



City of Venice

401 West Venice Avenue
Venice, FL 34285
www.venicegov.com

Meeting Minutes Planning Commission

Wednesday, August 24, 2016

1:30 PM

Community Hall

Workshop

I. Call to Order

A Workshop Meeting of the Planning Commission was held this date in Community Hall at City Hall. Chair Barry Snyder called the meeting to order at 1:30 p.m.

II. Roll Call

Present: 7 - Chair Barry Snyder, Helen Moore, Jerry Towery, Shaun Graser, Tom Murphy, Charles Newsom, and Janis Fawn

Also Present

Liaison Council Member Kit McKeon, City Attorney Dave Persson, Development Services Director Jeff Shrum, City Engineer Kathleen Weeden, and Recording Secretary Michelle Girvan.

III. Updates

[16-2198](#)

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE

Public Facilities and Level of Service Standards

Staff: Jeff Shrum, AICP, Development Services Director

Consultant: Kelley Klepper, AICP, Kimley-Horn

Public Comment for Comprehensive Plan Update

Mr. Shrum spoke in regards to level of service (LOS) for the parks category, adopted LOS, existing LOS, 2025 population, facility needs, LOS revision, public infrastructure, capacity of system, impact level, location of standards, straight line numbers, population growth, beach access, parks master plan, acreage, recommendation, current county land, LOS standard definition, permanent and seasonal population, defining seasonal population, and LOS during permanent and peak seasonal population.

Discussion took place regarding population, public infrastructure, and including minimum number of amenities.

Mr. Klepper spoke in regards to solid waste, landfill amount, increased recycling, expansion of landfill, and regional system.

Discussion took place regarding existing waste, different meanings of LOS standard, accommodating facility needs, data analysis, landfill capacity, capital improvement, recyclables, design amendment, and concurrency issues.

Discussion continued on solid waste, city funded projects, incorporating the school board in the plan, county and city's responsibility on landfill issues, meeting requirements, city's level of recycling, and interlocal agreement.

Mr. Klepper spoke in regards to wastewater and water supply master plans, waste water flow into treatment plants, and permitting and design peak factors.

Mr. Shrum spoke on solid waste, population growth and facility impact, equivalent residential unit (ERU), conversion table for non residential units, system levels, annual and daily average, process of waste water system, and permanent capacity.

Discussion ensued regarding annual waste water average, waste water LOS, persons per ERU, incorporating waste water master plan numbers into the comprehensive plan, capital improvement schedule, status of current capacity, waste water flows, determination of concurrency, current LOS, projected population growth, and identifying future needs.

Discussion took place regarding the wastewater maximum per day, waste water master plan, gallons of water per day per household, water source capacity, water and sewer services provided by the county, interlocal agreement with the county, annexed properties, service for geographic areas, reclaimed water for irrigation, recycling, capacity for reclaimed water, Planned Unit Developments (PUD), wells for individual houses, reclaimed water systems, and impact to water supplies.

Discussion continued on regulations, capacity for new developments, reclaimed water storage methods, potable water, city's water pressure, and stormwater retention.

Discussion took place regarding stormwater needs, city standards, projection after site development, county standards, flooding, Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD), regulation, water quality protection, water surge, coastal location, and inland areas.

Cliff Tate, Kimley Horn, spoke in regards to transportation, roadways, existing conditions, number of lanes, functional classification and

jurisdiction, higher level capacity, state roads, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), state and federal funding, and local roads.

Ms. Weeden spoke in regards to the Jacaranda extension, upgrade of classification process, and adopted plan.

Discussion took place regarding roadways, county versus city entity, classification draft, funding, repaving and maintenance, gas tax, city's routine maintenance, connectors and arterials, Honore Avenue construction, facility definitions, specific criteria at the federal level, approval by the Department of Transportation (DOT), and FHWA, guidelines and policies, increased capacity, Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), current comprehensive plan, maps, addition of roads, and public roads.

Discussion continued on roadways, elimination of public roads, The Bridges project, gated connectors, parcels, combined corridors, pre annexation agreements, road connectors, facility development, land use allocation, traffic needs, construction, road widening and future projects, Uber and driverless cars, market penetration, availability of right-of-ways, and mobility fees.

Discussion ensued on roadway LOS thresholds, congestion around intersections, speed limit, average travel speed, intersection vehicle delays, seasonal traffic increase, monitoring traffic conditions, engineering of traffic lights and operations, light mechanisms, adaptive traffic signals, funding for traffic light system, capacity at intersections, multi-modal opportunity, usage of city streets, grid system, multi use trails and sidewalks, and affordable housing area.

Discussion followed regarding roadways, urban density, sprawl, city's vision, areas for improvement, deficiencies and eliminations, funding, aspects on roadways, number of lanes, future land use, policy priority, level of mobility, narrow streets, wider bicycle facilities and sidewalks, standards for all modes of transportation, and trails.

Mr. Tate continued the discussion on roadways, sidewalks, bikes, paths, volume of LOS, DOT methods, designation of priorities, legal width of lanes, DOT lane standards, protective stripes on bike lanes, narrowing lanes, enhancement projects on US 41 business corridor, agreement on trails, bike trails and sidewalks, and projection of city maps.

Discussion took place regarding bus service routes and SCAT proposed plan.

Mr. Graser spoke in regards to solid waste chart and LOS.

Mr. Tate spoke in regards to road impact fees, mobility fees, new developments, and funding for improvements.

Discussion ensued on road impact fees, mobility fees, county versus city's input on fees, control over collection of fees, county commission's final vote, spending account, element of mobility fee, traffic study, sharing portions of fees, state law, and compact amendment requirement.

Mr. McKeon spoke on work force housing, single family detached, multi family housing, mobile homes, density, and impact fees.

[16-2199](#)

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE

City Staff Information on Level of Service Standards and Capital Projects

Staff: Jeff Shrum, AICP, Development Services Director

This was discussed under Item No. 16-2198.

IV. Audience Participation

No one signed up to speak.

V. Comments by Planning Division

Mr. Shrum spoke in regards to meeting dates, petitions, future discussions, workshop meeting date, combining neighborhoods, and completion date.

VI. Comments by Planning Commission Members

There were no comments.

VII. Adjournment

There being no further business to come before this Commission, the meeting was adjourned at 4:04 p.m.

Chair

Recording Secretary