



City of Venice

401 West Venice Avenue
Venice, FL 34285
www.venicegov.com

Meeting Minutes Historic and Architectural Preservation Board

Thursday, June 11, 2026

9:00 AM

Council Chambers

II. Call to Order

Chair Beebe called the meeting to order at 9:00 A.M.

III. Roll Call

Present 7 - Jon Steketee, Jean Trammell, Greg Watkins, Steven Green, Jon Barrick, Chair
Mark Beebe and Helen K Moore

IV. Approval of Minutes

[26-0630](#)

Minutes of the May 14, 2026 Meeting

Chair Beebe request a revision on 417 Nassau Street application regarding the fence height of six feet.

A motion was made by Chair Beebe, seconded by Vice Chair Trammell, that the minutes be approved with the amendment of adding "Mr. Hardie clarified that the application did not request a fence height of six foot -eight as indicated by staff but rather a height of six foot as shown on the application site plan drawing" and further to strike " the requirement for application materials to be amended for the six foot fencing". The motion carried by voice vote.

V. Audience Participation

There was no one signed up to speak.

VI. Presentation

[26-0628](#)

Presentation Of Findings From Edgewood And Seaboard Historic Resources Survey
Holly Baker, Chronicle Heritage

Historical Resources Manager Klinkhamer introduced Ms. Baker, and spoke on the project background.

Architectural Historian Holly Baker, from Chronicle Heritage, presented professional background, support received from staff, funding through matching grant, why survey was conducted, project area aerial map, history of Edgewood and Seaboard areas, goal to document and evaluate the structure's contribution to the historic district, history of the city development plan, John Nolen's vision, each neighborhood's history,

archival research done, field work completed, summary results, architectural styles documented, map of development time periods, notable structures, recommendations, expanding and updating Edgewood Historic District, update the John Nolen Plan Historic District, recommended next steps for preservation, and answered Board question regarding communication with residents, whether the newly discovered structures will be added to the Master Site File, whether information on the demolished structures were preserved, whether the current condition of structure was notated, and information needed to expand the Edgewood Historic District.

A motion was made by Vice Chair Trammell, seconded by Ms. Moore, to accept the Historic Resources Report as presented. The motion carried unanimously by voice vote.

VIII. Staff Comments

Historical Resources Manager Klinkhamer spoke on the recent Local Historical Register Forum, upcoming grants timelines, and the next Historical Resources survey.

VII. Board Discussion

Discussion took place regarding recent application's reviews that brought attention to some code language that may need to be reviewed, reviewing code Section 7.10.4 Facade Colors, updating color palettes, granting the applicant options for color usage, color being subjective, suggestion to breakdown color requirements into two categories, changing required coverage percentages to suggested percentages, allowing design alternative requests, difficulty in mandating a color pallet for all architectural styles, burden to staff, using more encompassing language, distinguishing between commercial and residential, amending requirement for arrangement of color on a structure, consultant's intend to clarify for those who are not design professionals, adding amendments specific to historic structures, eliminating percentages requirements, providing an interim color palette, how homeowners can see the approved palette, variety of colors used through the decades, citizens access to information, and discussing further at next meeting.

VIII. Staff Comments

Senior Planner Tremblay spoke on reviewing the color code sections, presentation regarding the economic benefits of historical resources done at the recent forum, and requested board recommendation on whether a home in the Venice Historic District that is not currently in the Venice Historic Precedent (VHP) style should be required to bring the structure into the VHP style if a facade remodel is intended.

Discussion took place regarding concerns for forcing an architectural

style on a structure not built in a similar style, consideration of scope of work intended, and having a pre-application meeting.

Senior Planner Temblay continued on next meeting's applications.

IX. Adjournment

There being no further business to come before this Board, the meeting was adjourned at 10:01 am

Chair

Recording Secretary