## **PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITY PROPERTY MATRIX**

	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
1				ACCESS/	ACREAGE	AVAILABLE TO	GROWTH					
2	<u>SITES</u>	OWNER	LOCATION	VISIBLE	LAND SIZE	BUY/DEVELOP	POTENTIAL	COST	SUITABILITY	ZONING	TOTAL	
3	Weighting	Factor	2	1	2	3	1	2	1	1		
4	E.Venice	Caithness	5 (10)	5	5 (10)	5 (15)	3	4 (8)	5	4	60	
5	E.Venice	Gahhos	5 (10)	5	3 (6)	3 (9)	2	2 (4)	5	4	45	
6	Pinebrook	City	4 (8)	5	5 (10)	2 (6)	5	5 (10)	3	5	52	
7	Border x 75	Zuknick	3 (6)	2	3 (6)	3 (9)	3	3 (6)	4	4	40	
8	AlbeeFarm	Sandler	4 (8)	5	5 (10)	3 (9)	5	1 (1)	4	4	46	
9	Bridges	GCCF	2 (4)	2	4 (8)	3 (9)	3	3 (6)	4	4	40	
10												
11												
12												
13												
14		RATING SCALE:										
15												
16		<u>PoorExcellent</u>										
17		135										
18												
19												
20												
21												
22												
23	<u>DEFINITIONS</u>											
24		OCATION: Physical location within the City of Venice; centrally located, access to major travel artery										
25		CCESS/VISIBILITY: Degree to which the property is readily accessible and visible tothe general public										
26		ACREAGE/ LAND SIZE: Physical size of the property; configuration and practical value as developable										
27		VAILABLE TO BUY/DEVELOP: Availabilty of property on a timely basis for purchase from the owner for development of a public safety complex  ROWTH POTENTIAL: Capacity of the property to accommoate future growth and expansion of the public safety complex project										
28	<u>COST:</u> Cost to acquire the property from the current owner											
29	SUITABILITY TO NEIGHBORHOOD: Suitability of of a public safety complex proximate to abutting property owners											
30	ZONING: Current land zoning and/or potential to re-zone if necessary to accommodate the project											
31	Maintain a Suntan Calatin and a fairmiting and a state and a fath a sunting C											
32	Weighting Factor: Relative value/significance attributed to each of the rating factors											
33												_