

### 1.11.3. Decision Criteria

A. Proposed design alternatives may be approved or denied separately or have stipulations imposed deemed appropriate for the request. The reviewing body shall consider the following criteria in making its determination:

1. Whether the design alternative is consistent with the stated purpose and intent of this LDR and with the Comprehensive Plan;

**The proposed design alternative is consistent with the purpose and intent of the LDR's and the Comprehensive Plan.**

2. Whether the design alternative will have a material negative impact on adjacent uses, and if so, whether the applicant proposes to mitigate the negative impact to be created by the proposed design alternative;

**The design alternative will have no impact on adjacent uses.**

3. Whether the design alternative will permit superior design, efficiency, and performance;

**The design alternative will permit superior design and no development could occur on the subject property without a design alternative due to the wetland and FPL easement at the rear of the property.**

4. If applicable, whether the design alternative is necessary to preserve or enhance significant existing environmental or cultural features, such as trees, scenic areas, historic or archeological sites, public facilities, or similar; and

**The design alternative is necessary to preserve the existing wetland at the rear of the property and develop the property outside of the FPL easement area.**

5. Whether the design alternative will result in a negative impact to the adopted level of service of public facilities.

**The design alternative will have no impact on the level of service of public facilities.**