

# City of Venice

# Meeting Minutes Planning Commission

Tuesday, June 18, 2024	1:30 PM	Council Chambers
Meeting Instructions		
<u>24-0251</u>	City of Venice Meeting Instructions	
I. Call to Order		
	Chair Snyder called the meeting to order at 1:32 p.m.	
II. Roll Call		
	Chair Snyder attended virtually by Zoom.	
Present:	<ul> <li>Richard Hale, Bill Willson, Jerry Jasper, Pam Schierberg, Barry Snyde McKeon</li> </ul>	r and Kit
Also Present		
	City Attorney Kelly Fernandez, Planning and Zoning Direct Planning Manager Amy Nelson, Senior Planner Nicole The Josh Law, Deputy Clerk Toni Cone, and Recording Se Hawkins-Brown.	remblay, Planner
III. Approval of Minutes		
<u>24-0252</u>	Minutes of the June 4, 2024 Regular Meeting.	
	A motion was made by Mr. McKeon, seconded by Mr. Hale, to approve th minutes of June 6, 2024 meeting as written. The motion carried unanimovoice vote.	
IV. Audience Participation		
	There was no one signed up to speak.	
V. Public Hearings		
<u>24-27DA</u>	Turbine Weld Design Alternative (Quasi-Judicial) Staff: Josh Law, Planner Agent: Jackson R. Boone, Esq., Boone Law Firm Applicant: Turbine Weld Industries, LLC	
	Petition Nos. 24-27DA and 24-12SP were presented together.	

Vice Chair Willson announced these are quasi-judicial hearings, read the memorandums regarding advertisement, and written communications, and opened the public hearings.

City Attorney Fernandez questioned Commission members concerning ex-parte communications and conflicts of interest. Mr. McKeon and Vice Chair Willson disclosed site visits. Chair Snyder disclosed a conversation with Planning and Zoning Director Clark regarding application.

Planner Law, being duly sworn, presented general information, project background, aerial map, surrounding property details, site photos, landscape plan, Type 5 Buffering requirements, Comprehensive Plan consistency, Land Development Code compliance, future land use map, zoning map, proposed site plan, elevations, concurrency, and mobility.

Attorney Jackson Boone and Tim Roane, Agents, being duly sworn, presented background on Turbine Weld Inc, expansion during Covid, services and products offered, aerial of property, reason for expanding current building, shape of property, future land use, zoning map, proposed site plan, drive aisle standards, parking would be five feet from property east boundary, type 5 buffering requirements, design alternative to reduce buffer width, keeping all landscape requirements, utilizing a six foot PVC fence, conflict with berm sloping standards, operations on site, parking, there being no outside operations, neighborhood workshop conducted, summary of request, location map, existing conditions, proposed site plan, ADA spaces, stormwater plan, proposed parking lot buffering, proposed landscaping, privacy fence, Technical Review Committee (TRC) review, and letter from neighbor.

Lloyd Weed, 330 Pensacola Road, being duly sworn, spoke on the site and development application, stormwater drainage, resource management, lack of general layout appearance, concurrency and mobility, Substation Road, road maintenance, drainage analysis, flood zoning, permit plan, setbacks, landscape permit plan, reduction of buffer, inconsistency on plan, surrounding property detail errors, and project narrative.

Mr. Roane spoke on drainage, using a low impact development drainage technique, no work being done on west side of property, private road agreement to maintain road, and setbacks on existing building.

Vice Chair Willson closed the public hearing.

A motion was made by Mr. McKeon, seconded by Ms. Schierberg, that based on review of the application materials, the staff report and testimony provided during the public hearing, the Planning Commission, sitting as the local planning agency, finds this Petition consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, in compliance with the Land Development Code and with the affirmative Findings of Fact in the record moves to approve Design Alternative Petition No. 24-27DA. The motion carried by the following electronic vote:

Yes: 6 - Mr. Hale, Mr. Willson, Mr. Jasper, Ms. Schierberg, Chair Snyder and Mr. McKeon

24-12SPTurbine Weld Site and Development Plan (Quasi-Judicial)Staff: Josh Law, PlannerAgent: Tim Roane, P.E., RWAApplicant: Dave Bush

Petitions Nos. 24-27DA and 24-12SP were presented together.

Vice Chair Willson reopened the hearing.

Discussion took place regarding property being in a coastal highland area, flood regulations being based on residential uses, and commercial structures requirement to build to current building standards.

Vice Chair Willson closed the public hearing.

A motion was made by Mr. McKeon, seconded by Mr. Hale, that based on review of the application materials, the staff report and testimony provided during the public hearing, the Planning Commission, sitting as the local planning agency, finds this Petition consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, in compliance with the Land Development Code and with the affirmative Findings of Fact in the record moves to approve Site and Development Plan Petition No. 24-12SP. The motion carried by the following electronic vote:

Yes: 6 - Mr. Hale, Mr. Willson, Mr. Jasper, Ms. Schierberg, Chair Snyder and Mr. McKeon

24-11RZ Cassata Oaks Zoning Map Amendment (Quasi-Judicial) Staff: NIcole Tremblay, AICP, Senior Planner Agent: Melissa Strassner, Esq., Berlin Patten Ebling, PLLC & Mariah Miller, Esq., M.L. Miller Law, PLLC Applicant/Owner: Auburn Road FC, LLC

> Vice Chair Willson Chair announced this is a quasi-judicial hearing, read the memorandum regarding advertisement, and written communications, and opened the public hearing.

City Attorney Fernandez questioned Commission members concerning ex-parte communications and conflicts of interest. Mr. Jasper, Mr. McKeon, and Vice Chair Willson disclosed a site visit. Chair Snyder disclosed a conversation with Planning and Zoning Director Clark. Ms. Schierberg disclose living near property, attending events at Fox Lea Farms, and being approached by individuals and Ms. Farrell, the owner of Fox Lea Farm. City Attorney Fernandez spoke on Quasi-judicial procedures, role of Commission, basing decision solely on evidence presented today, recent amendment to procedural code for Quasi-judicial hearings and answered Commission question regarding history of property provided in reports.

Attorney Corinna Coser, Agent for Fox Lea Farm, being duly sworn, presented the request for affected party status due to proximity to property.

Attorney Melissa Strassner, Agent for Applicant, being duly sworn, noted the applicant does not contest affect party status, and requested a portion of the letter submitted by Fox Lea Farm be stricken from the record.

City Attorney Fernandez spoke on the Commission addressing objections and request to strike material.

Attorney Coser acknowledge that prior petitions would not be taken into consideration and noted that letter's intent to convey Fox Lea Farms concerns.

A motion was made by Chair Snyder, seconded by Ms. Schierberg , to grant Fox Lea Farms affected party status. The motion carried unanimously by voice vote.

City Attorney Fernandez noted the City Council's procedures for time limits, the Commission's procedures to set time limits on case by case basis, and the Applicant's request for 35 minutes for presentation.

There was consensus to grant 35 minutes for presentation and 15 minutes for closing rebuttal.

City Attorney Fernandez noted the Applicant's request to allow virtual attendance for a witness, there being no policy or procedure for virtual attendance for witnesses, and recommendation to only consider in extraordinary circumstances.

Attorney Strassner requested witness virtual attendance be granted due to a medical reason.

There were no objections from staff and affected party.

There was consensus to allow virtual attendance for a witness.

City Attorney Fernandez noted there was a late submittal of materials by affected party and the Commission ability to accept or deny the material.

Attorney Coser noted that the letter in question addressed the concerns of the affected party.

Attorney Strassner requested the letter be removed from the record.

Discussion took place regarding whether contents of letter would be

addressed in testimony, and including letter due to high public interest on matter.

#### There was consensus to accept the late submittal into the record.

City Attorney Fernandez reminded the Commission that their decision is to be based solely on evidence provided during the hearing and the City Code, and not on public opinion.

Senior Planner Tremblay, being duly sworn, presented general information, project description, background, Joint Planning Agreement for area two (JPA2), aerial map, location map, existing conditions, future land use map, existing zoning map, proposed zoning, site photos, surrounding properties site photos and information, comparison of existing and proposed zoning, Comprehensive Plan consistency, concept of 60 units, proposed density, Land Development Code compliance, Technical Review Committee review, approval not authorizing development, special conditions, consideration of mitigation techniques, concurrency, mobility, proposed stipulation of density being limited to 60 single family and the conceptual Plan shall be binding, and answered Commission questions on the years the JPA is effective, reason for JPA limitation to 3 units per acre, whether the property could be developed up to the 118 units at a later time under another owner, and a stipulation for future disclosure of surrounding property uses.

Attorney Strassner, Agent, and Attorney Mariah Miller, Agent, being duly sworn, introduced team and presented location map, request for RSF3 zoning, future land use, Comprehensive Plan, use compatibility, proposed zoning, reason for not applying as a Planned Unit Development (PUD), density compatibility, surrounding developments, surrounding property uses, buffer requirements, proposed buffers, site design mitigation, addition of a left turn lane on Auburn Road, estate lot rendering, restrictive covenants, planned notice of proximity, use restrictions, stormwater management, mitigation considerations, and proposed mitigation factors.

Paul Sherma, Civil Engineer, being duly sworn, presented aerial photos with site plan, site photos, cross section rendering, surface water management system, being in the Robert's Bay watershed model, drainage ditches, surface area run off flow, outfall structures, groundwater drawdown, liner for ponds, pond depth, pond construction, and sound studies conducted.

Michael Keane, Acoustical Engineer, being duly sworn, answered Commission questions regarding impact of different sound frequencies.

Attorney Coser, Agent, Kim Farrell, Owner of Fox Lea Farms, and Laurie Birnbach, Community Relation Representative, being duly sworn,

presented designation in the 2017 Comprehensive Plan, not being against development, incompatibility, Fox Lea Farm operations and history, contributions to community and economy, noise, dust, and activity produced by farm, mitigation done for Sawgrass development being insufficient, elements needed for successful operation, four elements of concerns, potential impact of construction, impact of sound on horses, water table impact, working with the developer with stipulations, concerns with proposed buffer, suggestions for increased buffers, stormwater concerns, height of buildings, request for prohibition of drones, loud speakers, and fires, concerns for compatibility, request for additional stipulations and answered Commission question regarding current noise heard on farm from surrounding developments, and specifics of additional buffers requested.

Recess was taken from 4:04 pm to 4:11 p.m.

Attorney Strassner questioned Ms. Birnbach regarding incident of truck spooking horse, Fox Lea Drive being a public right-of-way, being the main entrance for farm, photo of road, instances of other sound issues, and Fox Lea Farm adding own buffers.

Attorney Strassner questioned Ms. Farrell regarding adding buffers to own property, whether she received communications of stipulations from applicant, horses having protective gear, horse owner's rights, and whether Fox Lea Farms sells any ear plugs or bonnets.

Attorney Coser questioned Ms. Farrell regarding sale of ear plugs and bonnets at Fox Lea Farm, whether Fox Lea Farms can require horse owners to use these products, purpose of ear nets, and nets not being allowed during competition.

Steve Carr, 149 Avens Drive, being duly sworn, spoke on application being inconsistent with surrounding community, surrounding properties being PUDs, activities at Fox Lea Farms, concept plan lacking details, opacity of landscape, stormwater ponds concerns, irrigation for lots, and having a Binding Master Plan.

Marilyn Moss, 2045 Frederick Drive, being duly sworn, spoke on OUE zoning land traits, plan for relocation of wildlife, Fox Lea Farms being a loud and dusty property, I-75 sounds, horse trailers on surrounding roads, and against development.

Attorney Coser spoke on Fox Lea Farms mitigation efforts not being under review, not opposed to development but requesting for more mitigation, including stipulations of notice of proximity and construction best practices, and concerns for water tables.

Attorney Strassner and Mike Miller, Applicant, being duly sworn, spoke on why applicant did not apply as a PUD, irrigation and landscaping being reviewed at site and development, responsibility of Fox Lea Farms to buffer their own property, property rights, environmental report, Code Section 1.74 Decision Criteria, Section 4.4.b Additional Compatibility Mitigation, applicant's additional considerations, importance of Fox Lea Farm, timeline of plan for development, being aware of all of Fox Lea Farm's concerns, experts hired, design of ponds, sound expert opinion, Sawgrass had not provided notice of proximity, open area between farm and Sawgrass, density of tree on southern line, examples of equestrian centers near residential areas, and willingness to work with farm to coordinate construction schedule.

Discussion took place regarding stipulation offered by applicant and the staff recommended stipulations.

Vice Chair Willson closed the public hearing.

Discussion took place regarding whether making it a PUD would be appropriate, county intentions with original zoning, stormwater management plan, street maintenance, amenities, surround properties being PUDs, liners in ponds, concerns with the directional drainage, horse trailers access, stormwater management being reviewed by Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD), Homeowners Association responsibility for professional stormwater management, JPA agreement, County's original intention of 3 units per acres, compatibility, communication between applicant and Fox Lea Farm, amount of open space in current proposed plan, size of buffers, inability to keep OUE zoning, being in favor of larger lots on south side, developers considerations, and purpose of liners.

A motion was made by Chair Snyder, seconded by Mr. Hale, that based on review of the application materials, the staff report and testimony provided during the public hearing, the Planning Commission, sitting as the local planning agency, finds this petition consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, in compliance with the Land Development Code and with the affirmative Findings of Fact in the record, recommends to City Council approval of Petition No. 24-11RZ with the two stipulations proffered by staff and agreed to by the applicant, and all of the applicant proffered stipulations as provided. The motion carried by the following electronic vote:

Yes: 5 - Mr. Hale, Mr. Willson, Mr. Jasper, Chair Snyder and Mr. McKeon

No: 1 - Ms. Schierberg

24-34AM Land Development Regulations #5 Text Amendment (Legislative) Staff: Nicole Tremblay, AICP, Senior Planner Applicant: City of Venice

Vice Chair Willson announced this is a legislative hearing, read the memorandum regarding advertisement and written communications, and opened the public hearing.

Senior Planner Tremblay, being duly sworn, presented the summary list of changes including clarifications and correction of typos, variance expiration, inactive districts, application requirements, active rooftop uses, removal of a accessory structure provision, required sidewalk sizes, wheel stops or curbing, cell towers, how to quantify minor work, accessory structure screening, pre-application meeting proccess, equipment screening, allowing alternative roofing material, adding requirement for copies of environmental permits, and answered Commission question on equipment screening heights.

Vice Chair Willson closed the public hearing.

A motion was made by Mr. McKeon, seconded by Mr. Jasper, that based on review of the application materials, the staff report and testimony provided during the public hearing, the Planning Commission, sitting as the local planning agency, finds this Petition consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and in compliance with Florida Statutes Chapter 163, Part II, and therefore, recommends to City Council City approval of Text Amendment Petition No. 24-34AM. The motion carried by the following electronic vote:

Yes: 6 - Mr. Hale, Mr. Willson, Mr. Jasper, Ms. Schierberg, Chair Snyder and Mr. McKeon

### VI. Workshop

#### 24-0253 Comprehensive Plan Evaluation and Appraisal Staff: Amy Nelson, AICP, Planning Manager

Planning and Zoning Director Clark and Planning Manager Nelson spoke on the requirement that the Comprehensive Plan be updated every seven years, the 2010 and 2017 update, the current plan working well, letter to the Department of Commerce, making the documents ADA compliant, examples of tables that are difficult for ADA compliance, consistency with proper titling, general typos, updating dates, review of change summary, including resiliency in plan, Vision LU-3 Coastal Management, land use, infrastructure, housing element, updating terminology, references to other organization's tables, addressing sea level rise, affordable housing, open space additions, national flood insurance program, infrastructure element, public schools elements, updating demographic tables, changes to definitions, having consistency between the Comprehensive Plan and the Land Development Code, and answered Commission question regarding the new ten year plan dates.

## VII. Comments by Planning and Zoning Department

Planning and Zoning Director Clark spoke on the next meeting on July 2nd.

### VIII. Comments by Planning Commission Members

Discussion took place on the resolution for Sawgrass Community.

# IX. Adjournment

There being no further business to come before this Commission, the meeting was adjourned at 5:49 P.M.

Chair

**Recording Secretary**