CHAPTER 87 LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE SECTION 4. COMPATIBILITY

Contents

| CHAPTER 87 LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE | 1 |
|--|-------|
| 4.1. Introduction | 2 |
| 4.2. Compatibility Building Setback | |
| 4.3. Perimeter Buffer Types | |
| 4.4. Perimeter Buffer Type Key | |
| 4.5. Additional Compatibility Mitigation | |
| 4.6. Mixed Use Considerations | |



4.1. Introduction

- A. Purpose and Intent. The purpose of this section is to integrate the compatibility standards from the Comprehensive Plan Strategy LU 4.1.1. Land Development Code and Transition Strategies and Strategy LU 1.2.8 Compatibility Between Land Uses. These compatibility standards provide the criteria for which development and land use petitions are reviewed and approved. It is the purpose and intent of this section to implement specific regulations to achieve compatibility considering the existing zoning, context of proposed development, and use compared to the zoning and uses of surrounding properties. The components of compatibility include:
 - **1. Perimeter Setback.** The setback is based on the height of the proposed buildings in relation to surrounding properties' zoning designations.
 - **2. Perimeter Buffer.** The type of buffer is based on the subject property's zoning designation in relation to the surrounding properties' zoning designations.
- B. Development Subject to the Joint Planning and Interlocal Service Boundary Agreement (JPA/ILSBA). For the purpose of this section, the City has incorporated the compatibility standards and mitigation techniques identified within the JPA/ISBLA into the standards of this section. Meeting the requirements for compatibility infrom this section will serve as confirmation that the compatibility requirements of the JPA/ILSBA have been satisfied.
- **C. Applicability.** Zoning amendments, site and development plans, preliminary plats, and conditional use petitions are subject to the compatibility standards defined in this section.
 - Mixed Use Districts. Mixed Use Delistricts are deemed to be internally compatible and do not require compatibility setbacks or additional buffering standards unless required in Section 4.6: Mixed Use Considerations.
- D. Design Alternatives. Consistent with Section 1.11: Design Alternatives, design alternatives may be considered for any of the standards within this section, however, seeking a design alternative requires a finding that the alternative meets or exceeds the intent of the standards of this section. It is not the purpose of a design alternative to provide for a total waiver of the standards in this section. Design alternatives may be based upon, but not limited to, building setbacks, building step-backs, and buffering. Stipulations may be required for any design alternative request, as deemed appropriate by the Planning Commission.

4.2. Compatibility Building Setback

A. Compatibility Building Setback Standards. A Compatibility Building Setback is required when two different zoning districts share a common property line. For the purposes of compatibility building setback purposes, I-75 shall be defined as a government zoning district for the purpose




of Table 4.2. The compatibility building setback may be more stringent than the zoning district standard requirements found in Section 2: Zoning. The compatibility building setback shall meet the following requirements:

- 1. A compatibility building setback shall be established based upon the height of the proposed structure(s) and in consideration of Table 4.2.
- **2.** A compatibility building setback may include accessory uses, such as parking and active use areas, but shall not include any accessory structures.
- 3. Interstate (I-75) Use. For the purposes of compatibility building setbacks, I-75 shall be defined as a government zoning district for the purpose of Table 4.2.
- B. Compatibility Building Setback Multiplier. Compatibility building setbacks shall utilize a ccompatibility Building Setback Mmultiplier to achieve the compatibility building setback. The compatibility building setback multiplier shall take into consideration the height of the proposed structure and the zoning of the subject property and abutting districts. The height of the structure within the proposed development shall be the standard setback requirement, and a multiplier dependent upon zoning district per Table 4.2. shall be applied. Table 4.2. defines the compatibility building setback multiplier between different zoning districts. Zoning districts have been grouped in categories. See below for an example calculation:

Example Calculation (See Figure 4.2.):

- Required Compatibility Building Setback:
 - District Proposed for Development: Commercial ZoningGovernment
 - Adjacent District (Existing): Residential Single-Family Zoning
 - o Maximum Proposed Building Height: 35 Feet
 - o Compatibility Building Setback Multiplier Per Table 4.2: 2
 - 35 Feet x Building Setback Multiplier of 2 = 70 feet
 - Required Compatibility Building Setback: 70 feet (Includes the Required Buffer Type per Table 4.4.)







THIS PORTION OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Table 4.2. Building Setback Multiplier Table

| Proposed | Existing (Zoning District) | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| (Zoning District) | Mixed Use | RSF | RMF | RMH | PUD | PCD | PID | СМ | OPI | GOV | IND |
| Mixed Use | | See Section 4.6 | | | | | | | | | |
| RSF | | None | 4 0.5 | 2 None | 1 None | 2 1 | 4 | 2 1 | 2 1 | 2 | 4 |
| RMF | | 4 0.5 | 1 None | 4 0.5 | 2 0.5 | 1.5 0.5 | 3 | 1.5 0.5 | 1.5 0.5 | 2 | 3 |
| RMH | See Section 4.6 | 2 None | 4 0.5 | 1 None | 2 None | 2 1 | 3 | 2 <u>1</u> | 1.5 1 | 2 | 3 |
| PUD | | 1 None | 2 0.5 | 2 None | 2 None | 2 1 | 4 | 2 <u>1</u> | 1.5 1 | 2 | 4 |
| PCD | ' | 2 1 | 1.5 0.5 | 2 1 | 2 1 | 1 None | 2 <u>1</u> | 1 None | 1.5 None | 2 | 2 |
| PID | | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 1 | 1 None | 2 <u>1</u> | 2 <u>1</u> | 2 None | 1 None |
| CM | | 2 <u>1</u> | 1.5 0.5 | 2 <u>1</u> | 2 <u>1</u> | 1 None | 2 <u>1</u> | 1 None | 1.5 None | 2 | 2 |
| OPI | | 2 1 | 1.5 0.5 | 1.5 1 | 1.5 1 | 1.5 None | 2 1 | <u>1.5</u> <u>None</u> | 1 None | 1.5 | 3 |
| GOV | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 None | 2 | 1.5 | None | 2 |
| IND | | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 None | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 None |

Key: RSF = Residential, Single Family Districts

RMF = Residential, Multi Family Districts

RMH = Residential, Manufactured Home Districts (RMHS and RMHP)

PUD = Planned Unit Development

PCD = Planned Commercial District



Formatted Table

Formatted: Centered

| Proposed | | Existing (Zoning District) | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------|----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|
| (Zoning District) | Mixed Use | RSF | RMF | RMH | PUD | PCD | PID | СМ | ОРІ | GOV | IND |

PID = Planned Industrial District

CM = Commercial District

OPI = Office, Professional and Institutional District

GOV = Government Use District

IND = Industrial Distric

= setback multiplier only applies when adjacent buildings have a difference of more than one story

4.3. Perimeter Buffer Types

- **A. Perimeter Buffer Area Standards.** This section describes minimum perimeter buffering standards. A *Perimeter Buffer Area* (i.e. buffer) is determined exclusive of any required yard; however, perimeter buffers may be located in required yards.
- **B. Intent**. Perimeter buffer areas shall consist of a landscaped buffer intended to mitigate and screen the property from adjacent properties and public right-of-way. No buildings, structures, or principal or accessory uses are allowed in the buffer unless otherwise specified in this section.
- C. Location. Perimeter buffers begin at the property line. Where there is a perimeter easement (such as a drainage or utility easement) that does not allow for the installation of the buffer, then the required buffer shall be placed as close to the property line, adjacent to the easement, as possible.
- D. Permitted Items Within Buffers.
 - 1. Plant Material. Required plant material, including ground cover and lawn grasses, shall be planted within the buffer. Plant material may be planted parallel to the buffer perimeter or may be meandered for aesthetic purposes. Required plant material shall be planted in accordance with this section and Section 3.5. Buffers may incorporate greater width and additional plant materials. Perimeter buffer standards for each buffer type are defined in 4.3.E and are illustrated in Section 4.3.F.
 - 2. Fences and Walls. Required fences and walls shall be installed in accordance with Section 3.6: Fences, Walls, Berms, and Retaining Walls and shall be located inside the buffer. Required plant material shall be installed in front of any required fence so the required plant material is completely visible from the adjacent property or right-of-way. Consistent with Section 3.6 and with Chapter 89, no fence or wall shall exceed 500 linear feeot in length without a minimum 25 foot break to allow for wildlife movement.
 - **3. Berms**. Berms shall be installed in accordance with Section 3.6: Fences, Walls, Berms, and Retaining Walls and the highest point of the berm shall exist at the mid-point of the width of



Formatted Table

- the required buffer. Any required fence or wall shall be installed at the highest point of the berm. Required plant material shall be installed in front of any required fence or wall, alongside the outer perimeter of the buffer and/or along the property line.
- **4. Easements.** The location of easements within a required buffer is permitted provided the easement does not prevent the installation of all required buffer items.
- E. Perimeter Buffer Types. Buffer types range in intensity from 1 to 6, with 1 being the least intense and 6 being the most intense buffer type. Table 4.3 provides 6 different buffer types. Perimeter buffers may be also utilized to satisfy other required buffers such as parking, but shall not be utilized to satisfy any other landscaping requirements of this chapter. However, required perimeter buffer trees may be utilized to satisfy tree requirements for the property.

Table 4.3. Perimeter Buffer Types Table

| Perimeter Buffer Types | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Require | Requirements are per 100' linear feet of perimeter buffer (or fraction thereof) | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Width | 7.5 feet | 10 feet | 15 feet | 25 feet | 35 feet | 50 feet | | | | | |
| Minimum Canopy Trees (Large or Medium) | 2 trees | 3 trees | 3 trees | 4 trees | 6 trees | 8 trees | | | | | |
| Minimum Understory Trees | Not required | Not required | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | | |
| Minimum Shrubs/Hedge | 30 shrubs/accent plants | 40 shrubs/accent plants | 50 shrubs/accent plants | 60 shrubs/accent plants | Continuous hedge | Continuous hedge | | | | | |
| Minimum Fence | Not required | 6 feet in height | 6 feet in height | Not permitted | Not Permitted | Not permitted | | | | | |
| Minimum Wall | Not required | Not required | Not required | 6 feet in height | 6 feet in height | 8 feet in height | | | | | |
| Minimum Berm | Not required | Not required | Not required | Not required | 3 feet in height | 5 feet in height | | | | | |





Additional Standards:

- A. Berm max slope 3:1; + 2' minimum width at top height. Excludes necessary base for a wall/foundation. May exceed for utilities, roads, and environmental features.
- B. Trees and plants may be clustered provided a maximum 10' gap between plantings is maintained.
- C. Minimum shrubs and hedges may be double staggered.
- D. The above standards are the minimum standards required; enhanced landscape and buffer standards may be provided. For example, a wall may be substituted for a fence and / or additional landscape materials may be installed.
- E. The placement of walls and fences shall ensure all required planting materials are located between the wall or fence and the property line. However canopy trees may be on both sides of a wall or fence as long as a minimum one half of required canopy trees are in front (towards the property line).
- F. Placement of required items in the buffer is permitted across the full width of the buffer.
 - **F. Perimeter Buffer Types.** The following images show an example of buffer types defined in Table 4.3.
 - 1. Perimeter Buffer Type 1



























Figure 4.3. Buffer Type and Compatibility Building Setback





4.4. Perimeter Buffer Type Key

A. Perimeter Buffer Type Key. Table 4.4 defines the minimum required buffer type when a zoning district abuts a different zoning district. Section 4.5: Additional Compatibility Mitigation, may require additional compatibility setback and buffer requirements for certain uses. Nothing in this section shall preclude an applicant from installing a buffer type exceeding the minimum standards. Table 4.4 defines the perimeter buffer types required when a traditional zoning district abuts a different traditional zoning district. Mixed Use District perimeter buffers shall be as required in Section 4.6: Mixed Use Considerations.

Table 4.4. Perimeter Buffer Type Key – Traditional Districts

| Durana | Existing (Zoning District Groups) | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|-----|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Proposed | Mixed Use | RSF | RMF | RMH | PUD | PCD | PID | CM | OPI | GOV | IND |
| Mixed Use | | | (| | See | Section 4 | 1.6 | | | | |
| RSF | | None | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| RMF | | 1 | None | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| RMH | | 2 | 2 | None | 2 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| PUD | 9 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| PCD | ion 4. | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | None | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| PID | See Section 4.6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 3 | None | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| СМ | ş | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | None | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| OPI | | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | None | 2 | 4 |
| GOV | | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 2 | None | 5 |
| IND | | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 5 | None |



| Proposed | | Existing (Zoning District Groups) | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|
| Froposeu | Mixed Use | RSF | RMF | RMH | PUD | PCD | PID | CM | OPI | GOV | IND |

Notes:

RSF = Residential, Single Family Districts

RMF = Residential, Multi Family Districts

RMH = Residential Manufactured Home Districts (RMHS and RMHP)

PUD = Planned Commercial District

PCD = Planned Commercial

PID = Planned Industrial District

CM = Commercial District

OPI = Office, Professional and Institutional District

GOV = Government Use District

IND = Industrial District

B. Perimeter Buffer along Public Roads/Rights-of-Way. Where there is an intervening roadway or right-of-way in excess of 50 feet, a minimum Perimeter Buffer Type 1 shall be required for all new development. The Planning Commission may increase the buffer type based on the proposed use(s) and/or roadway types and width. Nothing in this section shall preclude an applicant from installing a buffer type exceeding the minimum standards. Table 4.4 defines the perimeter buffer types required when a traditional zoning district abuts a different traditional zoning district. Mixed Use district perimeter buffers shall be as required in Section 4.6: Mixed Use Considerations.

4.5. Additional Compatibility Mitigation

- A. Special Considerations. This section provides a tool to guide decision makers in review of compatibility for unique circumstances and development types and where there are requests to modify development standards. These instances include: rezoning to planned districts; granting of conditional uses; granting of height exceptions₇₂ properties subject to the JPA/ILSBA₇₂ or developing property adjacent to properties having Sarasota County zoning designations. In these instances, the application of additional mitigation techniques may be deemed necessary to ensure compatibility of the proposed development with surrounding properties. Additional mitigation standards include, but are not limited to:
 - 1. Lowering density and intensity;
 - 2. Increasing building setbacks;
 - 3. Adjusting building step-backs (see Section 4.5.B. below);
 - 4. Requiring tiered buildings;



- **5.** Adjusting onsite improvements to mitigate lighting, noise, mechanical equipment, refuse and delivery and storage areas;
- 6. Adjusting road and driveway locations; and
- 7. Increasing buffer types and/or elements of the buffer type.
- B. Building Step-back. A building step-back is an architectural design element applied to the upper stories of a development. It is a wall or façade that is recessed to allow for more daylight to reach the street level and create a more open, inviting pedestrian environment. Step-backs reduce the scale of a building, increasing views of surrounding areas, and emphasizes the ground floor of a structure to allow increased emphasis on pedestrian considerations. Step-backs may be required for stories or features above a certain permitted height within a zoning district, per that district's development standards table and may be used as an additional compatibility mitigation technique per this section. The extent and width of a step-back shall be approved by the decision-making body responsible for compatibility review.

Figure 4.5.1. Step-back, Buffer, and Compatibility Setback







Figure 4.5.2. Step-back





4.6. Mixed Use Considerations

A. Perimeter of Mixed Use Districts. The buffer types and setback multipliers defined in Table 4.6 shall be used to determine the compatibility setback and buffer type when a Memixed Use Delistrict abuts a traditional district. When one Mixed Use Delistrict abuts another Memixed Use Delistrict, no compatibility setback is required. If a roadway is between one of the Mixed Use Districts on a traditional district, (the Venice Avenue, Downtown Edge, South Trail, Seaboard Improvement, North Trail Gateway districts), the a compatibility setback and right-of-way buffer is not required. Recognizing that the creation of Memixed Use Delistricts through this LDCode affects existing development and lot layouts in these districts, design alternatives may be requested.

Table 4.6. Mixed Use Districts Buffer Type and Setback Multiplier

| Mixed Use Districts | Perimeter Buffer Type | Setback Multiplier |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Venice Avenue | 1 | 0.5 |
| Downtown Edge | 13 | 1.0 0.5 |
| South Trail | 12 | 0.51.0 |
| Airport Avenue | 1 | 0.5 |
| Seaboard Improvement | 1 | 1.0 0.5 |
| North Trail Gateway | 1 | 1.0 |
| Laurel West | 3 | 1.0 |
| Laurel East | 3 | 1.0 |
| Knights Trail | 3 | 1.0 |
| Knights Trail Transition | 3 | 1.0 |

Figure 4.6. Mixed Use Compatibility Setback

Commented [KF1]: This is unclear. Should it be phrased as: If a roadway is between one of the following mixed use districts and a traditional district, a compatibility setback and perimeter buffer are not required: Venice Avenue, Downtown Edge, South Trail, Seaboard Improvement, North Trail Gateway.

Formatted Table





