From:	Ann Potter
То:	Nicholas Pachota; Edward Lavallee; Roger Clark; City Council
Cc:	Board and Council Messages
Subject:	Fwd: Ord. 2025-7 & 8 Avenida del Circo Should Not Be Approved Until The City Scrutinizes the Conceptual Plan re: Flood Mitigation and Resiliency
Date:	Thursday, February 6, 2025 11:31:16 AM

You don't often get email from ampotter2@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

Caution: This email originated from an external source. **Be Suspicious of Attachments, Links and Requests for Login Information**

Subject: Fw: Ord. 2025-7 & 8 Avenida del Circo Should Not Be Approved Until The City Scrutinizes the Conceptual Plan re: Flood Mitigation and Resiliency

Subject: Ord. 2025-7 & 8 Avenida del Circo Should Not Be Approved Until The City Scrutinizes the Conceptual Plan re: Flood Mitigation and Resiliency

As you know the City of Venice's FEMA CRS rating is only a 6. This is because the City is not doing as much as it could in the way of **Flood Mitigation and Resiliency**. The City should scrutinize every proposed development, addition, etc. to determine the impact on the City's stormwater drainage infrastructure with a requirement that stormwater be absorbed on site and not contribute to overwhelming our outdated, inadequate infrastructure. The proposed Ordinances would allow a much greater footprint and impervious area than currently exists.

This will create far more stormwater run off which needs to be mitigated! Please delay voting and ask staff to bring back the stormwater drainage plan for this project.

Also, the votes should be delayed until staff obtains in writing an authorization from FAA re: 85 ft residential towers **just a few feet from where FAA has stated it would only allow 4 stories due to proximity to the airport and flight path.** The planned towers are also **grossly out of scale** with the rest of the proposed development.

There is also **no need to give an increased density allowance over the current 13 units per acre...** It is beyond **outrageous that this one market rate residential development be afforded such a huge density increase.** This is also **out of character with the surrounding area** to say the least and just reflects pure greed. Furthermore this development is not likely to be built anytime soon given the very soft condo market due to fear of HOA costs and future unknown assessments due to hurricanes, so this is really just a scam to allow the owner and prospective developer to lure investors based on a trumped up value for this property.

Please do the right thing and Vote NO on these two ordinances. Thank you

From:	Sue Lang
То:	Nicholas Pachota; City Council; Edward Lavallee; Roger Clark
Cc:	Board and Council Messages
Subject:	Ord. 2025-7 & 8 Avenida del Circo Should Not Be Approved Until The City Scrutinizes the Conceptual Plan re: Flood Mitigation and Resiliency
Date:	Thursday, February 6, 2025 10:57:44 AM

Caution: This email originated from an external source. **Be Suspicious of Attachments, Links and Requests for Login Information**

As you know the City of Venice's FEMA CRS rating is only a 6. This is because the City is not doing as much as it could in the way of **Flood Mitigation and Resiliency**. The City should scrutinize every proposed development, addition, etc. to determine the impact on the City's stormwater drainage infrastructure with a requirement that stormwater be absorbed on site and not contribute to overwhelming our outdated, inadequate infrastructure. The proposed Ordinances would allow a much greater footprint and impervious area than currently exists.

This will create far more stormwater run off which needs to be mitigated! Please delay voting and ask staff to bring back the stormwater drainage plan for this project.

Also, the votes should be delayed until staff obtains in writing an authorization from FAA re: 85 ft residential towers **just a few feet from where FAA has stated it would only allow 4 stories due to proximity to the airport and flight path.** The planned towers are also **grossly out of scale** with the rest of the proposed development.

There is also **no need to give an increased density allowance over the current 13 units per acre...** It is beyond **outrageous that this one market rate residential development be afforded such a huge density increase.** This is also **out of character with the surrounding area** to say the least and just reflects pure greed.

Furthermore this development is not likely to be built anytime soon given the very soft condo market due to fear of HOA costs and future unknown assessments due to hurricanes, so this is really just a scam to allow the owner and prospective developer to lure investors based on a trumped up value for this property.

Please do the right thing and Vote NO on these two ordinances. Thank you