

From: [Pat Appolonia](#)
To: [City Council](#)
Subject: Venetian pickleball
Date: Wednesday, June 15, 2022 6:54:50 PM

Caution: This email originated from an external source. Be Suspicious of Attachments, Links and Requests for Login Information

Council members should see first hand where the 3 courts are proposed to go. The area is too small for regulation courts. They will reduce parking and on some days force parking onto nearby narrow streets. There are potential drainage problems. Worst of all it would impact the daily lives of residents only yards away from the area. Claiming those who purchased near the amenities should expect proposals like this is unfair. Who would anticipate a parking lot for 13 cars would be proposed as 3 pickleball courts? A petition of 1000 signatures opposing this project should not be ignored.
Pat Appolonia

Sent from my iPad

From: [Kelly Michaels](#)
To: [City Council](#)
Subject: FW: Public Hearing Notice regarding VGRC Pickleball Courts June 28
Date: Wednesday, June 15, 2022 10:43:59 AM

From: Nonda Harvey <nondaharvey1@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2022 10:28 AM
To: Kelly Michaels <kmichaels@venicefl.gov>
Subject: Public Hearing Notice regarding VGRC Pickleball Courts June 28

Caution: This email originated from an external source. Be Suspicious of Attachments, Links and Requests for Login Information

Thank you for accepting comments online.

We are snowbird owners of a condo across the street (113A Bella Vista Terrace) from the proposed site of the three pickleball courts to be discussed on June 28, 2022.

I do not necessarily want to influence a pro or nay decision on the placement of the courts at that site, however my husband and I have concerns about the associated loss of parking for the tennis courts, pool and clubhouse use that will require residents to park elsewhere, which then might influence them or others using the facilities to park on the west or east side of the adjacent Bella Vista Terrace street.

Because this stretch is both ingress and egress for all the condos on Bella Vista Terrace and its loop, there is considerable two-way traffic traveling past the proposed site and the tennis courts and between the four condos across the street (ours included) and their driveways. Particularly when service people/trucks, including landscapers, are present and required to park on the street (not in our driveways), there is already congestion and loss of sight ahead for residents/guests turning off Veneto and onto Bella Vista, and residents backing out of these 4 driveways. I have myself, when walking, observed times when someone will volunteer as a "flagger" to allow/assist traffic to proceed around/pass parked cars or trucks that are in that stretch of Bella Vista Terrace, sometimes on both sides of the street. Even for walkers going to/from the VGRC facilities this is a safety issue. In view of the already problematic congestion in this strip when vehicles are parked on the street, we request that if the pickleball courts are approved and the previously established parking diminished in that site, that signs prohibiting parking by residents' cars on the east side of Bella Vista Terrace adjacent to the tennis and pickleball courts be erected.

Thank you for hearing our concerns.

Nonda Harvey
2260 Settlers Trail 113A Bella Vista Terrace
Vandalia OH 45377 N. Venice, FL 34275
937-672-3695

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

From: [Kelly Michaels](#)
To: [Planning Commission](#)
Subject: FW: Site and Development Plan Amendment Petition No.21-56SP
Date: Tuesday, June 14, 2022 5:29:00 PM

From: Ed Perry <eperry@merrittgraphics.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2022 3:40 PM
To: Kelly Michaels <kmichaels@venicefl.gov>
Subject: Site and Development Plan Amendment Petition No.21-56SP

Caution: This email originated from an external source. **Be Suspicious of Attachments, Links and Requests for Login Information**

Public Comment

While we are in favor of adding Pickle Ball courts to our community, we feel that the location (site) should be different for several reasons:

1. The noise of the courts in play will disturb those housing unit inhabitants nearby (we are not affected by this ourselves).
2. The community needs more tennis courts and this site would be ideal to add more courts.
3. A more suitable site for these courts would be on the unused parking lot of the country club which is privately owned. We have not heard any convincing arguments that the property could not be sold to our community. We have not heard or know about all options negotiated for the purchase or lease of the property that might have been made and reasons for rejection.
4. We are not convinced that an earnest effort was made to negotiate a sale and purchase or even a land lease for X number of years. A land lease arrangement would solve an immediate need and the tennis courts and pickle ball courts could both be constructed. If in the future when the land lease terminates, there could be a decision as to the future of the added tennis courts on the proposed cite in this public hearing. This solution meets the immediate needs in a sensible manner.

Our two cents,

Bonnie and Ed Perry

122 Mestre Court
Venetian Golf and Roiver Club

From: [Darlene Schimberg](#)
To: [City Council](#)
Subject: Venetian Golf and River Club Pickleball Courts Appeals Meeting
Date: Monday, June 13, 2022 12:23:00 PM
Attachments: [image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)

Caution: This email originated from an external source. **Be Suspicious of Attachments, Links and Requests for Login Information**

Good morning,

I would like to address a major issue we currently have pending regarding the Venetian Golf and River Club and that is the construction of the Pickleball Courts in the Parking Lot of the River Club

- Pickleball

- We have an appeal pending regarding the Pickleball courts which is scheduled for your June 28th meeting. I think you should take a hard look at this appeal as there are over 1,000 households at the Venetian that oppose this construction. I also think that the following needs to be reviewed
 - The Planning and Zoning committee which consists of two residents of the Venetian were less than fair at the hearing held. Barry Snyder and Jerry Jasper should have recused themselves from any vote on this issue rather than be the ones who made the motion and seconded it.
 - Mr. Snyder did not allow the residents to refute any of the information provided to the Board, while allowing Mr. Bracco and the engineer ample time before and after their presentations.
 - The people that represented the residents provided several key issues as to why the Pickleball Courts should not be constructed and were ignored by the Planning Committee
 - The Planning Committee did not require the same documentation from the CDD that was required by the residents.
 - They did not have to supply their signed petition to Mr. Snyder or the Planning Committee, but the residents did.
 - They did not even have their petition at the time of the meeting to provide their 300+ signatures to the Planning Committee nor were they asked for it.
 - Mr. Snyder proceeded to educate the Board as to how the Venetian CDD worked and that they really had no power over their decisions. Who is he to say something like that at a hearing?
 - They were also required to provide support documentation to the Planning Committee which was not required by the CDD or engineer
 - They did not allow Mr. Wiseman additional time as

requested but abruptly cut him off – he is a retired engineer and represented the people of the Venetian.

The Planning Committee allowed the Engineer of the CDD to implicate that the signatures on the Petition were either forged or illegally obtained. What gives the engineer the right to say this in front of a Planning Committee and the audience at this meeting. Mr. Snyder allowed the engineer to continue speaking and scrolling thru all the names he highlighted as being questionable – among these signatures was mine and my spouse – we both oppose these Pickleball Courts and signed the petition.

I thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

Respectfully

Darlene M. Schimberg

Fairway Funding is the best course for your loan. Your referrals are always appreciated. Our goal is to provide each client with exceptional service. We say YES when others say NO!

**** FRAUD ALERT ****

Attention all customers - Due to a widespread outbreak of fraudulent emails, DO NOT wire any money without first speaking with your closing agent and confirming their wiring instructions. This communication should be done verbally and not solely thru emails.

Darlene M. Schimberg
Senior Mortgage Loan Officer



FAIRWAY FUNDING GROUP, INC

8235 Natures Way

Lakewood Ranch, FL 34202-4216

Office: 941-894-6565

Cell: 414-232-0210

EFax: 1-866-278-9926

E Mail Darlene@fairwayfundinggroup.com

NMLS# 657353

Member of AIME

Five Star Award Winner 2014,2015,2016,2017

Suncoast Chapter of FAMP – Director 2017,2018

BNI

LWRBA
LAKEWOOD RANCH BUSINESS ALLIANCE



NATIONAL
NOTARY
ASSOCIATION

