## ZONING MAP AMENDMENT PETITION NO. 22-07RZ & 22-06RZ

Agent: Bobbi Claybrook, P.E. – AM

Engineering

Owner: Neal Signature Homes, LLC & Neal Communities of Southwest Florida, LLC

Agent: Jeffery A. Boone, Esq. – Boone Law

Firm

Owner: Border Road Investments, LLC, Myarra Joint Property Ventures, LLC, and Vistera Associates, LLC

	Petition No. 22-07RZ - General Information		
ddrocci	Laurel Pd and Porder Pd between Jacarand		

Agent:

Parcel ID:

**Parcel Size:** 

**Zoning:** 

**Future Land Use:** 

**Application Date:** 

**Related Application:** 

**Comprehensive Plan Neighborhood:** 

reduction No. 22 of Ne. General information				
Address:	Laurel Rd and Border Rd between Jacaranda Blvd and I-75			
Request:	Removing 24.1 acres of open space from the Milano Planned Unit			

Jeffery A. Boone, Esq. – Boone Law Firm

PUD Zoning Map Amendment 22-06RZ

0390051000 and 0389014000

Mixed Use Residential (MUR)

Planned Unit Development

Development (PUD) to be transferred to the neighboring GCCF PUD Neal Signature Homes, LLC and Neal Communities of Southwest **Owner:** 

Florida, LLC

24.1 <u>+</u> acres

Northeast

February 15, 2022

Petition No. 22-06RZ - General Information				
Address:	Laurel Rd and Border Rd between Jacaranda Blvd and I-75			
Request:	A request to amend the GCCF Planned Unit Development (PUD) to allow for the addition of 24.1 acres of open space from the neighboring Milano PUD and other minor revisions to the Binding Master Plan (BMP)			
Owner:	Border Road Investments, LLC, Myarra Property Joint Ventures, LLC, and Vistera Associates, LLC			

0390003030, 039000304

**PUD** 

Northeast

February 15, 2022

323.56 <u>+</u> acres (plus 24.1<u>+</u> acres)

Mixed Use Residential (MUR)

Jeffrey A. Boone, Esq., Boone Law Firm

PUD Zoning Map Amendment 22-07RZ

0389002005, 0389002006, 0389001010, 0389002031, 0389002032,

Agent:

Parcel ID:

**Parcel Size:** 

**Zoning:** 


**Future Land Use:** 


**Application Date:** 

**Related Application:** 

**Comprehensive Plan Neighborhood:** 

#### Location Map






# Aerial

SUBJECT PROPERTY AERIAL

PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT



2,000

1,000

LAUREL RD E

MAP FEATURES

#### Project Description

- Land swap between the Milano PUD and the neighboring GCCF
   PUD
- The land is designated as open space on the Milano Binding Master Plan and will remain open space when the transfer to GCCF is completed
- As indicated by the applicant, this request comes as a result of a required relocation of the FPL easement to accommodate the development of a portion of the Milano PUD, which rendered some of the GCCF PUD undevelopable

### Existing Conditions

SITE PHOTOS, ZONING & FUTURE LAND USE, SURROUNDING USES










#### **Site Photos**

### Future Land Use Map


**FUTURE LAND USE MAP** 

PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT



1.000


2,000




MAP FEATURES

LAUREL RDE

# Zoning





PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT

CMUIIII

#### Milano - Surrounding Land Uses

Direction	Existing Land Use(s)	Current Zoning District(s)	Future Land Use Map  Designation(s)	
North	Residential (Willow Chase; VGRC)	Residential, Single- Family 4 (RSF-4); Planned Unit Development (PUD)	Low Density Residential; Mixed Use Residential (MUR)	
West	Residential (Palencia)	PUD	MUR	
South	Milano	PUD	MUR	
East	GCCF	PUD	MUR	

#### GCCF - Surrounding Land Uses

Direction	Existing Land Use(s)	Current Zoning District(s)	Future Land Use Map  Designation(s)
North	Residential (Willow Chase; Toscana Isles), Commercial (Mirasol)	Commercial Mixed Use (CMU), Planned Unit Development (PUD), Residential Single Family (RSF- 4), Residential Multi-family (RMF-2), County Open Use Estate (OUE-1)	Mixed Use Residential (MUR), Low Density Residential, Mixed Use Corridor (MUC)
West	Agricultural, Residential (Palencia)	OUE-1, PUD	MUR, County Major Employment Center, County Rural
South	Agricultural, Residential (Milano), County residential	PUD	PUD
East	County residential, Agricultural, City water & sewer utility, I-75	Government, Open Use Estate (OUE), County, RMF-2	Government Use, MUC

### Planning Analysis

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, CONCURRENCY/MOBILITY

#### Comprehensive Plan Consistency

- Strategies LU 1.2.16.2 and LU 1.2.16.6(c) require PUDs to maintain at least 50% of their land area as open space
  - The previously approved Milano Binding Master Plan included 55.2% open space;
     53% will remain
  - 50% open space was approved for the GCCF PUD and this will be maintained through this land transfer
- Strategy OS 1.11.1 in the Open Space element repeats and expands on the requirement for 50% open space
- Strategy LU 4.1.1 in the Comprehensive Plan includes Policy 8.2, Land Use Compatibility Review Procedures
  - Applicant and staff responses available in staff reports


### Conclusions/Findings of Fact (Consistency with the Comprehensive Plan):

Analysis has been provided to determine consistency with the Land Use Element strategies applicable to the Mixed Use Residential future land use designation, strategies found in the Northeast Neighborhood, and other plan elements. This analysis should be taken into consideration upon determining Comprehensive Plan consistency.

#### Land Development Code Compliance

- This property is not proposed for development
- These petitions have been processed with the procedural requirements contained in Section 86-47 of the Land Development Code (LDC) and reviewed by the Technical Review Committee
  - No issues regarding compliance with the Land Development Code were identified
- Section 86-47(f) of the Land Development Code provides considerations for a zoning map amendment petition
  - Applicant and staff responses are available in staff reports

Milano Binding Master Plan



#### GCCF Binding Master Plan



Original

**Proposed** 

#### GCCF Site Data

LAND USE	AREA AS SHOWN (AC)	% AS SHOWN	REQUIRED AREA	REQUIRED %
SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL	46.23	15.42		
AMENITY AREA/MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL/SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL	4.68	1.56		
MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL/ SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL	47.03	15.68		
MEDICAL OFFICE/MULTI-FAMILY/ HOUSE OF WORSHIP/ ASSISTED LMING FACILITY	25.41	8.47		
R-O-W	26.56	8.86		
OPEN SPACE			149.93	50
EXISTING WETLANDS	37.83	12.62		
EXISTING LAKES/ PROPOSED LAKES	40.96	13.66		
OTHER OPEN SPACES LAKES	71.14	23.72		
TOTAL	149.93	50.00	99.99	299.84

LAND U	JSE	AREA	TABL	E	
LAND USE		AREA AS SHOWN (AC)	% AS SHOWN	REQUIRED AREA (AC)	REQUIRED %
SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL		89.01	27.5		
AMENITY AREA		2.10	0.6		
MULTI-FAMILY/SINGLE FAMILY		28.64	8.9		
MEDICAL OFFICE/MULTIFAMILY/HOUS WORSHIP/ASSISTED LIVING FACILI		17.46	5.4		
R.O.W.		23.95	7.4		
OPEN SPACE		45.94	14.2		
MITIGATION AREA		8.44	2.6		
		22.49	7.0		
EXISTING LAKES/PROPOSED LAKE	S	50.96	15.8		
OTHER OPEN SPACES		10.47	3.2		
OPEN SPACE FROM MILANO PU	D	24.10	7.4		
OPEN SPACE TOTAL		162.40	50.2	161.78	50.0
ТО	AL AREA	323.56	100.0		

## Conclusions/Findings of Fact (Compliance with the Land Development Code):

The proposed zoning map amendments are compliant, and no inconsistencies have been identified with the LDC.

#### Concurrency/Mobility

There are no impacts to concurrency or mobility through this exchange of open space.

#### Conclusion / Findings of Fact (Concurrency / Mobility):

As indicated, there are no impacts to concurrency or mobility through this petition. The proposed zoning map amendment was reviewed by the City's Technical Review Committee (TRC) and no issues have been identified concerning concurrency or mobility.

## Planning Commission Report and Recommendation

Upon review of the petition and associated documents, comprehensive plan, land development code, binding master plan, staff report and analysis, and testimony provided during the public hearing, there is sufficient information on the record for the Planning Commission to make a recommendation to City Council on Zoning Map Amendment Petition No. 22-07RZ.

## Planning Commission Report and Recommendation

Upon review of the petition and associated documents, comprehensive plan, land development code, binding master plan, staff report and analysis, and testimony provided during the public hearing, there is sufficient information on the record for the Planning Commission to make a recommendation to City Council on Zoning Map Amendment Petition No. 22-06RZ.