

### 1.11.3. Decision Criteria

- A. Proposed design alternatives may be approved or denied separately or have stipulations imposed deemed appropriate for the request. The reviewing body shall consider the following criteria in making its determination:
1. Whether the design alternative is consistent with the stated purpose and intent of this LDR and with the Comprehensive Plan;  
**The Design Alternative is consistent with the intent to provide landscaping within parking areas to limit large uninterrupted expanses of pavement. The proposed parking layout is consistent with the stated purpose and intent of the LDR and the Comprehensive Plan, and the applicant believes it provides a more efficient and superior design that will benefit those living within the development.**
  2. Whether the design alternative will have a material negative impact on adjacent uses, and if so, whether the applicant proposes to mitigate the negative impact to be created by the proposed design alternative;  
**The Design Alternative is related to landscape islands for interior parking which are not visible to adjacent uses and will not impact adjacent properties.**
  2. Whether the design alternative will permit superior design, efficiency, and performance;  
**The Design Alternative will allow for equivalent or superior parking and landscape design to the benefit of those living within the development.**
  4. If applicable, whether the design alternative is necessary to preserve or enhance significant existing environmental or cultural features, such as trees, scenic areas, historic or archeological sites, public facilities, or similar; and  
**Not applicable.**
  5. Whether the design alternative will result in a negative impact to the adopted level of service of public facilities.  
**The proposed Design Alternative will not have any impact on adopted levels of service of public facilities.**