard Gefske	Richard Gefske	245 Way Circle " 34243	
	E RAYMOND	E Raymond	40 GROVELAND 34285	
2/23	Margaret Meierhans	Margaret Meierhans	1931 Botello Dr 34292	
2/23	Annamarie Schirber	Annamarie Schirber	1505 Danforth La. Osprey 34229	—
2/23	Robert CLUNA	Robert Cluna	627 Leisure Island Breeze Venice	nclunaecomcast.net
2/23	Regina Mingela	Regina Mingela		rmingela7@yahoo.com

14

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
1/26/16	Ruth Petowiecław	Ruth Petowiecław	1342 Brookside Dr.	
1/26/16	DIAN GILLIN		1410 NOKOMIS AVE	DIANNGE@HOTMAIL
1/26/16	Deborah Eaton	Deborah J Eaton	1200 Tarpon Ctr	dgeaton49@gmail.com
	Robin Shapiro	Robin Shapiro	Quiet St	
1/26/2016	JACK MINER		1405 Cambridge	jazzonmainst@yahoo.com
	Walter Wisniewski	W. Wisniewski	247 H. Drive S	
1/26/2016	STEPHEN BECKER		505 BARCELONA	stephenbecker285@hotmail.com
2/23/	NANCY HART		740 Grove Land Ave	ojinsc@aol.com
2/23/16	Rene Meierhans		1931 Batello Dr. Venice	
2/23/16	Charles Ford		882 Country Club Circle	
2/23/16	Beverley Patrick	Beverley Patrick	100 The Esplanade N	
2/23/16	Maggie Mortensen	Maggie Mortensen	400 Via Veneto	
2/23/16	Andy Candor		409 Villas	

13

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
2-23-16	Elaine Wolfe	Elaine Wolfe	455 Pinewood Lake Dr.	
2-23-16	Alice Haber	Alice Haber	608 Leisure	Venice fahaber@
2-23-16	MARK FRANKLIN	[illegible]	516 Parkdale Mews Venice	hotmail.ca
2-23-16	Sarunas Mingela	Sarunas Mingela	Island Breeze ~~[illegible]~~ Tamiami Trail	Venice FL
2-23-16	LARKIN Steve	Steve T. Larkin	995 Jaquinn Dr	

5

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
2/23/16	Lynn Estes	Lynn L Estes	1076 Bird Bay Way	
2/23/16	Barry Silverman	Barry L Silverman	455 Pinewood Lake Dr.	
2/23/16	Jean Young	Jean K. Young	995 Laguna Dr	
2/23/16	Miriam Forquer	Miriam Forquer	799 Inlet Circle	
	Bill Forquer	Bill Forquer	" "	
2/23	Mike Hille	Michael Hille	270 Santa Maria #102	
2/23	Donna Boudrow	Donna Boudrow	736 Pineland	swimgdancer29@gmail
2/23	Peggy Fulkerson	Peggy S. Fulkerson	308 Park Blvd S	peggysf19@gmail.com
2/23	Peg O'Brien	Peg O'Brien	2557 Le Esplanade #4010	

9

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
2/23/16	Barbara K Griffin	Barbara K Griffin	1517 Belfry Dr.	
	FRANCIS R BIRMINGHAM	F.R. Birmingham	1008 Gondola Park Dr	
	Norman F. Ness	Norman F Ness	980 Cooper St Unit 403, Venice 34285	
2/23/16	Judith Estes		1076 Bird Bay Way, Venice 34285	
2/23/16	Cynthia Franklin	Cynthia Franklin	516 Parkdale Mews Venice 34285	
2/23/16	Arlene C. O'Connor	Arlene C O'Connor	1326 Waterside Dr Venice 34285	
2/23/16	Peg Monroe	Peg Monroe	@gmail.com	
2/23/16	Kim Armstrong		9052 Oakridge Circle Venice	
2/23/16	Helen Cardarelli	Helen Cardarelli	57 Pellegrin Rd. Venice	
2/23/16	Mary Ellen Kyle		19 Gulf Manor Venice 34285	
2/23/16	Margaret Candor		409 Villas Dr Venice 34285	tacandor@comcast.net
2/23/16	Karen Kinsella		250 Santa Maria Dr. Venice 34285	
2/23/16	Barbara Deane-Gillett		Venice 34285	
2/23/16	SANDRA VECCHIONE		995 LAGUNDA RD 34285	Coastalshell@yahoo.com

14

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
2/23	Beth Gehring	Beth Gehring	550 W Flamingo Dr #101, Venice, FL	jbgehring@verizon.net
2/23	LEORA NELSON	Leora Nelson	1104 Sunset Dr VENICE FL	
2/23	Joanne VAnton	Joanne VAnton	240 Santa Monica St #372	
2/23	Anne + John Quashnoc	Anne F. Quashnoc	Parkdale Mews, Venice, FL	

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
2/23	Dr. Raymond Hack	Raymond Hack	5045 S Pine Dr	
2/23	Riste Cisler	[illegible]	1214 Lucaya Ave	
2/23	ELIZABETH MAY	Elizabeth May		
2/23	JEFFREY N. PARSON	[illegible]	P.O. Box 2193	
2/23	Joyce H. Norton	Joyce H. Norton	629 N. Armada Rd.	

March 4, 2016

Lori Stelzer, City Clerk

Venice Florida

Enclosed please find signed Petitions entitled **Keep Venice Venice! (Not Naples)** that were collected over the past three months in conjunction with the ongoing update of the Comprehensive Plan. Several Venice residents assisted with the collection of signatures on these Petitions.

The Petition states:

We the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses with the city of Venice especially east of I 75.

As of March 1, 2016 **502 individuals signed the paper petition plus another 90 who signed on line.** (Some of the 90 online had also signed paper petitions so these are counted separately from the paper petitions).

Based on the City's sign in sheets for the various Comp Plan workshops held for residents since September 2015, there were 476 individuals who attended one or more workshops plus 19 high school students. The City's count is 640 because many attended more than one session and were counted for each sign in. Our count, to the extent that we were able to make such determination, also does not include persons employed by the City or County, elected or appointed officials, nor other employers, businesses or persons having a professional or special/financial interest in the Comp Plan. (Note: There were no sign in sheets for Bay Indies meeting, just comments from their Board, and, no sign in sheets from Waterford, just comments so we don't know how many residents attended). It is clear from the comments, input and surveys that **the overwhelming majority of people attending the Comp Plan workshops,** whether or not they signed the Petition, **expressed concerns about increased growth and traffic and indicated a desire to keep Venice the small town John Nolen designed. People living in/near northeast Venice were especially concerned about height and density and traffic and wanted to see more preservation of natural habitat, rural and agricultural land uses in that area.**

Please distribute copies of this cover letter to the Mayor and Council, Planning Commission and Planning Department staff and include it as part of the public record of citizen input on the 2016 Comp Plan update.

Thank you

Sue Lang & Steve Trombeta

Venice Florida

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
2/23/16	J. W. GEHRING		550 W. FLAMINGO DR. #101 VENICE FL 34285	gehrijw@gmail.com
1 MAR 16	E. M. DODDS		205 PARK BLVD S #6 VENICE FL 34285	
1 Mar 16	FRANK WRIGHT		521 Harbor Dr S Venice FL	fenspw@comcast.net
3/1/16	Marge Buchanan		512 Verdi S Venice FL	mflorida@comcast.net
3/1/16	Elizabeth J. Intagliata		1675 Valley Dr Venice FL 34292	
3/1/16	Dora MacDonald		433 Alhambra Pl Venice, FL 34212	
3/1/16	PAUL R. INTAGLIATA		1675 Valley Dr	paulintag@aol.com
3/1/16	STEPHEN PINNEY		513 DANTE ST VENICE	

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
3/1/16	John M Sullivan	[illegible]	252 Pensacola Rd	John M Sullivan@verizon.net
3/1/16	Geraldine Sullivan	[illegible]	258 Pensacola Rd	" "

4

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
3-1-16	GERARD FLOOD	[illegible]	130 BOLANZA CT	LAWGUY1973@YAHOO.COM

1

KEEP VENICE VENICE!

We **the following residents of Venice/South County do hereby petition the City of Venice to preserve the historic character of Venice as exemplified in the John Nolen Plan, to not increase heights or density or intensity above the levels set forth in the current Comprehensive Plan, and further, to provide for wildlife corridors, greater buffering and increased preservation of natural habitat, wetlands, rural and agricultural land uses within the city of Venice especially east of I 75.**

Date	PRINT Name	Signature	Address	Email Address
	Dan Shugarts	[illegible]	520 Park Blvd #10	dan_shugarts@dentistry.uc.edu
	ALONKA HEYER	[illegible]	824 Robert ST	alenkamle@hotmail.co
	Janice Roche	[illegible]	405 South Nokomis	
	Judy Eckroth	[illegible]	236 S. Harbor	
	PETER GLASS	[illegible]	228 SALERNO ST	pxglass@yahoo.com
	Helen Quallich	[illegible]	620 Ravenna St	
	Mary Robinson	Mary H Robinson	518 Villas Dr	drmr123@gmail.com

7

#	Name	Country
1	Mary Ellen Palermo	United States of America
2	Suzanne Lang	United States of America
3	Rosemary Vauzanges	United States of America
4	Jan Vertefeuille	United States of America
5	matthew phillips	United States of America
6	Lynn Grimes	United States of America
7	Lori Straits	United States of America
8	Diana Maria	United States of America
9	Robert G dennis	United States of America
10	Marisa LaTorre	United States of America
11	Catherine Seress	United States of America
12	Teri Pomante	United States of America
13	Teri Pomante	United States of America
14	Nancy Miller	United States of America
15	Deborah Spigarelli	United States of America
16	Deborah Lynn Curry	United States of America
17	Marion Mendelson	United States of America
18	Ronald Musselman	United States of America
19	Mike Volpe	United States of America
20	Peggy	United States of America
21	Michael	United States of America
22	Edwin w. Martin	United States of America
23	Earl Quandt	United States of America
24	Anne ross	United States of America
25	stephen p elwood	United States of America
26	Ward and Evelyn Barritt	United States of America
27	Ted Koszarski	United States of America
28	Mary A Payne	United States of America
29	Chris Durkin	United States of America
30	Linda dennis	United States of America
31	Sharon Quimby	United States of America
32	Mary	United States of America
33	John Patek	United States of America
34	Carly Grimes	United States of America
35	Sally Brush	United States of America
36	Allen Brush	United States of America
37	Jim Davis	United States of America
38	Scott Eisenhardt	United States of America
39	gordon bridger	United States of America
40	Karen Scott	United States of America

#	Name	Country
41	Patricia Varney	United States of America
42	Marcia Swinehart	United States of America
43	William Newnam	United States of America
44	Walter Rossmann	United States of America
45	Dave McGovern	United States of America
46	Judith Edmunds	United States of America
47	Donna Byrnes	United States of America
48	Lourdes Ramirez	United States of America
49	Patti Shreeve	United States of America
50	Lynda Speek	United States of America
51	Margaret Pisani	United States of America
52	William Steen	United States of America
53	Suzanne Custer	United States of America
54	John Bowne	United States of America
55	Thomas Castellanete	United States of America
56	Nancy Thompson	United States of America
57	Bonnie Thistle	United States of America
58	Rob Kaercher	United States of America
59	patricia bridger	United States of America
60	joy	United States of America
61	Patricia Nicolais	United States of America
62	Roger Leege	United States of America
63	Sara Gusmer	United States of America
64	Peg and Mike Tomanio	United States of America
65	Peggy Martin	United States of America
66	Michael Platt	United States of America
67	RITA CHILDERS	United States of America
68	Sandra Wigginton	United States of America
69	Christine Gabriel	United States of America
70	Lynn Nelson	United States of America
71	Belinda Murphy	United States of America
72	Catherine Stumpfl	United States of America
73	Deborah Lupacchino	United States of America
74	R kay	United States of America
75	Jkeylay	United States of America
76	Edward A. Hicks	United States of America
77	Alice M. Hicks	United States of America
78	Betty Bauer	United States of America
79	Trish Robbins	United States of America
80	Jean Broman	United States of America

#	Name	Country
81	Wilda Kelly	United States of America
82	Lee Scott	United States of America
83	Walter Wolf	United States of America
84	Frances Ossola	United States of America
85	Mike Muhlbauer	United States of America
86	Fran Waldrop	United States of America
87	Heather	United States of America
88	Nancy Liscow	United States of America
89	Patricia Rounds	United States of America
90	Virginia Kraus	United States of America

Christina Rimes

From: Robert Daniels
Sent: Sunday, January 29, 2017 5:13 PM
To: Planning Commission
Subject: Fwd: How Many Units In The Three Master Planned Communities Will Be Affordable to Lower Income Working Families?

Fyi

Get Outlook for iOS

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: "**Ed Martin**" <edwilsonmartin@hotmail.com>
Date: Sun, Jan 29, 2017 at 4:58 PM -0500
Subject: Re: How Many Units In The Three Master Planned Communities Will Be Affordable to Lower Income Working Families?
To: "sue Lang" <suelang99@hotmail.com>
Cc: "harold.bubil@heraldtribune.com" <harold.bubil@heraldtribune.com>, "executiveteam@heraldtribune.com" <executiveteam@heraldtribune.com>, "tom.tryon@heraldtribune.com" <tom.tryon@heraldtribune.com>, "Tom Lyons" <tom.lyons@heraldtribune.com>, "Edward Lavallee" <ELavallee@Venicegov.com>, "Jeff Shrum" <JShrum@Venicegov.com>, "City Council" <CityCouncil@Venicegov.com>, "Dan Lobeck" <dlobeck@lobeckhanson.com>

I would suggest, if you agree, that you specify, working people such as police, fire, teachers, nearby industrial workers, e.g. PGT, Tervis, so that the focus is not limited to the very poor.

I have hearing discussions of affordable housing as desirable, but no committments.At the same time widening River Road, for example is not coming from impact fees from the thousands of units, so some housing guarantees would help offset those costs by benefitting the public at large.

Thanks,
Ed Martin
Former Mayor, Venice.

Sent from my iPad

On Jan 29, 2017, at 10:32 AM, sue Lang <suelang99@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Mr. Bubil, i read with interest that you will moderate the panel for the upcoming Trib sponsored BuilderFest. I think the public would be most interested in knowing how many of the units in these three developments (West Villages, Babcock Ranch and Lakewood Ranch) will be affordable to lower income working families. John Nolen included such units in his plans for Venice and they still exist today. I suggest that this question be asked of the Developers on the panel.

Thank you

Sent from Outlook

Need to Report an Issue? SeeClickFix Venice Connect is available as an app for Android and iPhone. Select SeeClickFix from your app store on your device and choose Venice, Florida. There is also a link to the program on the city's website, www.venicegov.com, or go directly to SeeClickFix at http://www.seeclickfix.com/Venice

PLEASE NOTE: This agency is a public entity and is subject to Chapter 119, Florida Statutes, concerning public records. Email communications are covered under such laws; therefore, email sent or received on this entity's computer system, including your email address, may be disclosed to the public and media upon request. If you do not want your email address released to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.